

No. 25-7529

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

JAN 13 2026

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

In Re Phillip C Reeves — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS

PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

Phillip C Reeves
(Your Name)

20 Mc Gee St
(Address)

Greenville SC 29601
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

RECEIVED

MAY - 4 2026

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

1 OF 31

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- (1) Can a person be formally charged or/and True Bill indicted for Murder if a medical examiner and forensic pathologist determined the manner of death not a homicide.
- (2) Was the testimony supplied to the "County Grand Jury" false, misleading, and perjured or omitted material facts & exculpatory evidence
- (3) Was the County Grand Jury proceeding falsified and fabricated
- (4) Is a finding of probable cause a prerequisite standard for the procurement of warrants and/or True Bill indictments
- (5) Is the States representative obstructing Justice with malicious intent in dilatory Practices

LIST OF PARTIES

- [] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- [✓] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

- (1) Judge G.D. Morgan of the Thirteenth Circuit
- (2) Solicitor Douglas Richardson of the Thirteenth Circuit
- (3) Investigator Michael Callison of ~~the~~ Greenville County S.C.

RELATED CASES

- Reeves v. State of S.C. Warrant NO: 2024A233, 0208898, ect
- Reeves v. Richardson (District Court: 25-13499; 4th Cir Ct App: 26-6383)
- Reeves v. Richardson (District Court: 6:26-1343)
- Reeves v. Bodiford (Dist Ct. 24-6885; 4th Cir Ct App 25-6496)
- Reeves v. Bodiford (District Ct. 26-114)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	6 OF 31	1
JURISDICTION.....	7 OF 31	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....	8 OF 31	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	(9 through 10) OF 31	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	11 OF 31	
CONCLUSION.....	12 OF 31	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B (14 through 18) OF 31 are documents showing the letter written to the chief corner, correspondence between, and the addendum made by the deputy corner to his case report.

APPENDIX C (17 through 18) OF 31 shows the "Manner of death" undetermined by the medical examiner.

APPENDIX D (19 through 21) OF 31 shows the indictment not filed in the clerk of court and the alleged true bill indictment by an alleged Grand Jury.

APPENDIX E (22 through 25) OF 31 shows the hospital staff's entries into the database of comments when falsely accused for murder.

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	"	PAGE NUMBER	"
DEAN V. PHATAK (5th Cir. Dec 23rd, 2025)	"	24-20503	"
Torchinsky v. Siwinski, 942 F.2d, 251 (4th Cir 1991)	"	262	"
Bank of Nova, 487 US 250 (1988)	"	266	"
Shadwick v. Tampa, 407 US 345 (1972)	"	350	"
Gerstein v. Pugh, 420 US 103 (1975)	"	108 N.9	"
Harris v. Pines, 110 F.4th 633 (4th Cir 2004)	"	643	"
State v. Price, 441 SC 70 (SC 2023)	"	75	"440"
State v. Westmoreland, 421 SC 410 (SC App. 2017)	"	421	"
STATUTES AND RULES			
State v. Dent, 919 S.E.2d 394 (SC 2025)	"	Opening Opinion	"

STATUTES AND RULES

S.C.R. Crim. P. (Rule 3) Action on arrest warrant
 S.C.R. Prof'l. C. (Rule 3.2) Comment = Dilatory Practices
 SCACR (Rule 3 (8) Canon 3 = eliminate Dilatory Practices
 SC Code Ann (17-19-30) (16-3-810) (Allegations sufficient / Murder defined)
 SC Code Ann (22-5-200) (22-5-510) (48 hour) (Forthwith) (Warrantless)
 S.C.R. Crim. P. (Rule 5) discovery material (Brady)

OTHER

Fourth AND Fourteenth Amendments

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of mandamus issue.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at N/A 5 OF 31; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at N/A; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at N/A; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the N/A 5 OF 31 court appears at Appendix 0 to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at N/A; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was N/A 30F31

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: N/A, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix 0.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was N/A.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix N/A 30F31

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: N/A, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- US Constitution Amendment IV : The right of the people to be secure against unreasonable seizure; shall not be violated and no warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause."
- US Constitution Amendment 14th : Due Process and Equal Protection of Laws. (LIBERTY)
- SC Code Ann 22-5-200 "requires that if one is arrested without warrants, then he is to be taken in front of a magistrate forthwith to procure warrants without unreasonable delay
- SC Code Ann 22-5-510 "a person charged with a bailable offense must have a bond hearing within (24) twenty four hours.
- US Constitution Art IV, Sec 2 = The citizens of each state shall be entitled to all privileges and immunities of citizen in several states.
- (• SC Constitution Art 1, Sec 10 = as US Constitution Amend IV)
- (• SC Constitution Art 1, Sec 3 = shall not be abridged)
- US Constitution Art VI = "Supremacy Clause"

ARGUMENT

Here is where the petitioner explicitly points to the criminal prosecution via obstructing the due administration of justice, fabricating evidence, perjury, forgery, and misconduct.

To this very day, the petitioner has not had one pretrial setting to establish if any probable cause exist. He has been falsely arrested since "Sept 18th, 2024". The moment his person was seized was when he was barely alive and cuffed to a hospital bed and the staff was told he was in police custody for murder, absent investigation. It is explicitly clear that law enforcement officers and others [REDACTED] conspired an diabolical scheme against the petitioner, attempted to kill him, as he survived, only to have the scene staged against him. There is absolutely no connection at all that the petitioner had contributed to his friends death. After three days of rigorous interrogation and no information to be had, the petitioner was served with a warrant for murder. The warrant was unlawfully procured by false and misleading information with admitted material facts.

Suppressed Brady material was exposed approximately October of 2025.

- Jan 7th, 2025 the petitioner wrote chief corner a letter asking for a reexamination of the case. Not knowing at the time that the corners case report included the case to be a "Homicide", when it was never a homicide and was ruled quite contrary. The medical examiner ruled the manner of death as "undetermined".
- Feb 14th, 2025 the deputy corner made an Addendum to his case report stating it was a "misunderstanding OR miscommunication". The medical examiners determination is clearly undetermined from day one, Not Homicide!
- March 18th, 2025 the following month the accused crime of murder against the petitioner was allegedly True Bill indicted by our County Grand Jury. Furthermore the indictments were never filed within the Clerk of Court for Greenville County in accord with Rule 3 of South Carolina Rules of Criminal Procedure.

Since petitioner has been seized and stripped of any liberty interest harbored as an South Carolina Citizen has completely destroyed his life, defiled his character, and now has his life in danger from others retaliating against him for falsehoods stated against his person.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Being petitioner has never been afforded what the Constitution demands and the state continues to use dilatory tactics to assume he is going to wear down with no ending in sight, he is seeking this writ for remedy. It has been clearly established of the nonexistence of any evidence incriminating the plaintiff of any wrongdoing and yet the relentless acts of moral turpitude against the petitioner from the state continues.

The petitioner has not been afforded a single shred of the demanded Due Process of Laws or Equal Protection of Laws. He has not had a single pretrial arraignment for the state to produce the existence or nonexistence of probable cause, because there is NONE as he is a victim — nothing more.

He cannot express it enough of all the forensics excludes him as a contributor. via DNA, latent fingerprints, and Gunshot Residue Kit. Moreover the medical examiner and forensic pathologists determination of the Manner of Death being Undetermined — not a homicide, so how is the state continuing to hold him, accuse him, and prosecute him for MURDER!

This cannot be expressed enough!

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

If this writ is granted it will show if there is any hint of injustice that permeates this circuit as the government representatives corruption lies within.

It will enforce what we as citizens are guaranteed by our Constitution and Founding Fathers.

It will expose the dilatory practices of Moral torpitude made.

It will justify an unjust situation.

It will show Donald J. Trump that our Unified Judicial System is still enforcing our rights as citizens in the United States and harbor the constitutional Rights guaranteed.

It will make this circuit prove our rights are not being violated by this circuit and not just being ignored.

It will enforce our States C.J. John W. Kittredges orders:

(1) General Sessions Docket Mgmt Order 2023-000804;

(2) IN RE Duties of Circuit Court Chief Judges (July 1st, 2025); and

(3) IN RE Local Rules C/A NO: 2024-000323 (Feb 13th, 2024).

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of mandamus should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Philip C. Kuo

Date: 4-17-26

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Phillip Reeves C — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

State of South Carolina — RESPONDENT(S)

PROOF OF SERVICE


I, Phillip Reeves, do swear or declare that on this date,
April 17th, 2026, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I have
served the enclosed MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS*
and PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS on each party to the above proceeding or
that party's counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing an
envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed to
each of them and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-party
commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days.

The names and addresses of those served are as follows:

G.D. Morgan
Douglas Richardson
Michael Callison

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on April 17th, 2026


(Signature)