

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION EIGHT

COURT OF APPEAL – SECOND DIST.

FILED

May 22, 2025

EVA McCLINTOCK, Clerk

mfigueroa Deputy Clerk

ORNA SHAPOSHNIK,

Plaintiff and Appellant,

v.

QUALITY LOAN SERVICE CORP. et
al.,

Defendants and Respondents.

B343287

(Los Angeles County
Super. Ct. No. 21STCV37165)

ORDER GRANTING MOTION
TO DISMISS APPEAL

Respondent Redwood Holdings, LLC's motion to dismiss the appeal is granted. Plaintiff Orna Shaposhnik is appealing the denial of a motion to disqualify. Based on her Civil Case Information Statement, it appears she is appealing from both the January 6, 2025 denial of her peremptory disqualification request and the January 7, 2025 denial of her request for disqualification for cause. Whether it is one or both is immaterial because the denial of a disqualification motion, whether peremptory or for cause, is not an appealable order. It may be reviewed only by a writ of mandate, which must be sought within 10 days of the order. (Code Civ. Proc., § 170.3, subd. (d); *People v. Panah* (2005) 35 Cal.4th 395, 444–445; *Clary v. City of Crescent City* (2017) 11 Cal.App.5th 274, 300.)

As a general rule, this court has discretion to treat an appeal from a nonappealable order as a petition for extraordinary writ relief, “but ‘only under limited, extraordinary, circumstances.’” (*Committee to Support Recall of Gascon v. Logan* (2023) 94 Cal.App.5th 352, 370–371.) Appellant Shaposhnik does not ask us to do so or point to any extraordinary circumstances. The trial court spelled out the proper procedure to challenge its ruling in its January 7, 2025 order: “The parties are reminded that the

determination of the question of the disqualification is not an appealable order and may be reviewed only by a writ of mandate from the Court of Appeal sought within 10 days of notice to the parties of the decision. (Code Civ. Proc., § 170.3(d).)”

The appeal is dismissed. Respondent’s request for sanctions is denied. All requests for judicial notice are denied.



STRATTON, P. J.



WILEY, J.



VIRAMONTES, J.

SUPREME COURT
FILED

Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Eight - No. B343287 SEP 24 2025

S292058

Jorge Navarrete Clerk

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA Deputy

En Banc

ORNA SHAPOSHNIK, Plaintiff and Appellant,

v.

QUALITY LOAN SERVICE CORP. et al., Defendants and Respondents.

The motion to correct case title is denied as moot.
The petition for review and application for stay are denied.

Corrigan, J., was absent and did not participate.

GUERRERO

Chief Justice



Supreme Court of California

JORGE E. NAVARRETE
CLERK AND EXECUTIVE OFFICER
OF THE SUPREME COURT

EARL WARREN BUILDING
350 McALLISTER STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
(415) 865-7000

October 10, 2025

SENT VIA EMAIL & USPS

Orna Shaposhnik
18375 Ventura Boulevard, #599
Tarzana, CA 91356
annshapo@gmail.com

Re: **SHAPOSHNIK v. QUALITY LOAN SERVICE – S292058**

Dear Petitioner:

No action may be taken on your “petition for rehearing,” received on October 9, 2025. The order denying your petition was final forthwith and may not be reconsidered or reinstated. Please rest assured, however, that the entire court considered the petition, and the contentions made therein, and the denial expresses the court’s decision in this matter.

Sincerely,

JORGE E. NAVARRETE
Clerk and
Executive Officer of the Supreme Court

M. Hallisy

By: M. Hallisy, Deputy Clerk

cc: Matthew B. Learned, Attorney for Respondent
Seth Philip Cox, Attorney for Respondent.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT
EVA McCLINTOCK, CLERK

DIVISION 8

Los Angeles County Superior Court

ORNA SHAPOSHNIK,
Plaintiff and Appellant,

v.

QUALITY LOAN SERVICE CORP et al.,
Defendants and Respondents.

B343287

Los Angeles County Super. Ct. No. 21STCV37165

***** REMITTITUR *****


I, Eva McClintock, Clerk of the Court of Appeal of the State of California, for the Second Appellate District, do hereby certify that the attached is a true and correct copy of the original order, opinion or decision entered in the above-entitled cause on May 22, 2025 and that this order, opinion or decision has now become final.

Respondent(s) entitled to costs on appeal.

Witness my hand and the seal of the Court
affixed at my office this

Sep 25, 2025

EVA McCLINTOCK, CLERK


by: M. Figueroa,
Deputy Clerk

cc: All Counsel
File



