

No. 25-7310

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED
MAY 19 2025
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

ORIGINAL

Charles Mobley — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Amazon — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

IN the Supreme Court State of Washington
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Charles Mobley
(Your Name)

1701 Figueroa Street
(Address)

Los Angeles California 90015
(City, State, Zip Code)

312-720-2737
(Phone Number)

RECEIVED
APR 17 2025
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

RECEIVED
JAN 21 2026
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

My contractual agreement with Amazon states that payment shall be made 2 weeks after load has been delivered; Breach of Contract. today 2889.89 is outstanding

During court proceedings. Amazon cancelled my contract; Discrimination.

I've been denied Due Process. my case has not been neared passed along without explanation;

(Coersion) by Amazon's Defendant Davis Wright Tremaine and I quote ("if you do not agree with our proposed motion, we will have no choice but to continue on with litigation and ask the Court to order your responses to our discovery and dismiss your case.")

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page;

All parties do not appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceedings in the court whose judgement is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Englewood Transportation, Charles Mobley

Amazon and its Defendant Davis Wright Tremaine

RELATED CASES

See Grotton vs. United Parcel Inc., ed., Washington State 3149

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW

JURISDICTION

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISION INVOLVED

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

REASON FOR GRANTING THE WRIT

CONCLUSION

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A – Superior Court of Kings County, State of Washington 22-2-07541-4 SEA

APPENDIX B – Court of Appeals, State of Washington 861077

APPENDIX C – Supreme Court, State of Washington 103670-1

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

[UNREADABLE] Superior Court of King County PAGE NUMBER

Englewood Transportation – 22-2-07541-4

Charles Mubley vs Amazon SEA

Court of Appeals, State of Washington 86107-7-1

Englewood Transport Charles Mubley vs. Amazon

Supreme Court, State of Washington

Englewood Transport Charles Mubley vs. Amazon

STATUTE AND RULES

Superior Court, Kings County 22-2-07541-4; Motion to Compel granted to Defendant Amazon. Plaintiff didn't agree to arbitration. Judgement entered September 6, 2022

Court of Appeals, State of Washington 86107-7-1; case was modated to Superior Court for proceedings in accordance with attached decisions: Judgement entered March 5, 2025

Supreme Court, State of Washington 103670-1; Ruling denying motion for extension of time, court states that Plaintiff file late, correspondents was dated properly: judgement entered February 18, 2025

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 12/03/25

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: February 18, 2025, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was February 18, 2025.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISION INVOLVED

My case has not been heard! The Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to Constitution guarantees Due Process. Protecting citizens from arbitrary government actions that deprive them of life, liberty of property

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On May 19 2020, I Charles, entered into a contractual transport service agreement with Amazon to deliver goods and services trough the country. On 10/25/2020 here 1161 Kipuz was picked up in Dillon, South Carolina and delivered on time in Schertz, Texas on 10/28/2020. Today 2889.70 is out standing. These matters have been compounded with discrimination and breach of contract, due process. Today, my company is inactive with a negative safety rating with the Department of Transportation. I want to be made whole again with capital and reserves. Petitioner is seeking \$ 200,250,000.00 in damages.

Reasons for Granting petition

My Constitutional Rights have been violated.my case has not been Heard

I deserve better than this, I've gone above and beyond to be productive in society This is a total miscarriage of justice there must be a reprimand.

Conclusion

The petition for writ of certiorari should be granted

Respectfully submitted