


25-7466
No. USA 94-

ORIGINAL

No 25-6657

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



WALTON EUGENE HATZELMAN SR. — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

CIMMIS et al — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

FOURTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

WALTON EUGENE HATZELMAN SR.
(Your Name)

20 MCLEOD STREET (SAIL)
(Address)

GREENVILLE SC 29601
(City, State, Zip Code)

IN AMERICAN
~~Phone - 803-664-4519~~
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

So the attached is wrong!

~~Why have the US District Court and the 4CCA allowed this to happen in complete violation of Bankruptcy Code, Chief Justice Howell E. Burris' orders in case 20-03558-HB?~~

1. Why did Casey Stark, United Community Bank (now Federal Bankruptcy Courts in C/A 20-03558-HB)?
2. Why are Chief Justice Howell E. Burris' orders in C/A 20-03558-HB being ignored by all courts?
3. Why is Federal Magistrate Kevin F. McDonnell allowed to deny this claim when he is a partner in Nelson Mullins Law Firm?
4. Why is Federal Magistrate Kevin F. McDonnell not recused in this case when his law firm was an advisor in the Chapter 11 Bankruptcy case 20-03558-HB?

LIST OF PARTIES

- [] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- [✓] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

I AM INCARCERATED IN A LOAN JAIL. NO ACCESS TO INFORMATION,
NOT ALLOWED TO ACCESS INTERNET OR FILE ELECTRONICALLY.

RELATED CASES

~~TWO MANY TO LIST - LOOK UP MY NAME WILSON EVANS HARTMAN, SR.~~
~~I HAVE FILED 220+ CLAIMS IN THE US DISTRICT COURT OF SE. TEXAS~~
~~RECORDS IN LOUISIANA 113 CASES~~
SEE CASE NO 20-03558-HB IN BANKRUPTCY RECORDS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

See Case 20-03558-HB in Bankruptcy Court
~~Family Court District 2020-DR-25-1803 in SC Family Court~~
~~Supreme Court of South Carolina order 2020-000447~~

STATUTES AND RULES

FEDERAL MAGISTRATE KEVIN F. McDONNELL IS IN VIOLATION
CANON 3 C(1) OF THE CODE OF CONDUCT FOR
UNITED STATES JUDGES

OTHER

~~U.S. Bankruptcy Reorganization Reorganization Asset Seizure~~

15

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix 13 to the petition and is

☒ reported at ~~2002-558443~~ 6200 No. 25-6657 ^{10/10/25}; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix 13 to the petition and is

☒ reported at 6:25-CV-03302-JDA-KEM; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☒ reported at ~~2002-2020-00047~~ SC Supreme Court; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

~~THE HIGHEST COURTS,~~
~~ALLIANCE COURT,~~
~~SC SUPREME COURT~~
~~THE HIGHEST TO~~
~~THE~~

The opinion of the ~~Federal Court District 2000 DR-23-1003~~ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☒ reported at ~~South Carolina Federal Court~~; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

16

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was Sept 10, 2025. OCT 10th 2025

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: OCT 10 2025, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix N/A. See Attachment 16 A

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was APPROX JAN 21 2025.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____. DRIVER LICENSE

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: APPROX JAN 21 2025, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____. SEE FAMILY COURT RECORDS TO FIND THIS DECISION

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.
CASE 2020-DR-23-1803 SC FAMILY COURT RECORDS

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

DUE PROCESS

FREE SPEECH

WRONGFUL ARREST

MORE —

I AM IN CONFINEMENT AND BEING DENIED RESOURCES TO MEET MY

REPRESENT AND PROPER NEEDS. EVERYTHING IS DONE BY MONEY

SOME OF SC AND LOCAL COURTS REFUSE TO ACKNOWLEDGE GREAT AMOUNT
MUCH LOSS FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION ORDERS

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

AFTER A FAMILY COURT JUDGE DENIED A FEDERAL COURT ORDER,
THE FAMILY COURT JUDGE ORDERED MY ASSETS BE FROZEN IN
VIOLATION OF MULTIPLE LAWS, BANKING REGULATIONS.

CASOY BANK DEMANDS MY ARREST BECAUSE I WAS SITTING IN MY
BANK LOBBY (HOLDING MY REMAINING THE S.C. CONSTITUTION QUOTE).

ALL I HAD ASKED WAS FOR MY MONEY TO BE RETURNED IN ACCORDANCE
TO LAW.

THE CITY OF GATOR SC POLICE OFFICERS ARRESTED ME FOR TRESPASS
ALTHOUGH THERE IS NO LAW IN SC THAT PROHIBITS A CUSTOMER FROM
BEING IN THIS BANK DURING NORMAL BUSINESS HOURS.

TIME LINE =

1. I WENT TO CASOY BANK IN SEPT OF 2018 WITH CLAIM OF EMBEZZLEMENT BY
MARJORIE E. MORGAN. CASOY BANK CLAIMED SHE COULDN'T DO ANYTHING AS THE
ACCOUNT IN QUESTION WAS A JOINT ACCOUNT. THE ACCOUNT WAS OPENED SOLELY
FOR HOUSEHOLD EXPENSES. MARJORIE E. MORGAN, BY DOCUMENTED EVIDENCE
IN COURT, WAS SPENDING MONEY ON HERSELF, HER CHILDREN AND OTHERS.
2. I MOVED OUT OF MY HOME IN SEPT/OCT OF 2019. MARJORIE E. MORGAN REFUSED
TO COME
3. MARJORIE E. MORGAN FILED IN THE SC. FAMILY COURT SYSTEM AS BEING MARRIED
TO ME AND WAS REJECTED BY JUDGE TIMOTHY MADDEN IN JUNE OF 2020 AS
"NO VALID MARRIAGE".
4. MARJORIE E. MORGAN REFUSED TO MOVE OUT OF MY PROPERTY.
5. THE LOCAL MAGISTRATE WOULD NOT EVICT HER.
6. MY ATTORNEY JAMES CANNON CLAIMED THE JUDGE TIMOTHY MADDEN HAD ISSUED
A TEMPORARY ORDER THAT WAS VALID UNTIL A FINAL ORDER WAS ISSUED
7. THE TEMPORARY ORDER WAS CONTINGENT ON MARJORIE E. MORGAN FILING FOR
A NEW CLAIM "MARRIAGE IN COMMON" WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE HEARING IN
JUNE OF 2020.

SEE PAGE 18 A (18, 18A, 18B, 18C,
18D)

STATEMENT OF CASE

HUTZELMAN V ETAL USAP4 NO 25-6657

6:25-CV-03302-JDA-KFM

(PAGE 18A)

8. THE GOVERNOR OF SC. SIGNED A ORDER/BILL PASSING IN THE SC LEGISLATURE MAKING "MARRIAGE IN COMMON" (COMMON LAW MARRIAGE) NO LONGER VALID IN SC. IN SUMMER OF 2019
9. AFTER FINDING "NO VALID MARRIAGE" IN JUNE OF 2020, THE SUPREME COURT OF SC. ISSUED ORDER 2020-000447 ON JULY 26th 2020 MAKING ALL FAMILY COURT DOCKETS FILED PRIOR TO JULY 24th 2020 DISPOSABLE AS OF JULY 4th 2022.
10. REGARDLESS OF FACTS IN LAW, MARJORIE E. MORGAN REFUSED TO GIVE UP.
11. THINKING MY WIFE WAS LOST, I FILED PERSONAL CHAPTER 11 ON SEPT 15th 2020 DUE TO TWO MEN TAKING CONTROL OF ONE OF MY BUSINESSES, (GORE THIDINGS INC.) THROUGH A MANIPULATIVE MANIPULATION, THESE MEN HAD TAKEN MY MARRIAGE LAW FIRM.
12. I HIRED THE COOPER LAW FIRM TO REPRESENT ME IN THE MATTER OF ROBERT COOPER TO RESOLVE BOTH THE MORGAN V HUTZELMAN IN SC. FAMILY COURT AND THE GORE THIDINGS INC. CASE.
13. MARJORIE E. MORGAN, UNKNOWN TO ME AT THE TIME, MANIPULATED HER TAX RETURNS TO REFLECT MARRIAGE/FILING SEPARATE. (A FEDERAL TAX FRAUD)
14. MARJORIE E. MORGAN HIRED ATTORNEY RANDY SKINNER TO REPRESENT HER AS A CREDITOR IN MY CHAPTER 11 20-03558-HB.
15. RANDY SKINNER REFUSED TO SUE MARJORIE E. MORGAN IN MARRIAGE.
16. LINDA K. BARR, TRUSTEE OF THE FED BANKRUPTCY COURT, AND CHIEF JUSTICE HOWARD E. BARRIS (KNOWS THE FACT THAT MARJORIE E. MORGAN AND I WERE NOT MARRIED YET TREATED MARJORIE E. MORGAN AS A SPOUSE AND A CREDITOR. THIS WAS UNLAWFUL!!
17. ROBERT COOPER AND HIS ATTORNEYS REFUSED TO PRODUCE EVIDENCE TO

17
CONT THE COURTS.

18. THE COOPER LAW FIRM FAILED TO RESPOND TO A COMPLAINT BY NOLAN MALINS LAW FIRM WHICH REMOVED THEIR CLIENTS FROM LITIGATION ACCORDING TO MY ATTORNEY ROBERT COOPER.
19. I SIGNED A NDA UNDER DURESS AS ROBERT COOPER WAS NEGOTIATING THE SALE OF CARRE HOLDINGS INC. RATHER THAN DEFEND MY CLAIM. I DID NOT WANT TO SUE!!
20. NOLAN MALINS AND COOPER LAW FIRM NEGOTIATED A \$1,303,000 (ONE MILLION THREE HUNDRED THREE THOUSAND DOLLARS) AS A FORCED SALE OF STOCK. THERE WAS NO STOCK!!
21. ROBERT COOPER OF THE COOPER LAW FIRM INFORMED ME THAT HIS LAW FIRM WAS ORDERED BY THE BANKRUPTCY COURT TO HOLD THE \$1,303,000 IN TRUST PENDING THE FAMILY COURTS??
22. THE COOPER LAW FIRM, ITS PARTNERS ALL SPoke TO ME AS IF I WERE AN IDIOT AND COULDN'T SEE WHAT WAS GOING ON.
23. ROBERT COOPER INFORMED ME I HAD TO GET A JOB. I CAME OUT OF RETIREMENT AND TOOK A MENIAL JOB AT A LOWE LOWES HOME IMPROVEMENT STORE.
24. CHIEF JUSTICE HOWARD E. BARRIS SIGNED SEVERAL ORDERS REGARDING MY PROPERTY, EQUITY. THE MOST IMPORTANT TO ME WAS SIGNED ON AUG 27E 2021 IN CASE NO. 20-03558-143 WHICH STATED THAT MARJORIE E. MORSEMAN HAD NO EQUITY IN ANYTHING I EVER OWNED.
25. MARJORIE E. MORSEMAN AND HER FAMILY COURT ATTORNEY (SOMEHOW) HAD A DISPOSAL OF FAMILY COURT Docket (2020-DR-23-1803) RECOGNIZED AS VALID AND TOOK ME BACK TO COURT TO RETURN TO BANK COURT AND MORE THAN 1/2 MY ASSETS. THIS WAS UNLAWFUL BY COURT RECORDS

26. I WAS THREATENED BEFORE FAMILY COURT SOLEMN THUS AFTER THE RULING OF NO VALID MARRIAGE YET THE COURT REFUSED TO RESOLVE THE "NO VALID MARRIAGE" ISSUES BY JUDGE TIMOTHY MADSON.

27. ROBERT COOPER DBA THE COOPER LAW FIRM, NOR ANY OF HIS PARTNERS WERE PRESENT FOR A FAMILY COURT HEARING FOR BI-FURCATION ON APR 9th 2023.

28. ROBERT COOPER DBA THE COOPER LAW FIRM, USING MY MONEY Hired THE DMAS LAW FIRM (WILLDMAS) TO REPRESENT ME IN FAMILY COURT SUK!!!

29. I INFORMED WILL DMAS AND HIS ASSISTANT OF THE FACTS YET WILL DMAS OF THE DMAS LAW FIRM STATES HE KNOW NOTHING OF BANKRUPTCY COURT AND DID NOT AGREE WITH THE FACTS PRESENTED REGARDING SUPREME COURT OF SC ORDER 2020-000447.

30. THE FAMILY COURT TRIM, METHEUN INUMID, WAS HEARD BY FAMILY COURT JUDGE STARTING IN MARCH of 2024.

31. MARSH ROBERTSON'S MIL DIED AND TRIM WAS POSTPONED AFTER 4 DAYS OF TRIM IN WHICH MARJERIE MORELAND FAILED TO PRESENT ANY PROOF THAT SHE WAS EVER MARRIED TO ME. IN FACT, ALL OF HER WITNESSES CONTRADICTED HER CLAIMS INCLUDING HER OWN DAUGHTER MARIONDA MORELAND.

32. ON APRIL 12th 2024, JUDGE MARSH ROBERTSON VIOLATED FEDERAL BANKING LAWS, BANKRUPTCY ORDERS, ESPECIALLY THE ORDER SIGNED FEB 27th 2024 IN CASE NO 2020-03558-HB BROOKING FOR RELEASE OF REMAINING FUNDS HELD BY THE COOPER LAW FIRM. ~~THE BANK~~

33. THE REMAINING BALANCE OF THE 1,303,000 HAD BEEN SENTINAL REDUCED TO 696K WITHOUT ACCOUNTING VERIFICATION.

34. SOLEMN DMAS WONT PAY TO GET CHECK AND CASH ?? TO PAY ME MY MONEY. SEE #32

35. MY BUSINESS, USING THE FUNDS IN #33, JUDGE MARKA

ROBERTSON CARRIED MY ASSETS, INCLUDING 2 ACCOUNTS THAT WERE NOT

FOR PROFITS AND ONE JOINT ACCOUNT WITH MY DAMEL THAT I HAD SHARED

MY MOTHERS WITHHOLDING WITH MY DAMEL.

36. CARYL GARC WAS CLEAR IN SAYING THAT THE JUDGE COULD NOT SEIZE

MY ASSETS YET UNITED COMMUNITY BANK UPPER MANAGEMENT ~~AND~~ INFORMED

CARYL GARC TO FOLLOW THE UNLAWFUL SEIZURE

37. I CONTINUED NOT ONLY GARY SOME MONEY I COULD, I CONTINUED THE FORDHAM

RESERVE, THE FBI & BUREAU WROTE A LETTER TO SC (SOUTH CAROLINA LAW
ENFORCEMENT DIVISION)

38. I CONTINUED THE SC SEC OF STATE, THE INTERNAL SECURITY OFFICE AND WAS

TOLD THAT I HAD RIGHT, WITHOUT FEAR OF HARASSMENT, TO GIVE MY BANK POWERFULLY

MYSELF FOR MY ACCOUNTS TO BE CLOSED.

39. ON MAY 13TH 2024, HAVING NO FUNDS TO GIVE, MUCH LESS ANY MILES, PUT

FUEL IN MY TRUCK, SENT 5 MILES TO THE BANK SAYING MY INTENTIONS PRIOR

TO MAY 13TH 2024.

40. I WAS HARASSED IN THE LOBBY OF UCB WHILE READING THE SC CONSTITUTION.

41. I WAS CHARGED WITH CRIMINAL TRESSPASS.

42. I HAVE BEEN HARASSED 10 TIMES SINCE MAY 13TH 2024 AND REMAIN

INCARCERATED IN THE MENTAL HEALTH FLOOR OF THE GLENN MENTAL I

PRISON THE MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATION BY DR TIMOTHY CROSKINS IN OCT OF
2025.

43. THE US DE SC, THE 4CCA HAVE REFUSED TO HEAR MY CLAIM.

THERE IS MORE MORE TO THIS YET IT CANNOT BE REVERSED IF THE COURTS

OBSCURE JUSTICE.

PAGE 181 OF 181 (4 PGS WITHIN THE WRIT)

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

TO RETURN CREDIBILITY TO THE COURTS, THE BANKRUPTCY
SYSTEM, FIND JUSTICE

THE USDCSC HAS ISSUED DOKINES OF EVERY COURT I HAVE SUBMITTED
AS HAS THE 4CCA

THE LOEMI AND SOME COURTS NOT ONLY REFUSE TO FOLLOW FED COURT ORDERS,
LOEMI JUDGES REFUSE TO FOLLOW ORDERS OF LOEMI JUDGES, SOME TIMES.

BY COURT RECORDS, MY REPUTATION WILL BE RESTORED IF THE
US SC HANDLES THIS CASE.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

W. L. G. 10/11

Date: 11/21/25