

25-7448

6:22 CV-489 00 TE  
1:25-CV-01974-MRS

05-26-90074 5th CoA

05-26-90075 5th CoA

CL-25-90437 US CtFCI

No. 06-25-00109 CR/55085/55687

SAMPSON (Prose)

State 03/03/2026

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Washington DC

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED

JAN 14 2026

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

In Re Michael Edward SAMPSON — PETITIONER (Prose)  
(Your Name)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Michael Edward SAMPSON (Prose)  
(Your Name) TDCJ # 02572787

BRADSHAW LIMIT B4-02  
(Address)

3900 W. Loop 571 N

HENDERSON, TX, 75652  
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A (903) 738-4290  
(Phone Number)

LPD Police officer video Proves Jury Testimony Perjury - video withheld from Jury  
The Crooked DA dismissed the Pending case only AFTER I BY STATE  
Filed about the video evidence proving PERJURY at my JURY TRIAL  
See TRIAL 55085 video evidence 55087 PERJURED TESTIMONY by police  
Why Am I still in PRISON? Factual Government Conspiracy !!

### QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- 1.) Is it PROPER Justice For the United States Supreme Court to investigate, under its own authority, Factual Claims of U.S. Government Conspiracy Alleging Crimes Against Humanity and the Active Suppression of the USPTO Patent Pending Clean, Free Energy Technology?  
See: Michael Edward Sampson v. United States case No: 1:25-cv-01974-MRS Pg. 48-49  
6.22.Cv.489 E.D. TX.  
6.25.Cv.01974 US Ct. Fcl.  
25.40543 5th CoA  
Rule 60 Motion
- 2.) Should the Supreme Court exercise its Appellate Jurisdiction and Rule the Sixth Court of Appeals did error in Not Reversing conviction For Evading Arrest Motor Vehicle when Other Court of Appeals and Other Supreme Court cases have Reversed and overturned when No Public Endangerment was Found, No Travel/Chase on a Public Roadway, Pursuit Lasted only 50 seconds and was entirely on private property ending at the Residence of the Accused that was known to be by police?  
(JURY TRIAL TRANSCRIPT PROVIDED)
- 3.) Should the Supreme Court order the Chief Judge of the Sixth Court of Appeals to conduct the Proceedings Pertaining to Complaint of Misconduct Filed in Accordance to the Rules under the Judicial Conduct and Disabilities Act Alleging Subject Judge Alfonso Charles of the 124th District Court, Gregg County Texas is Active Participant in the U.S. Government Conspiracy and has violated Rules, Laws, and Constitutional Rights of many Citizens and the Defendant in Case No: 55085 (Police video Proving Police Jury Perjury) State of Texas v. Michael Sampson case No: 55087 (PERJURY)  
Copy of Complaint Provided to the U.S. Department of Justice  
Attorney Kavyasri Nagumotu (202) 353-0257
- 4.) \$1 Trillion Dollars Lawsuit For Reasonable Compensation Claim For the U.S. Government Conspiracy Concerning the New USPTO Patent Pending Clean, Free Energy Generation and Storage Technology is hereinafter made known to the Honorable Supreme Court Justices and is a Public, Society and Global Concern that is of such importance to the Future and wellbeing of Humanity and the Earth itself, with potential to Raise the Standard of Living on a Planetary scale as to Mandate Rules and Regulation, Laws and Statutory Considerations of Congress as Order of the Supreme Court of the United States of America. See: Michael Edward Sampson v. United States  
Case No: 1:25-cv-01974-MRS
- 5.) Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, Fifth Court of Appeals Subject Judges John D. Love and Campbell Barker  
Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, Sixth Court of Appeals Subject Judge Alfonso Charles  
State Bar of Texas Chief Disciplinary Complaint Attorney Tanya Reed  
Allegations of Criminal Conspiracy, Constitutional Violations beyond the Color of Law and not subject to Qualified immunity as intentional Criminal Acts have Factual Foundation and are Indictable and Convictable in a Criminal Court of Law as all are Relevant and Material witnesses in the  
Case No: 1:25-cv-01974-MRS Pg. 48-49

## LIST OF PARTIES

[ ] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[x] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TRIAL COURT 124th DISTRICT TEXAS JUDGE ALFONSO CHARLES  
SIXTH COURT OF APPEALS TEXARKANA TEXAS JUDGE SCOTT STEVENS  
STATE OF TEXAS DISTRICT ATTORNEY TANYA REED 124th DISTRICT COURT  
APPEAL ATTORNEY CLAIRE HUNT LONGVIEW TEXAS  
EASTERN TEXAS DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE JUDGE JOHN D LOVE  
EASTERN TEXAS DISTRICT COURT JUDGE CAMPBELL BARKER  
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ATTORNEY KAVYASRI NAGUMOTU  
UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS MOLLY R. SIFFEN  
UNITED STATES FIFTH COURT OF APPEALS NEW ORLEANS, LA.

## RELATED CASES

State of Texas v. Michael Edward Sampson CASE No: 55085 (JURY TRIAL)  
124th DISTRICT COURT TEXAS CASE No: 55087 (DISMISSED)

SAMPSON v. STATE CASE No: 06-25-00109-CR  
SIXTH COURT OF APPEALS TEXARKANA TEXAS

Michael Sampson v. United States CASE No: 06-22-CV-0489 E.D. TX.  
United States Eastern District Court Tyler Texas

Michael Sampson v. United States CASE No: 25-40543  
Fifth Court of Appeals New Orleans, LA. Rule 60 Motion

Michael Edward Sampson v. United States CASE No: 1:25-CV-01974-MRS  
United States Court of Federal Claims

COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT SUBJECT JUDGES JOHN D LOVE  
FIFTH COURT OF APPEALS CAMPBELL BARKER  
APPELLATE PANEL REVIEW CASES: 05-26-90074 / 05-26-90075  
COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT SUBJECT JUDGE ALFONSO CHARLES  
SIXTH COURT OF APPEALS

STATE BAR OF TEXAS CHIEF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL D.A. TANYA REED  
BOARD OF DISCIPLINARY APPEALS BODA

COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT SUBJECT JUDGES MOLLY R. SIFFEN  
U.S. COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS JUDICIAL COUNCIL CIRCUIT PETITION CASE No:  
CL-25-90437

Page

TABLE OF CONTENTS

48 Attachment A - Document Received in Mail from United States Court of Federal Claims Case No: 1-25-CV-01974-MRS  
48 Attachment B - Document of United States Defendant Attorney Department of Justice  
OPINIONS BELOW.....

JURISDICTION..... 2

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED..... 3

Questions Presented..... 4

STATEMENT OF THE CASE..... 5-9

Table of Authorities..... 10

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT..... 11

List of Parties..... 12

CONCLUSION..... 13

Oath (Voluntary Affidavit/Statement)..... 14

PRAYER / Unsworn Declaration / Certificate of Service..... 15

Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis Page 48-46 Attachment I 8-47

INDEX TO APPENDICES

Page 48-50 Attachment C (Photo) states Exhibit 3

16 - APPENDIX A Court of Appeals Sixth Appellate District of Texas  
Judgement No: 06-25-00109-CR

17 - APPENDIX B State Bar of Texas: Chief Disciplinary Counsel  
No: 202506369 = Michael Sampson - Tanya Louise Reed  
Appeal made to the Board of Disciplinary Appeals

18 - APPENDIX C Trial Transcript of Longview Police Officer Manion  
(Targeted Assault) Case No: 155085

19-21 - APPENDIX D Trial Transcript of State District Attorney Tanya Reed  
(Objection to Patent) (Brady Violation) (Conspirator)

22-25 - APPENDIX E Longview Police Officers Manion (Arresting); Officer Faulkner (off duty)  
Perjury about why called to assault the petitioner; Uses  
Motorcycle as excuse

26, 27 - APPENDIX F Trial Transcript of Objections to known to be false statements  
about video evidence showing defendant possessed or throwing any  
drugs (no video exist) objection overruled (prejudice)

28-31 - Appendix G False statement by Appeal Judge Stevens I Trial Transcript  
Lights and sirens after defendant turns in to town lake village II  
evading charge on private property after circle drive, III  
objection no sirens until private property IV Trial Transcript

32-35 - Appendix H Trial Judge made intentional false statement about caselaw  
and denied proper jury instruction I Trial Transcript  
evading conviction overturned caselaw II Trial Transcript  
objection to denial of jury instruction III Trial Transcript  
objection to not able to use caselaw in jury instructions IV Trial Transcript

36 - Appendix I Trial Judge Prejudice "Lucky you're not in prison" Trial Transcript

37 - Appendix J "Defense not ready" Trial Transcript

38 - Appendix K Trial Transcript Formal Objection Jury Instruction

39 - Appendix L Ineffective assistance of appeal counsel

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Reed v. Farley  
United States v. Smith, 331 U.S. 469, 67 S.Ct. 1230, 91 L.Ed 1610, 1947 U.S. Lexis 2202  
State v. Calloway, 2005 TENN. CRIM. APP. Lexis 549  
State v. DUNNORM, 2002 TENN. CRIM. APP. Lexis 501  
State v. JASON ERIC BRADBURN, 1999 TENN. CRIM. APP. Lexis 852  
BRADY v. MARYLAND

STATUTES AND RULES

TENN. CONST. ART. 1 § 6      STATEMENT OF THE CASE ~~IV~~  
JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND DISABILITIES ACT  
FEDERAL CRIMINAL STATUTES  
BRADY MATERIAL VIOLATIONS  
PROFESSIONAL RULES OF CONDUCT

OTHER

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of habeas corpus issue.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

**JURISDICTION**

For cases from **federal courts:**

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was December 12, 2025.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case. *(INNEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE)*  
*PETITION FOR HABEAS CORPUS FILED BY PROSE APPELLANT*

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

*THE SUPREME COURT HAS JURISDICTION DUE TO EVIDENCE OF GOVERNMENT CONSPIRACY AND COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OF THE SUBJECT JUDGE STEVENS (SIXTH COURT OF APPEALS) CASE NO: 06-25-00109 CR*

For cases from **state courts:**

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

### CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL, VIOLATIONS OF DUE PROCESS CLAUSE,  
VIOLATIONS OF EQUAL PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW, VIOLATIONS OF ACCESS TO  
COURTS, VIOLATIONS OF RULES UNDER THE JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND  
DISABILITIES ACT, GOVERNMENT CONSPIRACY, POLICE TRIAL PERJURY,  
PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT, DENIAL OF FAIR TRIAL, BRADY VIOLATIONS  
FACTUAL FOUNDATIONS OF U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS, UNDER THE  
1ST, 4TH, 5TH, 6TH, 8TH AND 14TH AMENDMENTS TO THE US CONSTITUTION  
VIOLATIONS OF LAWS OF PERJURY, THEFT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY,  
SABOTAGE, ELECTRONIC INTERFERENCE, CRIMINAL MANIPULATION, STALKING,  
BANKING CRIMES, ATTEMPTED VEHICULAR MANSLAUGHTER, FALSE REPORT,  
FALSE ARREST, FALSE IMPRISONMENT  
VIOLATIONS OF TITLE III OF THE OMNIBUS CRIME CONTROL AND SAFE  
STREETS ACT (WHICH RESTRICTS WIRETAPPING AND ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE  
BY STATE AND FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS  
ENTRAPMENT, SETUP

IN Re: Michael Edward Sampson (Prise)

Supreme Court of the United States  
Petition For Writ of Habeas Corpus  
Rule 20 4(A) Statement

The Petitioner would show that exceptional circumstances and fact evidence is provided in the enclosed Petition For Writ of Habeas Corpus that Warrant the exercise of the Court's discretionary powers and further adequate relief cannot be obtained in any other form or from any other court.

IN SUPPORT OF THIS Rule 20 4(A) Statement showing reasons for not making application to the District Court of the District in which the Applicant is held and are as follows:

1.) TRIAL TRANSCRIPT PROVING the District Judge of the 124th District Court Alfonso Charles did Lie/make False Statements about Caselaw AND JURY INSTRUCTIONS AND did conduct a "KANGAROO COURT" TRIAL whilst knowledgeable of the Free Energy Patent Infringement and Government CONSPIRACY ASSAULT AND COVER UP. See: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT SENT TO THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE REQUESTING FILING WITH THE PROPER AUTHORITIES due to the Refusal of the Acknowledgement of the Sixth Court of Appeals.

2.) TRIAL Judge Alfonso Charles illegally and UNCONSTITUTIONALLY made order for the Clerk of the 124th District Court to withhold evidence AND RETURN EVIDENTIARY documents with "Do Not File" order.

Note: The AFFIDAVIT AND OTHER FACT EVIDENCES PROVING CONSPIRACY were then sent via mail to the Sixth Court of Appeals as well as other PAPERS LEGAL MOTIONS ALLEGING MISCONDUCT AND INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF APPEAL COUNSEL.

3.) The District Attorney for the 124th District Court simply "dismissed" the Felony Possession offense that was used at my JURY TRIAL AS UNCONVICTED EXTRANEOUS OFFENSES AFTER EVIDENCE BY WAY OF WITNESS AFFIDAVIT AND PERSONAL CELLPHONE VIDEO AND POLICE BODYCAM VIDEO WAS STATED IN WRITING TO EXIST PROVING LONGVIEW POLICE OFFICERS GAVE PERJURED TESTIMONY AND did commit False Arrest False Report, False Imprisonment, Video evidence PROVING the Police Lied at my JURY TRIAL while on the stand, with supporting witness AFFIDAVIT AND I AM STILL IN PRISON, THAT ALONE SHOULD MERIT THIS HABEAS CORPUS BEING HEARD!

4.) The Sixth Court of Appeals Judge Stevens did make intentional omissions to the facts of the case and did make statements, lies, that are directly contradicted by the TRIAL TRANSCRIPT.

Rule 20 4(A) STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

5.) The Sixth Court of Appeals Judge Stevens did with total DISREGARD for the TRUTH ignore the witness affidavit, the written knowledge of the video proving conclusively Police PERJURY whilst on the witness stand at the JURY TRIAL. See TRIAL TRANSCRIPT AND Body CAM video AND PERSONAL cellphone video AND MANY other FACT evidences proving CONSPIRACY at Google Photos, Google DRIVE FOR ACCOUNTS:

- A.) michaelSAMPSON91@gmail.com (All Lower case) PASSWORD: <sup>PASKEY</sup> SAMPSON@IES2018  
(Lowercase)(UPPERCASE)
- B.) michaelSAMPSON390@gmail.com Michael 1575
- C.) IndependentENERGYSYSTEMS@OUTLOOK.com SAMPSON1972?  
EDWARD1972
- D.) SPRINGtimePowerCompany@gmail.com (same as above)

6.) The Sixth Court of Appeals Judge Stevens did with total DISREGARD for the TRUTH ignore the APPELLANTS Petition for WRIT of Habeas CORPUS proving INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE of Counsel and did in direct CONTRADICTION to the ENTIRE TRIAL TRANSCRIPT state the APPELLANT "SAMPSON had one sole issue of APPEAL." WHEREAS, the TRIAL TRANSCRIPT, I, AS MY OWN ATTORNEY SELF Re PRESENTATION made MANY PRESERVATIONS of APPEAL issues throughout the "KAMAROO TRIAL".

7.) The FACT is that this CASE is A RESULT of A U.S. GOVERNMENT CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY meant to withhold and SUPPRESS my LISPTO Patent PENDING New Renewable ENERGY Technology that will change the ENTIRE Planet AND AFFECT every man, woman and child on it. For TECHNICAL SUPPORT CONTACT MR. Michael McGOWAN of AARON ENERGY AUSTRALIA and/or the ACCOUNTS Listed above.

8.) Evidence of CRIMINAL Government activity is AVAILABLE in its own RECORDS AND/or the evidences CURRENTLY Subject of the Petition For EMERGENCY INJUNCTION IN Re: Michael Edward SAMPSON

I have exhausted ALL other possible Remedies in many, many Lower COURTS AND it is in the best interest of the Public Trust For this Case/ Petition to be heard in the U.S. SUPREME COURT AS Global economy, NATIONAL SECURITY AND the PEACE AND DIGNITY of the UNITED STATES, ARE PERTAINING issues including the U.S. NAVY AND DEPARTMENT of DEFENSE. ~~X (PARTIAL witness List) X~~

Siemens Electric  
Claudia Dunkel  
Aaron Energy Australia  
Michael McGowan

Note: Under Secretary of Commerce Kathy Vidal Director LISPTO  
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE KAVYARSI NAGUMATU 202-3530527  
LISPTO Otellia Thompson / DARNELL, JAYNE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY John Livingston / INSPECTOR GENERAL

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE I

### & RULE 20.4(A) STATEMENT

The Federal Statutory Claim PORTION OF THIS PETITION IS COGNIZABLE IN THAT A FUNDAMENTAL DEFECT WHICH INHERENTLY RESULTED IN A COMPLETE MISARRANGE OF JUSTICE IN THE JURY TRIAL HAS OCCURED THAT IS INCONSISTANT WITH THE RUDIMENTARY DEMANDS OF FAIR PROCEDURE. THE TOTALITY OF CIRCUMSTANCES PRESENT EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES RENDERING THE NEED FOR THE REMEDY AFFORDED BY THE WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS APPARENT. THE 12TH DISTRICT COURT (TRIAL COURT OF CONVICTION) AND THE SIXTH COURT OF APPEALS HAVE BOTH FAILED TO COMPLY WITH THE FORMAL REQUIREMENTS OF FEDERAL STATUTES IN MATTERS OF APPEAL THAT HAVE OCCURED IN THE CONTEXT OF AGGRAVATED CIRCUMSTANCES INVOLVING TRIAL PERJURED TESTIMONY, FALSE REPORTS, FAKE ARREST AND FALSE IMPRISONMENT, DENIAL OF PROPER JURY INSTRUCTIONS, U.S. GOVERNMENT CONSPIRACY AND THE STATE OF TEXAS KNOWINGLY AND INTENTIONALLY (DISMISSING) THE FELONY CHARGES, INDICTED AFTER CONCLUSIVE EVIDENCE OF POLICE TRIAL PERJURY IN CASE No: 55085 AND THE ALLEGED OFFENSE FELONY INDICTMENT CASE No: 55087, 55089. VIOLATIONS OF THE RULES UNDER THE JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND DISABILITIES ACT OCCURED WHEN THE SIXTH COURT OF APPEALS JUDGE STEVENS MADE FALSE STATEMENTS AFFIRMING THE TRIAL COURTS CONVICTION CONTRARY TO THE EVIDENCES SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER IN MATTERS OF INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF APPEAL COUNSEL ASSIGNED BY A PREJUDICIAL TRIAL COURT. FURTHER VIOLATIONS OCCURED WHEN THE SIXTH COURT OF APPEALS ACCEPTED THE OBVIOUSLY INEFFECTIVE AND DEFICIENT APPEAL BRIEF OF THE APPEAL ATTORNEY THAT DID NOT REFLECT THE MATTERS OF APPEAL MADE IN OPEN COURT AND OBVIOUS IN THE TRIAL TRANSCRIPT MADE AVAILABLE TO THE SIXTH COURT OF APPEALS JUDGE STEVENS: CASE No: 06-25-00109 CR "SAMPSON V. STATE. THE <sup>FALSE</sup> OPINION OF JUDGE STEVENS'S <sup>FALSITY WRONG</sup> EVIDENCED IN THE MATTERS OF APPEAL SPECIFICALLY STATED AND APPARENT BY THE TRIAL RECORD MADE BY PROSE (SELF REPRESENTED) DEFENDANT! SEE: APPENDIX (TRIAL TRANSCRIPT) THE SIXTH COURT OF APPEALS JUDGE STEVENS IS IN VIOLATION OF THE JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND DISABILITIES ACT IN FAILURE TO IDENTIFY THE INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF APPEAL COUNSEL THAT IS OBVIOUS IN THE JUDGES OPINION ON THE "SOLE APPEAL ISSUE" OF THE APPELLANT WHEREAS THE PROSE DEFENDANT/APPELLANT STATED WITH THE TRIAL COURT MANY SPOKEN ISSUES OF APPEAL MATTERS DURING THE JURY TRIAL AND AS SUCH PART OF THE TRANSCRIPT. THIS TOTAL DISREGARD FOR FAIR APPEAL PROCEEDINGS FURTHER CORROBORATES AND SUPPORTS THE CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY ALLEGATIONS AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES TO INCLUDE FELONY CRIMES COMMITTED BY SEVERAL JUDGES NAMED IN THIS PETITION AND THEREIN GIVING RISE TO COGNIZABLE HABEAS CLAIM THUS SUPPORTING THE APPLICATION/PETITION FILED IN THE SUPREME COURT AND NOT THE SIXTH COURT OF APPEALS DUE TO FACTUAL EVIDENCE PROVIDED IN THE APPENDIX PROVING DENIAL OF FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS AND JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT AS A MATTER OF TRIAL RECORD. THE PETITIONER IS INCARCERATED IN VIOLATION OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTIONAL AND THE CURRENT INCARCERATION HAS FACTUAL FOUNDATIONS PROVING IT IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL. THE PETITIONER HAS SHOWN THE HONORABLE SUPREME COURT THAT HE HAS NO OTHER REMEDY BY WHICH TO REQUEST THE RELIEF AND THAT HE HAS OR CANNOT EXHAUST ANY FURTHER IN ANY OTHER COURT THE MATTERS HEREIN EVIDENCED, ALLEGED AND PROVEN.

## Statement of the Case II

Habeas Corpus Provides Remedy For Constitutional Errors Occurring During Trial of Criminal Cases; United States v. Smith

The Petitioner has stated several reasons proving fair proceedings are impossible in either the Trial Court or the Appeals Court due to Prejudice, intentional Constitutional Violations, Ineffective Assistance of Counsel and Judicial Misconduct of the Trial Judge Alfonso Charles and the Appeals Court Judge Scott E. Stevens. This Petition is not made for reasons of delay, it is not frivolous or malicious and is entered in good faith of the Peace and Dignity of the United States. The Petitioner is currently illegally and Unconstitutionally incarcerated in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice in the Bradshaw Unit at 3900 W. Loop 571 N, Henderson Texas 75652, serving an eight year sentence for evading arrest that happened as a result of the Petitioner's USPTO Patent Pending invention of a new clean, free and fossil fuel absent method of producing energy and energy storage capable of causing an energy industry disruption on a scale as to affect every man, woman or child on the Planet Earth. On June 22, 2023 the Petitioner did drive a motorcycle with fictitious plates, to the Federal Courthouse to meet with the Clerk of the Court of the Eastern District Federal Court of Texas, Tyler Division with the specific intentions of disobeying two (2) written orders denying the Petitioner to submit fact evidences proving conclusively, not conclusory, convicting the Federal Judge John D Love and Campbell Barker of making known to be fake statements about the facts of Case No: 6:22-CV-489 E.D.Tx. "Michael Sampson v. United States". See: 42 Page Documented evidence and one electronic flashdrive (placed in Federal Vault) "Objection to the Magistrate Judges Report and Recommendation". The evidence contains fact documents, the videos and audio recordings on the flashdrive prove conspiracy of high level government officials, including the criminal theft of \$20 million dollars from the Petitioner's personal checking account. See: Complaint of Judicial Misconduct Subject Judges Love and Barker; Fifth Court of Appeals New Orleans, LA.

The fact evidences violating the Federal Judges order was hand delivered at approximately 3:00 P.M. June 22, 2023, by 9:30 A.M. on June 23, 2023 the very next morning the Plaintiff/Petitioner was being assaulted by Longview Police Officers; See: Trial Transcript Testimony of the Arresting Police Officer Page 18 Appendix C Line 12 Arresting Officer Manion LPD quote "I specifically came over there looking for him" The Longview Police Officer was called by another officer (not his supervisor) to come across town (city of Longview) to assault and arrest me the very next morning after I submitted evidence capable of sending two Federal Judges to prison. See: Michael Edward Sampson v. United States Case No: 1:25-cv-01974-MRS \$1 Trillion Dollars Lawsuit Proving the Department of Energy; NREL Falsified A.R.I.E.S. Project Funding Application and Patent Infringement on Independent Energy Systems I.E.S. Patent Pending USPTO No: 62/921,477. A.R.I.E.S. is acronym for Advanced Research on I.E.S. Specifically researching spring loaded energy devices in 2019 the same year as my USPTO Provisional Patent Application faulting the USPTO and the video and audio recordings that the Petitioner preserved as evidence herein.

### Statement of The Case III

The United States Court of Federal Claims AND the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE HAS RECEIVED HANDWRITTEN DOCUMENTS PROVIDING THE EMAIL ACCOUNTS WHEREBY FACTUAL EVIDENCES RECORDED AND PRESERVED BY THE PETITIONER IN PREPARATION FOR THE U.S. GOVERNMENT CONSPIRACY AS THE THE NEW RENEWABLE ENERGY TECHNOLOGY IS SCALABLE TO POWER LARGE METROPOLITAN CITIES TO RURAL RESIDENCES TO ANYWHERE ON EARTH AND BEYOND WITHOUT FOSSIL FUELS AND ESSENTIALLY THE ENERGY, CLIMATE AND ENVIRONMENTAL CRISIS SOLUTION. THERE ARE CONSPIRATORS THAT ARE DIRECTLY OBJECTIONABLE TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SELF CHARGING ELECTRIC VEHICLES ETC.

The TRIAL COURT WAS A "KANGAROO TRIAL" AND HAS LEAD TO A "KANGAROO APPEAL." THE PETITIONER WOULD SHOW APPENDIX D TRIAL TRANSCRIPT PROVING THE STATE OF TEXAS PROSECUTORS WERE AWARE OF THE PATENT INFRINGEMENT CONSPIRACY AND DID OBJECT TO THE INTRODUCTION OF FEDERAL PATENT DOCUMENTS AS EVIDENCE AT TRIAL THAT WAS SUSTAINED BY SUBJECT TRIAL JUDGE ALFONSO CHARLES; SEE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT SUBJECT JUDGE ALFONSO CHARLES REFUSED TO BE FILED BY THE SIXTH COURT OF APPEALS CLERK, COPY SENT TO U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ATTORNEY KAYVASKI NAGUMOTU (202) 353-0257 CASE NO: 1:25-CV-01974-MRS MICHAEL EDWARD SAMPSON V. UNITED STATES, DOE, & USPTO; \$1 TRILLION DOLLARS INFRINGEMENT CLAIM.

APPENDIX D I, II, III SHOW THE STATE OF TEXAS' AWARENESS AND KNOWLEDGE OF THE PATENT INFRINGEMENT CONSPIRACY AND THE BRADY MATERIAL VIOLATION IN THE STATES OBJECTION TO THE INTRODUCTION OF FEDERAL DOCUMENTS FAVORABLE TO THE DEFENDANT/PETITIONER. THIS IS A CONVICTIONABLE HABEAS CLAIM OF CONSTITUTIONAL PROPORTIONS. SEE: STATE BAR OF TEXAS CHIEF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL COMPLAINT. SEE ALSO: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT THAT EVIDENCES TRIAL JUDGE ALFONSO CHARLES ALSO COMMITTED BRADY VIOLATION IN THAT THE TRIAL COURT HAD PRIOR FACTUAL KNOWLEDGE OF THE FREE ENERGY PATENTS.

APPENDIX E I, II, III & IV TRIAL TRANSCRIPT OF OFFICERS PERJURED ATTEMPTS TO JUSTIFY ASSAULTING THE PETITIONER AFTER BEING CALLED ACROSS TOWN FOR UNSUBSTANTIATED SUSPECTED STOLEN MOTORCYCLE OR NARCOTIC ACTIVITY. THE PETITIONER WOULD SHOW THAT THE INSTIGATING POLICE OFFICER FAULKNER AND STATE BOTH AGREED THE PETITIONER HAD BEEN UNDER SURVEILLANCE FOR SOME TIME HOWEVER THE STATE WITHHELD FROM THE JURY THAT THE DEFENDANT/PETITIONER HAD BEEN CALLED TO MOTEL 6 BY A KNOWN CONFIDENTIAL INFORMANT NAMED (ASHLEY) A YOUNG BLACK FEMALE REQUESTING ASSISTANCE TO PACK UP AND CHECK OUT OF HER MOTEL ROOM.

APPENDIX F I, II TRIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE PETITIONER/DEFENDANT OBJECTING TO FALSE ALLEGATIONS OF VIDEO SHOWING THE PETITIONER/DEFENDANT "THROUGHING DRUGS"! THE TRIAL COURT OVERRULED BOTH OBJECTIONS. HOWEVER, THE STATE IS NOT PRODUCING ANY VIDEO PROVING THE FALSE STATEMENTS AND NEITHER DOES THE COURT! (CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION OF FAIR TRIAL)

APPENDIX G SIXTH COURT OF APPEALS JUDGE STEVENS STATES THE LPD OFFICER "PARKED ACROSS THE STREET" WHEN THIS IS KNOWN TO BE FALSE AND THE JUDGE OMITTED THE FACT THAT THE REST OF THAT SENTENCE WAS OFFICER MANION STATING "I THINK IT'S ACTUALLY OFF THE MAP. I WAS FURTHER DOWN THIS WAY". THE JUDGE INTENTIONALLY OMITTED THIS CRUCIAL FACT IN ATTEMPT TO SHOW TRAVEL ON A PUBLIC ROADWAY WHILE IN PURSUIT, BECAUSE THE JUDGE IS AWARE NO PUBLIC ROADWAY = REVERSAL!

Statement of the Case IV

Appendix G II Shows the State Prosecutor TANYA REED STATING "WHAT HAPPENS AFTER MR. SAMPSON TURNS INTO TOWN LAKE VILLAGE?" OFFICER MANION STATES "AT THAT POINT, I WAS LIGHTS AND SIRENS"

Appendix G III Intentionally Omitted From the Sixth Court of Appeals Judge Stevens Opinion Affirming the Trial Court See Line 15-22... 20-22 Quote "From the time you said that you had evading charge on me, was that on private property?" OFFICER MANION Quote "I mean it - I would say sure".

The Petitioner would show that (State v. Calloway) Quote "...there was no evidence that defendant's driving caused a risk of death or injury to others beyond a reasonable doubt... Because the State failed to prove that element of the offense, the evidence was insufficient to support the conviction for Class D Felony Evading Arrest". "The only 'street, road, alley or highway' that that the defendant traveled was when he crossed University Court... 'Only part of a defendant's flight from law enforcement officers needs to occur on a street, road, alley or highway to support a felony evading arrest conviction' The Trial Transcript shows the arresting officer Manion stating the entire alleged evading arrest occurred on 'private property' and Town Lake Drive a private gated community driveway not a public roadway. 'It has long been the rule that when the proof at trial is not sufficient to support the greater offense, but is sufficient to support a lesser included offense, an appellate court has the authority to order a reduction in the degree of the offense for which the defendant could be convicted' (State v. Calloway). 'Neither misdemeanor evading arrest nor class E felony evading arrest involves a 'victim' per se. The petitioner would show that he himself is the only victim in this false arrest/false report/false imprisonment case.

(State v. Dunnorm, 2002 TENN. CRIM. APP. LEXIS 501) HN39 Quote "Because misdemeanor evading arrest may be committed on public or private property and felony evading arrest requires travel on a public roadway"

Appendix H I, II, III & IV The Trial Judge states case law pertaining to private property Quote "I do not see anything in the statute or case law that even discusses private property regarding evading arrest in a vehicle" Constitutional violation and judicial misconduct of subject Judge Alfonso Charles, see: Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, no response when filed to the Sixth Court of Appeals so the petitioner sent/mailed copy to the Department of Justice, Attorney Kavyarsi Nagumotu Case No: 1:25-cv-01974-MRS "MICHAEL SAMPSON V. UNITED STATES" UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS \$1 TRILLION DOLLARS PATENT INFRINGEMENT AND U.S. GOVERNMENT CRIMINAL FREE ENERGY CONSPIRACY LAWSUIT. (202) 353-0257 HN43 JURY INSTRUCTIONS "The failure to charge a lesser-included offense qualifies as an error of constitutional proportions; the right to trial by jury is of constitutional dimension is evidenced by its embodiment... that constitutional right is violated when the jury is not permitted to consider all offenses supported by the evidence" TENN CONST. ART 1 § 6

(State v. Jason Eric Bradburn) "In a footnote, the court interpreted that felony evading arrest statute to require that flight take place on a 'public' road" "With no evidence of risk after the defendant entered the private street, the evidence was insufficient to sustain a conviction for class D felony evading arrest"

## Statement of the Case V

(STATE V. JASON ERIC BRADBURN) Quote "The Defendant's Conviction is Reversed Rather than Modified to the Class E Felony only because the Trial Court Failed to Properly Instruct on the Lesser Included Offenses as discussed in Section 111. The Cause must be Remanded, therefore a New Trial on Class E Felony Evading Arrest"

Appendix I The Trial Court Judge Alfonso Charles states he believes I should have been convicted at a previous trial wherein I represented myself and was found not guilty by the jury. Quote "You're lucky your not in prison right now" This proves prejudice and denial of right to fair trial or pretrial proceedings

Appendix J Trial Transcript shows the Defendant being forced to proceed unfairly without the evidence motioned for and known to be in possession of the State of Texas and Longview Police Department

Appendix K Trial Transcript of formal objection to the Trial Court's denial of jury instruction request from Defendant.

Appendix L Ineffective assistance of appeal counsel in filing the appeal brief with showing only 1 sole issue or argument and the appeal judge ignoring the obvious trial transcript record proving ineffective assistance of counsel coupled with the many papers filed causing the state to "Dismiss" pending indicted and untried felony offenses due to conclusive evidences of police officer perjury at and during jury trial testimony. The perjured police testimony proves false arrest/false report/false imprisonment known to the State of Texas District Attorney's office, the 124th District Trial Court Alfonso Charles and the Sixth Court of Appeals as the perjury is evidenced in factual police video of case no: 55087 that was perjured testimony in jury trial under case no: 55085, Evidence of government conspiracy and undisputable felony police perjury at jury trial and I am still in prison? WTF?

Note: The Police Perjury and video evidence were and transcript were mailed to the United States Court of Federal Claims under case no: 1:25-cv-01974-MRS as part of the evidence of conspiracy and pertain to the Petitioner's arrest at the gate of his residence of Town Lake Drive when the state made the officer provide testimony about a pill bottle allegedly thrown from the passenger side of the vehicle while I was parked in the driver's seat at the gated entrance. The state withheld the video that shows the front passenger window "UP" and the back passenger window down. The police officer lied about the windows because he said he arrested me because the back window was up, this is false by video of the police and the personal cell phone video at Springtime Power Company @gmail.com Google Photos or Michael Sampson 91@gmail.com This known to be perjured testimony also has affidavit from the registered owner of the vehicle stating the front window track is broken and C-clamped inside the door preventing the window from ever being in the down position further proving the perjury. Case no: 55085 TRIM / Police False Arrest / False Report / Trial Perjury

CASE NO: 55087

55089

Record is already made throughout the Judicial System of the United States and is in the Department of Justice 1:25-cv-01974-MRS This case can potentially restructure a good portion of the political environment of the U.S. Government for the group that "stands up,"

### REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The Honorable Supreme Court should grant the petition because exceptional circumstances can be shown in the fact that the prosecutors for the state of Texas allowed Longview City Police Officers to give perjured testimony in the petitioners felony jury trial case no: 55085.

Upon receiving written notification of the fact video evidence of Police Body Cam, Defendants personal cell phone video and vehicle owner third party written affidavit conclusively proving intentional and knowing acts of criminal jury trial perjury committed by Longview Police Officers on case no: 55087 of case no: 55085 jury trial, the state of Texas simply "dismissed" case no: 55087 that was used to enhance the punishment phase of jury trial under 55085. The court of appeals willfully and intentionally ignored the factual evidences of Police Officer Trial Perjury and complaints of misconduct of the state of Texas District Attorney Tanya Reed.

See: Petition for writ of Habeas Corpus sent to the United States

Court of Federal Claims case no: 1:25-cv-01974-MRS

Note: Court Transcript fact evidence of perjury sent as original copy to the Honorable Judge Molly R. Silfen 1:25-cv-01974 MRS

See: Sampson v. State case no: 06-25-00109 CR Trial court affirmed (Appendix A)

Exceptional circumstances exist in that the position of the United States is not substantial and the petitioners arrest of case no: 55085 jury trial and the Longview Police Officer attempted vehicular manslaughter evidenced by video dashcam was committed in retaliation less than 12 hours after the petitioner appeared in person in the Federal District Court of Tyler Texas and did, against two written denials of the Federal Judge John D Love prohibiting the petitioner of submitting factual federal documents, fact videos, fact audio recordings, fact banking information proving the United States Government and high level officials therein guilty of conspiracy and the Judge John D Love and Campbell Barker of making known to be false statements and defamation of character on case no: 6:22-cv-489 E.D. Tx Michael Sampson v. United States.

Exceptional circumstances exist in that the petitioner has made known undisputable factual evidence in video and trial transcript proving the state of Texas intentionally and knowingly used testimony that is proven Longview Police Officer perjury of at least five officers and false report / fake arrest / false imprisonment / U.S. Government conspiracy / crimes against humanity concerning the clean, free energy technology that is intellectual property of the petitioner! Estimated to be a \$19 trillion dollars energy industry revolution that will prompt the largest transfer of wealth in human history!

SAMPSON  
v  
State

CASE No: 06-25-00109 CR  
Sixth Court of Appeals  
APPEAL From case No:  
55085 AND 55087  
124th District Court

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
IN AND FOR  
WASHINGTON, DC.

\*  
Evidence Documents mailed to 6th C.O.A.  
MANY PAPERS WERE ORIGINALS AN MUST BE  
REQUESTED COPIES FROM THE 6th C.O.A.  
(TDCJ MAINTAINS RECORDS OF INDIGENT LEGAL MAILINGS)

See Also: Case No: 1:25-cv-01974 MRS  
Michael Edward Sampson  
v.  
UNITED STATES  
(U.S. Ct. F. Li.)

Petition For Writ of Habeas Corpus

(Oath)

I, Michael Edward Sampson, Petitioner, in the above entitled cause, being of sound mind and body, capable of making this sworn affidavit/oath, freely and voluntarily, knowingly and intentionally and of my own personal knowledge and belief, under penalty of felony criminal perjury declare the entire petition for writ of habeas corpus is true and correct and my sworn statement is as follows:

Chief Judge Scott E. Stevens did make knowing and intentional false statements, incorrect and misleading facts in the "Memorandum Opinion" pertaining to the appeal of jury trial 55085 124th District Court Judge Alfonso Charles Presiding. The false statements are not only in direct conflict and contrary to the trial transcript evidence, but also contrary to the many evidences the petitioner made in writing, with supporting evidentiary documents to include third party affidavits conclusively corroborating the fact police video of case no: 55087 and therein jury trial criminal perjury and intentional false testimony given to the jury of case no: 55085. The withholding of the police videos proving perjury, false arrest/false report false imprisonment of at least 5 Longview Police Officers, including a supervisor, all supported by the petitioners personal cellphone video preserved as evidence in Google Photos (Michaelsampson91@gmail.com)/SpringtimePowerCompany@gmail.com). The trial transcript shows many "objections" made and specifically for matters of appeal, further proving constitutional violations and JC 4 D Rules violations of Chief Judge Scott E. Stevens, intentionally disgracing the Sixth Court of Appeals and violating the Public's Trust. State of Texas District Attorney Tanya Reed and Judge Alfonso Charles are both "guilty" of Brady material violations, professional conduct rules and judicial conduct and disabilities acts violations when the intentional objections to the introduction of fact federal USPTO documents, the videos of evidence from the defendants personal evidentiary files and the records from the Longview Police Department pertaining to the defendant, thus violating the right to fair trial and the public's trust. The crooked and corrupt officials named herein have cost the petitioner to lose every personal possession of this material world, ruined several years of my life, however, even at my lowest, my faith in God and trust in Jesus Christ is strongest. What God has given no man shall take. IF asked to testify, this oath is my testimony. Executed by the petitioner,

I stand on truth and God.

Glory to God!

AMEN

Michael Edward Sampson  
Michael Edward Sampson  
TDCJ # 02572787 B4-02  
Bradshaw Unit  
3900 W. Loop 571 N.  
Henderson, TX. 75652

ON this the 1st day of January, 2026

SAMPSON  
v  
State

No: 06-25-00109-CR  
55085, 55087

Supreme Court of the United States  
IN AND FOR  
WASHINGTON, DC.

1:25-CV-01974-MRS US Ct F.1

Petition For writ of Habeas Corpus

PRAYER

Wherefore, Premises considered, the Petitioner PRAYS the Honorable Court Find Good Cause shown that the Petitioner has No other Remedy, has exhausted ANY other Request For Relief, has made Good Faith effort, not for reasons of delay, that it is in the best interest of Justice for the Supreme Court to exercise its Appellate Authority, Reverse the Conviction having found ineffective assistance of Counsel, Constitutional violations making the Petitioners current incarceration illegal and unconstitutional. In doing so, further find and identify violations of Judicial conduct and Disabilities Act and Professional Rules of conduct has been evidenced beyond mere perpendance and order investigation into the Active U.S. Government Criminal Conspiracy that is against the Peace, Dignity and Laws of the United States of America, GRANTING the Petitioner ANY/all relief entitled by Law and make Criminal charges on the Criminal Conspirators Prosecuting same to the fullest extent of the Law. Order the Clerk to provide Receipt Response without undue delay Respectfully Submitted, Michael Edward Sampson

Unsworn Declaration

I, Michael Edward Sampson, being currently illegally incarcerated in TDCJ at the Bradshaw Unit in Henderson Texas, do hereby declare under penalty of Felony Criminal Perjury that the entire above and foregoing Petition and the facts contained therein are true and correct to the best of my own knowledge and belief so help me God. Executed on this the 1st day of January 2026.

See: HAYNES v. KERNER "should it become applicable the prose shall be held to a lesser standard" By the Petitioner, Michael Edward Sampson

Certificate of service

I, Michael Edward Sampson, do hereby declare/certify that a True and correct copy has been served to the U.S. Supreme Court by placing same in the U.S. Mail postage pre-paid by the Petitioner on this the 1st day of January 2025.

{ Mail Fraud suspected - TDCJ notified }  
{ Receipt response requested }  
{ Record of mailing made }

By the Petitioner,  
Michael Edward Sampson  
Michael Edward Sampson  
TDCJ # 02572787 B4-02  
Bradshaw Unit  
3900 W. Loop 571 N.  
Henderson, TX 75652

SAMPSON  
v.  
State

No: 06-25-00109-CR  
55085/55087/55089  
No: 1:25-CV-01974-MRS

Supreme Court of the United States  
in and for  
Washington, DC

In Re: Michael Edward SAMPSON  
6:22-cv-0489 E.D.TX/25-40543

11/01/2026

SUPPLEMENTAL Foreword

1 of 1  
31-36 SF

To the Honorable Supreme Court of the United States,

I, Michael Edward SAMPSON, PROSE PETITIONER have in good FAITH AND TRUST in the Honor and integrity of the SUPREME COURT CLERKS AND JUSTICES, PROVIDED FACT EVIDENCES OF THE ONLY TRIAL TRANSCRIPT ORIGINALS THAT I POSSESS AS I AM UNABLE TO MAKE COPIES. I AM ATTEMPTING TO PROVE ONLY VERIFIABLE FACTS AND HAVE MADE COGNIZABLE CLAIMS NOT SUPPORTED BY CONCLUSORY STATEMENTS BUT INSTEAD FACTUAL FOUNDATIONS thus documented.

AS TO "SET THE PROPER CONTEXT AND TRIAL STAGE" I HAVE MADE THIS FIRST WRITING AS SUPPLEMENTAL Foreword to show FACTS exist PROVING VIOLATION OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF THE PETITIONER, PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT, MALICIOUS PROSECUTIONS, VIOLATIONS OF PROFESSIONAL RULES OF CONDUCT, PREJUDICE BY THE TRIAL JUDGE AND VIOLATIONS OF THE JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND DISABILITIES ACT RESULTING IN AN OBVIOUS MISSCARriage OF JUSTICE AND DENIAL OF FAIR TRIAL.

(Pages 31-36 SF) THESE FEW PAGES ARE TRIAL TRANSCRIPT THAT ARE SELF EXPLANATORY AS EVIDENCE OF VIOLATIONS OF FAIR TRIAL. THE PROSECUTOR AND JUDGE HAVE VIOLATED THE RIGHTS OF THE PETITIONER AND PROBABLY MUCH TO THEIR SURPRISE THEY ARE CAUGHT "RED HANDED" BETRAYING THE PUBLIC TRUST OF THE 124th DISTRICT COURT TO ADMINISTER FAIR AND EQUAL JUSTICE!

THE PETITIONER DID REPRESENT HIMSELF IN THIS "KANGAROO TRIAL" WHERE EVEN THE VENIRE PERSON, UNLEARNED IN LAW, RECOGNIZED THE INTENTIONAL PREMEDITATED ACT OF MISCONDUCT, MAINLY BECAUSE, THE CRIMES ARE NOT PART OF THE DEFENDANTS CRIMINAL HISTORY AT ALL AND VIOLATE THE ORDER OF LIMINE AND FAIR TRIAL, CAUSING JUST LIKE THE JUROR STATED PREJUDICE AGAINST THE DEFENDANT AT THE BEGINNING OF THE TRIAL AND THE JUDGE VIOLATING SUBJECT JUDGE ALFONSO CHARLES KNOWINGLY WENT RIGHT ALONG WITH IT!

SO LETS NOT FORGET I WAS SENTENCED TO EIGHT YEARS TDCJ FOR EVADING ARREST AND AT THE END OF TRIAL THE PROSECUTOR DID ALLOW THE FACT FELONY PERJURED TESTIMONY, AND WITHHELD THE POLICE VIDEO CAMERAS THAT PROVED THE PERJURY FALSE ARREST / FALSE REPORT OF AT LEAST 5 LONGVIEW POLICE OFFICERS.

EVENTUALLY, THE TRUTH WILL BE KNOWN, THE TRUE CRIMINAL OFFICIALS WILL BE CHARGED WITH THE CRIMES COMMITTED AND MY USPTO PATENT PENDING FREE ENERGY TECHNOLOGY \$1 TRILLION DOLLARS GOVERNMENT CONSPIRACY WILL BE RESOLVED.

The Case before the Honorable Supreme Court is Unprecedented in the History of the United States of America. This is a U.S. Government Conspiracy and Department of Energy / USPTO / U.S. Navy Patent Infringement Case Pertaining to the USPTO Clean, Free Energy Generation and Storage Technology; The 8th Renewable Energy in the World and the Criminal Conspiracy to Suppress it, Now, in the Department of Justice 1:25-cv-01974-MRS/Kavyarsi Nagumotu (202) 353-0257. IN 2019, I Patented, Filed Provisional Application for Four USPTO Patents, Plus Motions for Extensions, the D.O.E. NREL ARIES Project is Infringement See: USPTO No: 62/921,471; No: 62/921,555; No: 62/921,556; No: 62/921,599 Self Charging Electric or Hydrogen Vehicles, Powerline Absent Homes, Self Charging Cellphones/Personal Electronics and Self Charging Medical, Emergency and Recreational devices and Equipment. No Fossil Fuels Needed, No Solar Needed, No Wind, Water, Geothermal, Chemical, Nuclear Needed!

ON JUNE 22, 2023 I disobeyed Two Federal Judges orders denying Submission of Evidence in Case No: 6:22-cv-489 E.D. TX "Michael Sampson v. United States", I drove the Fictitious Plated Motorcycle while under surveillance (see Testimony) to the Federal Courthouse and personally hand delivered 42 Pages of Factual Evidences and one electronic Flash Drive containing Audio and Video Recordings Capable of convicting Judge Love and Judge Barker of making known to be false statements in my Federal Lawsuit and attempting to cover up the Felony theft of \$20 million Dollars from my personal checking account, this was June 22, 2023 about 3:00 P.M. by 9:00 A.M. the next morning I was assaulted and in hand cuffs, now in prison on a case that has fact video proving Police Trial Perjury CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of habeas corpus should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael Edward Sampson

MICHAEL EDWARD SAMPSON  
TDCJ# 02572787 B4-02  
BRADSHAW UNIT  
3900 W. LOOP 571 N.  
HENDERSON, TX. 75652

Date: JANUARY 1, 2026

Attachment A, B

Now as of this the 1st day of JANUARY 2026 I AM Looking for my case no: 1:25-cv-01974 Michael Edward Sampson v. United States on my Prison Tablet Access to Courts Lexis Nexis AND I CANNOT FIND my case but instead I see Bekler v. Noem, 2025 U.S. Dist. Lexis 268401 Decided LAST NIGHT 31st day of December 2025 1:25-cv-01974-CDB ?

So I have enclosed Two Documents

- 1.) UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS DOCUMENT 1:25-cv-01974-MRS MICHAEL EDWARD SAMPSON v. UNITED STATES
- 2.) DOCUMENT 6 CASE 1:25-cv-01974-MRS Received From the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE NAMING THE ATTORNEY FOR THE DEFENDANT IN MICHAEL EDWARD SAMPSON v. UNITED STATES  
(PLAINTIFF) (DEFENDANT)