


ORIGINAL

No. 25-7502
IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



GURJOT SINGH DHALIWAL,

Applicant,

v.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Respondents.

THE PETITION FOR REHEARING FOR A PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Office of the Attorney General (916) 445 – 9555

State of California

1300 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814

(RESPONDENT) Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal Pro Se

4430 Deer Field Way

Danville, CA 94506

(925) 963-0229; (925) 406-5995 Alternate Phone; gurjot@gmail.com

RELATED CASES FEDERAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, Docket No. 25-7113; Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal vs. Superior Court of California **Denied, filed for rehearing, Distributed**

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, Application# 25A1306; Linked with Docket No. 25-7113; Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal vs. Superior Court of California **Denied by Justice Kagan**

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, Docket No. 25-7502; WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS; Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal vs. State of California **Denied, being filed for rehearing**

US DISTRICT COURT, Case 25-CV-05678-TLT; Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal vs. Superior Court of California et al. **Dismissed & Currently Under Appeal with US SUPREME COURT**

A handwritten signature or set of initials in black ink, located in the bottom right corner of the page.

Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, 25-5073; Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal vs. Superior Court of California et al. **Dismissed & Currently Under Appeal with US SUPREME COURT**

RELATED CASES STATE

Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal vs. Amrita Grewal, LA COUNTY FAMILY LAW # 20STFL08362 **No Tentative Rulings, No ROA's**

Sameer Malhotra vs Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, LA COUNTY CIVIL CASE#21STR000066 **No Tentative Rulings, No ROA's**

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, LA COUNTY CRIMINAL #1AR21623 **Dismissed, No Filed Documents, No Imaged Documents, No Sentences**

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, LA COUNTY CRIMINAL #1AR22098 **Dismissed, No Filed Documents, No Imaged Documents, No Sentences**

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, LA COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH #ZMO65933 **Dismissed**

Shaan Singh Dhaliwal vs Gurjot Singh Shaligwal, CONTRA COST COUNTY CIVIL CASE MSN21-1228 **Dismissed**

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY CRIMINAL #01-196686-0 **Guilty and then Later Overturned on Appeal after serving entire sentence**

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY CRIMINAL #01-198300-0 **Combined with Above**

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY APPEAL AP22-00081 **Won Appeal**

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH (?) **Dismissed**

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, ALAMEDA COUNTY CRIMINAL #22-CR-004882 **Dismissed**

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, ALAMEDA COUNTY CRIMINAL # 23-CR-008246 **Dismissed**

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, ALAMEDA COUNTY CRIMINAL #25-CR-005289 **Dismissed**

Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal vs Superior Court of California Fremont DEPT 605, ALAMEDA COUNTY CIVIL #25-CV-128280, **Dismissed**



Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, ALAMEDA COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH
#25-MH-005289 **Dismissed**

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, ALAMEDA COUNTY CRIMINAL #25-
CR-016169 **Dismissed**

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, SAN MATEO COUNTY CRIMINAL
#24-SM-006601-A referred to Mental Health **STILL ONGOING NO RESULT**

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, SAN MATEO COUNTY MENTAL
HEALTH, 24-SM-008931 **STILL ONGOING NO RESULT**

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Violation of the Constitution of the United States of America and the defendant's 1st, 2nd,
4th, 5th, 6th, 8th, 9th, 13th, 14th, & 15th Amendment Constitutional Rights.

Suspension Clause of the U.S. Constitution: Article I, Section 9, Clause 2

The Supremacy Clause of the U.S. Constitution: Article VI, Clause 2

RICO ACT U.S.C.A. 1961 & RICO ACT U.S.C.A. 1962

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Statement of the Case

Jurisdiction/Extraordinary Circumstances

Irreparable Harm if Not Granted

Reason to accept the WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Conclusion

Appendix A PROOF OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AS AND ACTIVE PARTICIPANT

Appendix B FORGED RESTRAINING ORDERS PLACE ON CARPOS

Appendix C CERTIFIED OFFICIAL COURT RECORDS & PROOF DONE NOTHING WRONG

Appendix D COPYCAT FORGED RESTRAINING ORDERS PLACED ON CARPOS

Appendix E FORGED CONFORMED CPO PLACED ON CARPOS

Appendix F LATEST FALSE ARREST VIOLATING THE RULES OF HABEAS CORPUS

Appendix G KAISER PERMANENTE USING HEALTH CARE AS A WEAPON TO ATTACK

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'GJ' or similar, located at the bottom right of the page.

Appendix H TIP OF THE ICEBERG MORAL TURPITUDE UNDER THE COLOR OF THE LAW

Appendix I CONTRA COSTA COUNTY VIOLATIONS OF THE RULES OF HABEAS CORPUS

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The petitioner (Gurjot S. Dhaliwal) in United States Supreme Court docket #25-7502 is requesting a rehearing and reconsideration of his application for WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, because of the extraordinary circumstances that the State of California (referred to as California from here on) is a direct and active participant in the kidnapping of his 2 minor children and the enslavement of him using the law as a weapon to attack him and deprive him of his rights. These new pieces of evidence prove California's direct involvement in multiple constitutional rights violations, violations of the rules of Habeas Corpus, violation of the Suspension Clause of the Constitution of the United States (referred to as the Constitution from here on), violation of the Supremacy Clause of the Constitution, and the execution of multiple civil rights violations with moral turpitude under the color of the law. This new evidence contains substantial grounds not previously presented.

Appendix A shows the original "Murder Weapon" that started the violations of the rules of Habeas Corpus. California intentionally sent a FORGED court order to the petitioner's estranged wife's lawyer, who sent it to the petitioner's lawyer, who sent it to the petitioner stating that it was real. The order was NOT real, it had a "FORGED DUAL VERIFICATION CLERK CERTIFICATE", which was fraudulently presented to the petitioner as an authentic court order by his corrupt attorney. This same document was filed by the petitioner's estranged wife, who is listed as the respondent in the case, with "NO CLERK CERTIFICATE", which is in direct contradiction of the document sent by California, which means the official court record directly contradicts the fraudulent document sent by the California. This is the "MURDER WEAPON" that proves the direct action by California to violate the rules of Habeas Corpus by the falsification of documents that are being used to kidnap the petitioner's kids. Unfortunately, California also did this for multiple following documents to further their criminal activity and to prevent the recovery of the petitioner's 2 missing minor children.

To cover up their fraud California also posted these FORGED fraudulent documents on a law enforcement verification system (CARPOS) so that when the petitioner would try to recover his 2 kidnapped minor children, he would be stopped by law enforcement and arrested unlawfully. This would then tie the petitioner up in court defending himself against false misdemeanor charges which prevented him from being able to recover his missing minor kids until the charges were dismissed. Then they would charge him again with the same misdemeanor charge to tie him up again. California would never bring him to trial, because he has direct evidence proof, from California's own official court records, that proves his

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to be 'G.S.' or similar, located at the bottom right of the page.

innocence and the kidnapping of his 2 minor kids. Since the petitioner would not waive his right to a speedy trial the courts would use excuses to circumvent and violate his constitutional rights, in violation of the Supremacy Clause of the Constitution, to delay him and his effort in the recovery of his 2 missing minor kids, which is a violation of the rules of Habeas Corpus.

These are the "MURDER WEAPONS" used to kidnap and prevent the recovery of the petitioner's 2 missing minor children. This new evidence proves both mens rea and actus rea of the ongoing criminal conspiracy to commit these violent crimes against both the petitioner and his 2 kidnapped minor children. These violent crimes are being committed with the intent to defraud and to cover up the crimes committed by California. The methods used by California and its criminal enterprise to execute these criminal actions are severe civil rights violations that prove intent from the very start to fraudulently commit the violent crimes used to enslave and to torture the petitioner and his 2 kidnapped minor children.

The new evidence, not previously presented, is the "SMOKING GUN" or "MURDER WEAPON", which proves beyond a reasonable doubt the intent and actions by California to commit these violent crimes and constitutional rights violations. This is why the petitioner must file a WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS with the Supreme Court of the United States, because he CANNOT get due process and equal protection of the law in California, because they are the ones attacking him in violation of his 14th amendment constitutional rights and the rules of Habeas Corpus which ensures that no person can be held without a fair hearing and protects individuals against indefinite detention without formal charges. As shown in the Appendix's there were numerous attempts by the petitioner to solicit help from California, but he was given the constant run around with each agency saying to contact another and nobody helping him defend the Constitution and/or safely recover his 2 missing minor children.

The related cases detail shows these patterns of racketeering are executed repeatedly over multiple years throughout several different geographic locations throughout California. This pattern of racketeering can be proven using California's own official court records which detail and prove the criminal conspiracy and the violations of the rules of Habeas Corpus. The evidence shows that the state not only intentionally FORGED documents to kidnap his 2 minor kids but also FORGED CARPOS filings to prevent their recovery and hold their father under constant threat of false arrest and imprisonment.

California used the Superior Court of California as one of their tools to commit crimes by colluding with corrupt attorneys to provide the petitioner with a FORGED Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) and presenting it as if it was real. This FORGED TRO was sent from the Superior Court of California (LA COURT.org) to the petitioners' estranged wife's attorney's

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be the initials 'BS' or similar, located at the bottom right of the page.

and then to the petitioner's attorney who gave it to the petitioner stating it was an authentic official court record, which it was NOT. When you read the email in the Appendix's you will see they attorney's and California conferenced prior to sending the fraudulent documents, which shows this was done with the knowledge of all 3 parties of the intent to defraud the petitioner and kidnap his 2 minor children.

This same pattern of racketeering has continued throughout this case as all the Official Court Records show documents filed by the petitioners estranged wife (The Respondent) with "NO Clerk Certification", which means No Court Orders, No Tentative Rulings, No Register of Actions, and No Restraining Orders. These same documents are later then FORGED and placed on the CARPOS communication systems for California's law enforcement, which is a court order verification system, and what was being used to facilitate the unlawful arrests of the petitioner with false charges and no probable cause every time he tries to recover his 2 missing minor children. Once arrested, California then ties up the petitioner in court with a new Criminal Protective Order (CPO) preventing him from recovering his 2 missing minor children until the charges are eventually dismissed. The petitioner has never been convicted. After dismissal, if he tries to recover his 2 minor children again, then he is arrested again with false charges and no probable cause. Per California Court rules if a person has a future court date that they must attend being held over them, then they are technically in custody which is why this type of persecution by prosecution is a violation of the rules of Habeas Corpus. ACCUSATION IS NOT PROOF and he is being unlawfully charged with no probable cause and held under obligations with the court, and when the charges are eventually dismissed, they do the exact same this all over again. These false charges also prevent the petitioner from recovering his 2 missing minor children which is a violation of the rules of Habeas Corpus when applied to custodial rights of his 2 minor children, because there are no court orders, no restraining orders, not tentative rulings, no register of actions for LA COUNTY FAMILY LAW CASE #20STFL08361. Per the official court records the petitioner has equal custody rights, but California has intentionally set this fraud up to control him and prevent the recovery of his 2 minor kids, which is in violation of the rules of Habeas Corpus.

The CARPOS, CCPOR, and CLETS systems which are used by law enforcement agencies to verify restraining and protective orders were exploited by California, the petitioner's estranged wife, and their criminal enterprise by circumventing the checks and balances of the system. The **CARPOS Confirmation System** is a database operated by California law enforcement agencies that allows verified users to access and confirm digital copies of restraining and protective orders using a File Control Number (FCN). The primary public-facing portal for this system is managed by the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department (LASD). Here are the key details and tools regarding the system. **How it works:** Authorized



personnel scan restraining orders into the system. By inputting the specific File Control Number (FCN), a user can instantly pull up the digital records. **What it tracks:** The system stores critical information on protective orders, including domestic violence, civil harassment, workplace violence, elder abuse, juvenile, and criminal restraining orders. **Accessing the system:** You can verify documents directly on the LASD CARPOS Confirmation Portal (<https://lasd.org/courtservices/civil/>). The fraudulently filed FCN's that have been used to kidnap the petitioners 2 minor children and prevent their recovery are:(2322101900343; 2322025900362; 2322107200196; 2322120500473).

The CARPOS system was compromised when the petitioner's estranged wife got California to place the fraudulent FORGED documents on this system to kidnap his 2 minor kids and prevent their recovery on an ongoing basis. Normally, with due process and checks and balances required to place court orders on CARPOS there would be no way she could have abused the system. This means she had help from someone on the inside of the court system and/or law enforcement who is an authorized user of the system to help her commit these fraudulent filings. The deliberate and widespread nature of the fraud by all of California is evident because all of the FORGED documents filed on CARPOS come from different hearings held by different judges, which means that each fraudulent filings was not a one off mistake, but instead was done with intent as a deliberate effort by California and its criminal enterprise to further the criminal conspiracy to kidnap the petitioners 2 missing minor children and prevent their recovery.

Unfortunately, these fraudulent documents are still on the CARPOS system today despite the petitioner showing proof multiple times in multiple court cases that they are fraudulent. California continues to ignore the fact that they are in violation of the rules of Habeas Corpus. There are no court orders, no tentative rulings, no restraining orders, but the existence of these FORGERIES on the CARPOS system effectively imposes a fraudulent sentence on the petitioner and prevents the recovery of his 2 missing minor children.

The petitioner's requests for criminal referrals to help recover his 2 missing minor children have been continuously ignored by the Superior Court of California. California has dismissed the charges multiple times when he proves that he is innocent and that his 2 minor kids are kidnapped, but they NEVER help recover his 2 minor kids which is in violation of federal law and the rules of Habeas Corpus. Instead, the petitioner continues to be punished, and when he tries to recover his 2 kidnapped minor children, he is unlawfully arrested again. The most recent FORGERY placed on CARPOS is a fraudulent permanent restraining order expiring in 2099, which is effectively permanent enslavement of him and his 2 missing minor children in violation of the rules of Habeas Corpus.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "ES" or similar, located at the bottom right of the page.

The massive public corruption with moral turpitude under the color of the law meets all the criminal elements necessary for a RICO ACT indictment and violates the rules of Habeas Corpus. The fraudulent documents filed on CARPOS continue to drive the active effort by California to unlawfully detain the petitioner at will and prevent the recovery of his 2 kidnapped minor children who are being held hostage as part of a ransom to control their father using the law as a weapon. A ransom because the petitioner is being forced to go through this process to eventually be able to try and recover his 2 kidnapped minor children, which is slavery of both him and his minor children.

The actions by California to take advantage of the court's filing system are premeditated, well planned, and well executed proving their criminal intent and action. The petitioner's estranged wife said she was leaving him and that is when California's legal attacks began, because they wanted separation, a way to control him, and a way to destroy his life. When the lawyers filed the divorce case, they listed Gurjot Dhaliwal as the petitioner for LA COUNTY FAMILY LAW CASE# 20STFL08361 and his estranged wife as the respondent. The reason they did this was so that she could file official court records as the respondent with "NO CLERK CERTIFICATE". A "CLERK CERTIFICATE" is used as dual verification to certify any order from the court as a true and accurate record of the court. Both a Judge must sign and a clerk must certify all court orders. A review of the case summary will show you that all the orders were filed with the court by the respondent and that the official court records have "NO CLERK CERTIFICATE" proving that there are no court orders, no restraining orders, no register of actions, and no tentative rulings in LA COUNTY FAMILY LAW CASE 20STFL08361, which is in direct contradiction of what is filed on the CARPOS system.

California and its criminal enterprise designed these crimes so that the petitioner could NEVER go to the court system for justice, because all the court records show he has equal custody rights. There is nothing to challenge, because when any court investigates the official court records will show equal custody rights as if nothing had happened. This is how California tied the petitioner's hands from being able to use the court system as a pathway to recover his 2 missing minor children.

The official court records prove both his innocence and that his estranged wife is denying him custody of his 2 minor children, which is kidnapping. The petitioner has shown direct evidence proof multiple times in multiple courts throughout California, but nobody does anything to help safely recover his 2 missing minor kids and/or correct the FORGED records on the CARPOS verification system. This proves California's intent to violate the rules of Habeas Corpus, because of their direct action and/or inaction.

Still today, the petitioner continues to get arrested for misdemeanor violations of a restraining order that does not exist, which happens every time he tries to recover his 2

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized, cursive script that appears to be the name 'Gurjot' followed by a surname.

kidnapped minor children. The last time he was arrested he had armed police officers show up at the door of his private residence with their guns drawn saying that if he did not open the door, they would forcibly enter to arrest him on a misdemeanor 273.6 warrant from another county. The discovery from that case has 2 FORGED documents from the CARPOS system and a bench warrant with no signature which proves that they were prosecuting him on false charges with no probable cause. Additionally, this case continues to be extended as long as possible while holding the petitioner under a CPO to prevent the recovery of his 2 minor children, which is in violation of the rules of Habeas Corpus. The use of the CPO is also an excuse to take away the defendant's 2nd Amendment rights. He has done nothing wrong and California is actively depriving him and his 2 minor children of their rights with moral turpitude under the color of the law. California is doing all of this with malicious intent to cause irreparable harm using fraudulent FORGED DOCUMENTS which can be proved using California's own official public records.

California is denying the petitioner his equal custody rights by taking advantage of the Los Angeles Sheriff's Departments CARPOS system. Their actions are premeditated, well planned, and well executed proving their criminal intent and criminal action to not only kidnap Gurjot Dhaliwal's 2 minor children but also set up an ongoing system to prevent their recovery which is in violation of the rules of Habeas Corpus.

California working in coordination with the petitioner's estranged wife took the official court records that she filed as a respondent, with "NO DUAL VERIFICATION CLERK CERTIFICATE", and then FORGED the "CLERK CERTIFICATE" on the same documents and then filed the FORGED documents on the CARPOS system which law enforcement uses to verify if a criminal protective order and/or restraining order exists. You can review in the Appendix all the filings of FORGED documents filed on CARPOS, with a FORGED "CLERK CERTIFICATE".

In one case, the petitioner was arrested using FORGED documents, and then later arrested again using FORGED "CONFORMED" copies from the false arrest, because a CPO was filed on CARPOS from the same LA COUNTY CRIMINAL CASE 1AR21623 which actually has no filed documents, no imaged documents, and no sentences. A conformed copy is an exact copy of an original on file with the court. This case was dismissed, but the petitioner was still arrested using this FORGED CONFROMED copy on CARPOS with no existing original document on file.

The petitioner's estranged wife filed all the official court records as the respondent in LA COUNTY FAMILIY LAW CASE 20STFL08361. The official court records are all filed by the respondent (the petitioners estranged wife) and they all have "NO CLERK CERTIFICATE". Conversely, California has provided law enforcement with CARPOS FCN numbers where the



FORGED documents are filed to have the petitioner arrested multiple times to prevent and delay his ability to recover his 2 missing minor children.

The petitioner has not actually seen his missing minor kids in person since December 22, 2020, when they were permanently kidnapped. Whenever he gets close to locating them, he is immediately arrested for misdemeanor 273.6 violation of a restraining order that does not exist. California consistently charges the petitioner with no probable cause; accusation is not proof. Then after he shows the court proof of his innocence, they use any excuse possible (COVID, MENTAL HEALTH) to extend the case in violation of his constitutional rights to delay the recovery his 2 kidnapped minor children. California has violated both the Suspension Clause and the Supremacy Clause of the Constitution to violate the rules of Habeas Corpus against the petitioner and his 2 missing minor children. Accusation is NOT PROOF, and the 14th amendment demands due process and equal protection of the law. California cannot hold him in constant custody with persecution by prosecution based on arrests using FORGED documents from CARPOS which are used to prevent the recovery of his 2 minor children, but this is what has been happening across several different jurisdictions throughout California.

The direct evidence in the Appendix's proves that California is violating the petitioner's rights in several different counties using the same pattern of racketeering and persecution by prosecution to deprive him of his rights with moral turpitude under the color of the law. The pattern of racketeering proves intentional violation of the rules of Habeas Corpus against the petitioner and his 2 missing minor children and a complete disregard for the Supremacy Clause of the Constitution.

JURISDICTION/ EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES

California is the assailant, and there is direct evidence proof that proves this beyond a reasonable doubt. California has intentionally and continuously violated the petitioner and his 2 missing minor children. Their moral turpitude under the color of the law completely ignores the Constitution and is being done with no regards for the rule law. The new direct evidence proof from California's own records not only proves their guilt in the kidnapping of the petitioner's 2 minor children but also proves the persecution by prosecution of the petitioner to prevent their recovery, which is in violation of the rules of Habeas Corpus. This case must be heard by the Supreme Court because the government is an assailant, and the petitioner cannot get justice in California. Not hearing the case would be equivalent to sending a rape victim back to the rapist for justice.

These are unacceptable civil rights violations with moral turpitude under the color of the law and cannot happen to any human being for any reason ever. The Supremacy Clause of the



Constitution means that the Constitution is the Supreme Law of the Land, and when you are American, you are American everywhere with certain inherent and inalienable rights. The petitioner has exhausted all options to have these issues resolved locally and with the regional federal courts, but they are NOT resolved. The reasons given are poor excuses given the clear violations of Constitutional Rights and federal laws. America's greatest strength is the integrity of our democracy, and it must be defended by all and any means necessary to protect our personal freedoms. Convenient excuses to cover up obvious corrupt behavior is unacceptable, because if California can do this to the petitioner, then they can do this to anyone. It is our rights that are being violated.

In Contra Costa County Constitutional Rights violations were being made citing a General Order by the Judicial Council of California which was allowing for California to violate 6th amendment rights to a speedy trial citing the COVID 19 Pandemic, which is in violation of both the Suspension Clause and the Supremacy Clause of the Constitution, because the United States did not suspend protections of the rules of Habeas Corpus during the pandemic. These violations are proven using the court's own official records, which show that this was just an excuse to deprive the petitioner of his Constitutional Rights so that California could violate the rules of Habeas Corpus and falsely imprison the petitioner.

The Constitution and US Federal law are clearly being violated by the state who designed their violent attacks specifically to cover up their crimes, avoid detection, and to perpetuate ongoing violations of the rules of Habeas Corpus against both the petitioner and his 2 missing minor children. The repeated actions of moral turpitude under the color of the law by California and its criminal organization prove the need for federal government intervention in this case and the extraordinary circumstances that require this.

IRREPARABLE HARM IF WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS NOT GRANTED

The irreparable harm of NOT GRANTING this WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS will be the continued and unlawful enslavement of the petitioner and his 2 minor children. It will confirm for the states violating the Constitution that the Supremacy Clause of the Constitution does not matter and the states can do whatever they want. It also says that the states can choose to violate the Suspension Clause of the Constitution at their own discretion by issuing a General Order in direct contradiction of the Constitution and Federal Law. This is also a direct contradiction of what the country's founders intended with the Supremacy Clause so that as an American you are American everywhere, with certain inherent and inalienable right that apply to all human beings equally, unequivocally, with integrity, and without exception. The petitioner and his 2 minor children have been violently attacked on an ongoing nonstop basis for many years by California and its criminal enterprise. These attacks have only gotten more brazen and violent, but they also extend

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a large, stylized 'S' followed by a smaller, more complex flourish.

across the multiple agencies and geographic locations throughout the state. These civil rights violations with moral turpitude under the color of the law are being done with no regards for the integrity of our democracy and are in violation of the rules of Habeas Corpus.

The petitioner's 2 minor kids were taken at 1 & 4 years old and now are 7 & 10 years old. They have grown up without a father. A father who was extremely active in their lives prior to their abduction. The petitioner's hotel business was destroyed and his reputation torn apart with false allegations of crimes he did not commit. The petitioner continues to face attacks by law enforcement today as he is still fighting the same misdemeanor 273.6 charges which are being arbitrarily filed to attack him and prevent the recovery of his 2 missing minor children.

Not stopping this criminal enterprise will only allow for more lives to be arbitrarily destroyed by this criminal enterprise and embolden these criminals to attack others.

Although these violations are transparent nobody in California is helping the petitioner. The Superior Court of California, local/state/federal law enforcement, public officials, mandatory reporters, and many others have failed to help. This ongoing nonstop moral turpitude under the color of the law is being used to deprive the petitioner and his 2 missing minor children of their rights and to violate the rules of Habeas Corpus with impunity.

The ongoing irreparable harm is cruel and unusual punishment which is causing extreme physical and mental anguish against the petitioner and his 2 missing minor children, and it continues today.

The petitioner filed this case against California in federal court because of the extraordinary circumstances of California being an active participant, because there was no relief in sight and he exhausted all local remedies, and because the attacks are becoming more frequent and more aggressive. Currently, the petitioner has a CPO being held over his head by the Superior Court of California in San Mateo County as well as a FORGED CARPOS (12/15/25) document filed as a permanent restraining order that are being used to prevent the recovery of his 2 minor children and to hold him under threat of arrest and future court proceedings.

Since the presentation of the first FORGERY, California and the petitioner's estranged wife have continued to follow the same pattern of racketeering to attack the petitioner and prevent the recovery of his 2 minor children, which California's own official court records prove beyond a reasonable doubt.

The San Mateo case is more false charges, with no probable cause, and violations of his constitutional rights using mental health as an excuse to circumvent the Supremacy Clause of the Constitution and violate the rules of Habeas Corpus against both him and his 2 missing minor kids. When he tries to show his proof in mental health court, they state that it is a

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a series of loops and a final flourish.

different hearing and that the criminal case is currently suspended which effectively holds him in custody until the mental health case is dismissed. This has happened several times.

The FORGED CARPOS document does the same as the previous ones, except this one is a permanent restraining order that will be used to unlawfully arrest the petitioner anytime he tries to recover his 2 minor children, despite him having equal custody rights and is more proof of the pattern of racketeering with intentional violations of the rules of Habeas Corpus against him and his 2 missing minor children.

The violent crimes in this case are not a coincidence; they are intentional and follow a similar pattern of racketeering each time and are being made with clear and malicious intent to harm the petitioner and his 2 missing minor children.

The moral turpitude extends to include attacks on the petitioners personal health care using medicine as a weapon, by interfering with his daily prescription medications without his consent, preventing him from going to doctors' appointments, preventing him from switching his doctor, his doctors not reporting as mandatory reporters (in violation of the law) the abuse of him and his 2 minor children despite requests to do so, and the murder of his father who died while under Kaiser Permanente's care.


The attacks using his personal health care have happened in several different geographic locations and are being coordinated with California's corresponding attacks using the law as a weapon. The attacks on his health care were specifically done to increase the efficacy of the legal attacks on the petitioner. These attacks on his personal health care continue today as he is still being denied access to his personal health care and daily prescription medications.

Court documents prove the collusion between the personal health care attacks with legal attacks and the dates of these attacks align exactly. In both Los Angeles and Contra Costa County California's correctional facilities have denied the petitioner his daily prescription medications.

The same criminal enterprise, that California is a part of, previously also attacked the petitioner in Texas prior to assaults on him in California and they follow a similar pattern of racketeering with moral turpitude under the color of the law.

REASON TO GRANT THIS WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

The reason for granting the WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS is because it is a Constitutional protection that is being violated by California with no regards for federal law or the Supremacy Clause of the Constitution. Known as the "Great Writ," Habeas Corpus is considered a cornerstone of due process and civil liberties. It ensures that no person can be

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "GOS" or similar, located at the bottom right of the page.

held without a fair hearing and protects individuals against indefinite detention without formal charges, government abuse of police or wartime/pandemic powers, extradition, bail, jurisdictional errors, and moral turpitude under the color of the law with blatant and intentional crimes by the state against its citizens. This is a unique situation because the violations of the rules of Habeas Corpus were specifically designed to prevent detection. They were also designed to kidnap and use the petitioner's 2 minor children as pawns to destroy the petitioner using the law and his personal health care as weapons to take away his humanity and to torture him. The ongoing moral turpitude under the color of the law also shows complete disregard by California and its criminal enterprise for the Constitution and federal law. The actions of all participants not only meet but also exceed the required elements to be criminally prosecuted under the RICO ACT.

The widespread moral turpitude under the color of the law puts reasonable doubt in every case brought forth by California, because if they can do this to the petitioner they can do it to anyone, which makes this case a subject of national significance. California and its criminal enterprise are an existential threat to both America and Global Humanity.

These disgusting actions by the Superior Court of California is slavery and in violation of the 13th amendment of the Constitution of the United States, in violation of the RICO ACT, and in violation of the rules of Habeas Corpus. This criminal enterprise must be brought to justice and prosecuted to the full extent of the law. The rule of Habeas Corpus ensures the government cannot imprison someone without a lawful basis or deny them due process and equal protection of the law, and the rules of Habeas Corpus are being violated against the petitioner and his 2 minor children.

CONCLUSION

The petitioner has been forced into this action because of the extraordinary circumstances of a government actor executing violent crimes with moral turpitude under the color of the law that are attacking the very fabric our nation and the integrity of our democracy. The petitioner has exhausted all other options and as the records show these attacks continue to be done with fraudulent intent and are designed to prevent any reconciliation of his situation keeping him under constant persecution by prosecutions and preventing the recovery of his 2 kidnapped and missing minor children. These actions are in clear violation of the rules of Habeas Corpus and due process and equal protection under the law.

The petitioner is demanding immediate action by the court to stop California and its criminal enterprise from any actions against him and for their help in the safe recovery of his 2 kidnapped minor children along with an immediate criminal referral to federal law enforcement to bring his assailants to justice.

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'G' followed by a large, sweeping loop that ends in a small circle.

To have peace of mind the petitioner also demands permanent immunity from any further actions by California and/or any other local/state/federal governments in the United States or internationally ensuring the protection of him, his 2 minor children, and any other direct family members that can be used as leverage to attack him.

Furthermore, the petitioner also demands massive restitution for the damages against him and his family including massive punitive damages to deter others from similar conduct in the future. With a \$4.4 Trillion GDP and the 4th largest economy in the world, California is using their resources to intentionally violate the petitioner and his 2 minor children with moral turpitude under the color of the law.

The National Significance of this case should also bring into question every other conviction by California as the moral turpitude under the color of the law is so widespread that it brings reasonable doubt into every case ever prosecuted by the Superior Court of California.


The violations of the rules of Habeas Corpus also have a clear as the pattern of racketeering that meets the criteria to prosecute this case under the RICO ACT of 1970. As you see in the Appendix's, the constitutional rights violations and violent crimes were set up by the very first FORGED document provided by California on 9/11/2020.

The coordinated attacks on the petitioner's health care are in violation of the patient Bill of Rights, the Constitution, and California Medical Associations requirements. It is also violation of federal and state law to not report as a mandatory reporter. Additionally, the petitioner's father was killed while under the care of Kaiser.

The same people who are supposed to be protecting us are the ones violating us with moral turpitude under the color of the law. California is violating the rules of Habeas Corpus with malicious intent to cause irreparable harm to the petitioner, and these criminals must be brought to justice. As John Adams once said, "Mercy to the Guilty, is Cruelty to the Innocent". Please help me defend the Constitution, safely recover my 2 kidnapped minor kids, and prevent this from happening again to any human being. I humbly request that you consider my petition for rehearing, docket #25-7502, for WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS.

July 18, 2026, Respectfully submitted,

Best regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal', followed by the date '7/18/26'.

Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal Pro Se Petitioner SCOTUS Docket No. 25-7502

4430 Deer Field Way; Danville, CA; 94506; (925) 963-0229, (925) 406-5995,
gurjot@gmail.com

A handwritten mark or signature in the bottom right corner, possibly a stylized 'G' or 'J'.

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**

No. 25-7502

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

GURJOT SINGH DHALIWAL,

Applicant,

v.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,

Respondents.


THE PETITION FOR REHEARING FOR A PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

This is the certification of Pro Se Counsel that this petition for rehearing is presented in good faith and not for delay and that the grounds are limited to intervening circumstances of substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented.

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL / UNREPRESENTED PARTY

I, Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal Pro Se petitioner, certify that this petition for rehearing is presented in good faith and not for delay and that the grounds are limited to intervening circumstances of substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented.

Dated: 7/15/26



Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal Pro Se

4430 Deer Field Way

Danville, CA 94506

(925) 963-0229

(925) 406-5995 Alternate Phone

gurjot@gmail.com

Pro Se Counsel for Applicant Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal



PROOF OF SERVICE

NO. 25-7502

In the Supreme Court of the United States

Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal Petitioner

VS.

Superior Court of California Respondent

Proof of Service

I, Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, do swear declare that on this date July 15, 2026, as required by the Supreme Court I have served the enclosed THE PETITION FOR REHEARING FOR A PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS. The rehearing petition is part of case filed on May 8, 2026 and was placed on docket June 2, 2026. The original petition was denied and the petition for rehearing postmarked on July 3, 2026, received July 8, 2026, sent back on July 9, 2026 and now being resubmitted with the corrections to comply with Supreme Court Rules. This petition has been served on each party to the above proceeding or that party's counsel, and on every person required to be served, by depositing an envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed to each of them and with first-class prepaid postage, or by delivery to a third party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days.

The names and addresses of those served are as follows:

Office of the Attorney General

State of California

1300 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on July 15, 2026

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal', written over a horizontal line.

(Signature) Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal

(925)963-0229; (925)406-5995; gurjot@gmail.com

A small, stylized handwritten mark or signature in the bottom right corner of the page.