

COPY TO X C

FILED
SUPREME COURT
STATE OF WASHINGTON
2/18/2025
BY SARAH R. PENDLETON
CLERK

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

CHARLES MOBLEY,

Petitioner,

v.

AMAZON,

Respondent.

No. 103670-1

Court of Appeals No. 86107-7-1

**RULING DENYING MOTION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME**

Pro se petitioner Charles Mobley seeks an extension of time to file a motion for discretionary review of a decision by Division One of the Court of Appeals dismissing as abandoned and untimely petitioner’s appellate challenge to a King County Superior Court order granting respondent Amazon’s motion to compel arbitration of petitioner’s claim against it. The motion for extension of time is denied for reasons explained below.

The substance of the underlying dispute need not be discussed here. The superior court entered its order on September 7, 2022. That decision triggered the 30-day deadline for seeking appellate review. RAP 5.2(a)-(b). Petitioner did not file a notice for discretionary review during that time period and did not file a motion for reconsideration until November 6, 2023, well beyond the 10-day limit for filing such a motion. RAP 5.2(b); CR 59(b). The superior court denied the motion for reconsideration on November 27, 2023.

litigiousness is insufficient.” *Yurtis*, 143 Wn. App. at 693 (citing *Whatcom County v. Kane*, 31 Wn. App. 250, 253, 640 P.2d 1075 (1981)). Respondent’s frustration with petitioner is understandable but not so compelling as to warrant sanctions at this time. Petitioner is on notice, however, to comply with the court rules and provide reasoned arguments in future.¹

The motion for extension of time is denied; the request for sanctions is denied.


COMMISSIONER

February 18, 2025

¹ This is a good time also to remind respondent that this court still expects full citations to caselaw in briefing.

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**