No. 25-7382

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

In Re Raymond Reginald Peoples Sr — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS Rehearing

From U.S. Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals

Emergency Petition For Rehearing
Pursuant to 28 USC 1657 Expedited consideration

Raymond Peoples
(Your Name)

Jefferson County Jail 5030 HWY 69 S.
(Address)

Beaumont TX 77705
(City, State, Zip Code)

SID 2348963 ~~C.I.D.~~ 409-749-7779
(Phone Number)

25-7382 In The Supreme Court of The United States

Emergency Petition For Rehearing

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Violations of constitional Rights and Statutes. 1st Amendment — Petition the government, 4th search and seizure, 5th double jeopardy, held without indictment, compulsor-witness 6th speedy impartial trial, jurisdiction, witnesses in favor and against, counsel in defense. 8th cruel and unusual, excessive bail. 14th Equal protection, immunity, due process. 18 U.S.C. 241 Conspiracy

4-22-21 I was revoked of my deferred adjudicated Probation by Judge John B Stevens in the criminal district court in Beaumont TX of Aggravated Assault 16-24808 after the testimonies of three sexual assault-aggravated victims that I was arrested for 9-13-19 charged with 11-7-19 and indicted for 12-18-19 Cause No. 19-33628 19-33629 19-33630. The D.A. Filed a motion to revoke me For 9 different violations including curfew, invalid license, and three Agg Sex Assts. I Plead True to curfew and license and not true to the sex Assts. Thereafter they rushed Me to trial for one of the sex Assts where I was found not guilty, 9-29-21. I filed a post-conviction habeas corpus 3-9-22. After the Judge got done reading my petition and allowing me to speak he asked my lawyer was my allegations true. verbally I stated that he had been lying to me and conspiring against me with the state to obtain an illegal conviction. In my habeas I stated that he refused to give me the search warrants to car, phone, and D.N.A. after asking several times before my conviction. he Refused to mention the illegal traffic stop I informed him about before my conviction. He refused to mention the evidence in my phone and facebook comments my wife gave him. Judge asked him twice were my allegations true. the first time stated that I think its his fault that I got convicted but it's not, cause I plead true to two of the counts in the motion to revoke. The second time he stated, the samething, adding, that "it doesnt matter what he did." I have stated the aforementioned in every Petition, Motion, and objections throughout all court proceedings, state, and Federal with evidence attached until this Jail Forced me to leave all of my paperwork behind in prison during the benchwarrant.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The day the Judge revoked me he stated "based on the scientific evidence and the testimony of the witnesses it seems to be true so I'm sentencing you to twenty years". The day my lawyer verbally admitted to my allegations it was during a video conference they call an evidentiary hearing after the state filed motion for me not to be brought before Court and the Judge granted it during that video conference, I asked the Judge, what about my writ, he stated "your writ is denied." I also state all of these facts in all my motions and pleadings. every Judge since then have denied my writ refusing to issue it even though I attach evidence. Such as transcripts, my lawyer affidavit, orders, motions-such as mines and theirs. Because of this I filed this lawsuit on the trial Judge after the second Jury trial where I had obtained all the evidence that was connected with my Conviction and charges. Thereafter I was benchwarrant to this county Jail thrown directly into an isolation cell with my communication blocked and the clerks obstructing my mail and the Jailers refusing to let me out for my one hour evening dayroom. That second Jury trial ended in an 11-1 vote in my favor. The Judge forced a mistrial and refuse to dismiss the charges. As a form of retaliation they charged me with two additional false charges of Agg-Asst-against a-Public-servant and implements-for-escape. 23DCCR0727 and 23DCCR1110. Before the Judge convicted me he refused to reduce My bond just so I couldn't help myself, Yet all the Federal Judges only mention this and my 4th Amendment claim and ignore everything else. Trial Judge never had Jurisdiction to convict me.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

First Issue.

1. This extraordinary circumstance [redacted] encompass retaliation For the allegations in my state habeas of conspiracy against Rights 18 U.S.C. 241, with evidence attached. And the subsequent admission by my lawyer of the allegations against him. there actions are solely to prolong my illegal confinement by not issuing my writs. / New conviction as of 7-29-26 / New charge 8-6-26. In U.S. Supreme Court, Motion Filed → / Case No. 23 DCCR 0727 / Motion file Case No. Unknown.

2. Since 1983 All Appellate courts have concurred that actions taken in retaliation for filing administrative grievances and Lawsuits violates an inmates access to the court under the 1st Amendment see Wolfel V Bates 707 F.2d 932 6th Cir (1983), Wright V Newsome 795-F.2d 964.11th Cir (1986), Franco V Kelly 854 F.2d 584. 2nd Cir (1988), Dixon V Brown 38 F.3d 379. 8th Cir (1994), The district court erred in dismissing Farvers claim that officers wrote false write ups against inmate would be actionable under (1983) if done in retaliation see Farver V Schwartz 255 F.3d 473. 8th Cir (2001). 28 USC 2243 Produce the body of the person detained, 5 days.

3. The writ of habeas corpus (but ministerial) have Never been on state or Federal level descretional upon a showing of meritorious claims by the applicant. His actions are also criminal under 18 USC-1512 (b)(2)(A) whoever causes or induce any person to withold testimony from an official proceeding shall not be imprisoned more than 20 years. withold testimony or other object from an official proceeding. The Fact that I wrote a complaint on the federal district Judge For refusing to rule on my Petition. Three weeks later he rule on it ■ Just 2 days before They benchwarrant Me implicates retaliation. [redacted]

REASONS FOR GRANTING

4. I The Fact the clerks did what they did not only implicates Retaliation on behalf of the complaints and lawsuits that I've Filed against the Judges which violates the 1st Amendement and The 4th and 14th but is also criminal 18USC 1512(b)(2)(A), see FRAP 10(d) Exhibits attached to Appendix B, docket 25-7382. 18 U.S.C. 241 Conspiracy and 18U.S.C.(b)(2)(B) 1512, FRAP 25(a)(2)(A)(iii) 18 U.S.C. 1701, 18 U.S.C. 1702.

Second ISSUE

5. The U.S. Supreme Court express although the U.S. district Court errd in considering involuntariness of statement after Miranda warning they also errd in not giving her an opportunity to present evidence on that claim see Wilkinson V Withrow 507 US-680. speedy impartial benchtrial Violation, and Counsel in defense Violation.

6. The Fact that my Lawyer admitted to not informing me about the benchtrial that took place at my probation hearing and allowing me to plea to the Violation in the motion thinking it was a regular hearing, and not mention the stop being illegal like I informed him before that hearing in light of the police waiting outside my home to pull me over and tamper with evidence. I assert how this was a compulsory-witness issue. Yet the U.S. district Judge denied my relief without a hearing stating that theres no indication in the record that I was denied opportunity to present evidence on my 4th Amendment claim. The Jail refused to give me copies of the court denying My relief when I First got here so I dont have copies of the district courts orders. I even tried sending motions and I.F.P.'s to obtain the records before and after my benchwarrant to state and Federal courts, all refused to grant the motions. Thus blocking my access to the courts, to adequately obtain due process. see FRAP 10(e)

These actions are solely on behalf of the Federal Judge-U.S. district and State trial Judge.

Third ISSUE

7. In 2015 a U.S. Appellate court established a collateral estoppel where the prosecution unreasonably applied ash V Swenson because the traffic Court acquitted him barred that state from further prosecution Wilkinson V Gingrich ~~F.3d 511.~~ 806 F.3d 511.

8. The Fact the trial Judge convicted me in a proceeding opposed to a criminal prosecution then tried me twice more in a criminal proceeding all under the exact same facts and testimony implicates collateral estoppel, double jeopardy, immunity. Fourth ISSUE

9. The Fact the, Judge convicted me and Im still in prison under the same facts and testimony with two of the charges of indictments No longer existing Violates the 5th Amendment held without indictment. and 13th duly convicted, see Appendix B of original proceeding, and App A.

Fifth ISSUE

10. The Fact that the, highest state Court dismissed my Petition, after the trial court affirmed My interpretation of Law coupled with the Facts about lack of Jurisdiction established a voided Judgment, Violating the 6th Amendment. See Appendix B of original proceeding, and App A.

CONCLUSION

The order denying my writ of Habeas Corpus without opinion or written order was entered 6-8-24. Sent back For noncompliance 8-10-26, Emergency Motion received by clerk 7-22-26. Motion to vacate state court Judgment sent to this court 7-30-26. I have said and done things throughout my incarceration that I regret and I am not proud of. But as a 37 year old innocent man that have never maliciously hurt anybody even protecting Myself. Beg, Pray, and ask the court to decide as the Law and Facts May require. The Petition For writ of Habeas Corpus should be granted, For Rehearing

Motion for state charge dismissal sent 8-25-26

Respectfully submitted,

Raymond Peoples

Date: 8-25-26

Statutory Provision Attached

11. The day I was arrested I had two Jobs working at a refinery Full time and a arcade For Kids Part time, which my probation officer-miss stelly-would have testified to had Not Judge stevens denied me at trial in front of the Jury (4-18-24) to call my witnesses except For my wife. All together 6 witnesses would have testified about how good of a person I am. One of the accusers testified (4-18-24, India Rideaux) how she always see me outside playing with my Kids and working on my car with my [redacted] 8 year old son. My wife testified that I have never been a violent Person (4-19-24). The day I was charged with (Take weapon From officer 16-24809) I was trying to attempt suicide by cop out of depression From not being able to Financially support children the way I wanted to. I Never had intentions on hurting anybody else that day or any other day. I only got violent since Ive been in here (this Jail) when they kept leaving me in other People waste, taking my paper work, and blocking my access to the courts, in every way they can. I have [redacted] (5) Co. witnesses would testify to this, all.

No. 25-7382

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Raymond Peoples — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

TDCJ-Director Eric Guerrero — RESPONDENT(S)

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Raymond Peoples, do swear or declare that on this date, of the 25th day of August 2026, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I have served the enclosed MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS* and Petition for rehearing in Habeas Corpus on each party to the above proceeding or that party's counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing an envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed to each of them and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days.

The names and addresses of those served are as follows:

Attorney General Jennifer Wren Morris
P.O.Box 12548 Capital Station Austin, TX 78711
Raymond Peoples 2348963 5030 HWY69s Beaumont TX 77705

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 25th day of August, 2026

Certification of unrepresented Party
I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of this Petition by unrepresented [redacted] party and that it is presented in good faith and not for delay. the grounds are limited to intervening circumstances of substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented.

Raymond Peoples
(Signature)