
CASE NO.
25-4296

IN THE UNITED STATES
SUPREME COURT

IN RE PATRICK (NIKOLAS) STARZENSKI (A234646041)
(petitioner)

Rev 1 | DATE: JUNE 19, 2026

The nature of the proceeding and the court from which the action is brought:
"ON PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (IN THE
UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT (25-4296))"

TITLE OF PRESENT DOCUMENT:

PETITION FOR REHEARING

(rev. 1 in response to correspondence)
(from June 16, 2026 from clerk office)

PATRICK STARZENSKI
(aka PATRICK JAWORSKI)
currently held by party in case
in at ADAMS COUNTY CORRECTIONAL CENTER
ON 20 HOOB FORK ROAD IN NATCHEZ, MS 39120

TEXT OF PRESENT WRITING "PETITION FOR REHEARING"

PRESENT PETITION FOR REHEARING IS PREPARED AND SUBMITTED
(PRESENTED IN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT), BECAUSE OF AM FOR PURPOSE TO:

- DENIED PETITION AT THE MAY 26, 2026 (25-7296)
- NEW EVIDENCE NOT AVAILABLE AT THE TRIAL
- PREVENT MANIFEST INJUSTICE
- EXISTING INTERVENING CIRCUMSTANCE OF SUBSTANTIAL CONTROLLING EFFECT
- EXISTING OTHER SUBSTANTIAL GROUNDS NOT PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED.

BELOW THIS IS DESCRIBED, EXPLAINED, SHOWN, AND PROVED

1. PRESENT PETITION SHOWS LIST OF ALL RESPONDENTS IN THE CASE
PROCEEDING. THESE RESPONDENTS ARE:

TODD BLANCHE (or successor), in official capacity, U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL

KRISTI NOEM (or successor), in official capacity, SECRETARY OF HOMELAND
SECURITY

TODD LYONS (or successor), in official capacity, DIRECTOR OF IMMIGRATION AND
CUSTOM ENFORCEMENT

BRIAN ACCUNA (or successor), in official capacity, FIELD OFFICE DIRECTOR (ICE/ERO)
IN THE NEW ORLEANS

RAPHAEL VERGARA (or successor), in official capacity, WARDEN OF ALI ADAMS COUNTY
CORRECTIONAL CENTER, CORE-CIVIC
IN NATCHEZ, MISSISSIPPI

2. UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT GRANTS WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, IF
DETAINEE (INMATE) CANNOT EXECUTE HIS RIGHTS IN ANY OTHER INSTITUTION

- a) U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI

(5-25-CV-00063-DCB-BRW) IS POSTPONING MY PETITION FOR WRIT OF
HABEAS CORPUS AND FOR RELEASE FROM UNREASONABLE DETENTION

SINCE A YEAR, DESPITE (IN ADDITION TO ALL PRESENTED FACTS, PROOFS
IN THE PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT (25-7296)) CONFORM WITH

28 U.S.C.S 2241-2243:

"When the writ is returned a day shall be set for hearing not more than **five days** after the return unless for good cause additional time is allowed" AND

"The court shall summarily hear and determine facts, and dispose of the matter as law and justice require"

THIS IS HOW THE CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DID DEFINE THIS PROCEEDING, THIS IS HOW U.S. LAW IS CONSTRUCTED. U.S. COURTS ARE SUPPOSED TO EXECUTE, APPLY, AND IMPLEMENT EXISTING LAWS AND TREATIES OF THE U.S.A. U.S. COURTS ARE NOT SUPPOSED TO CONSPIRE AGAINST TO THE LAW OF THE USA.

SO HERE, THIS FUNDAMENTALLY IMPORTANT FOR THE USA PROVISIONS OF THE LAW AND STATUTES (WHICH IS HABEAS CORPUS), WHICH TELLS AND REQUIRES MANDATORILY TO ACT FORTHWITH, TO ADDRESS ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE OF DETENTION **IN 3 DAYS** (MAXIMUM NOT EXCEEDING 20 DAYS IS ALLOWED) AND TO SET A HEARING OR ORDER **IN THE 5 DAYS** AFTER THE RESPONSE TO ORDER.

IN THIS CASE PARTY IN THE CASE AND U.S. DISTRICT COURT FAILED TO MEET ALL THESE REQUIREMENTS:

- *) COURT WAS NOT ACTING FORTHWITH, FAILED TO PROPERLY MAIL CORRESPONDENCE, AND DEMANDED RESPONSE WITH INFORMATION WHICH ARE NOT REQUIRED IN PETITION PURSUANT TO 28 USCS 2241 OR FED. R. OF CIV. PROC., AND WHICH ARE STATUTORILY PROVIDED.
- *) RESPONDENT DID NOT ADDRESS THE ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE OF DETENTION AT THE (GRANTED IN ADVANCE WITHOUT ANY MOTION AND CAUSE AN ADDITIONAL TIME) 20 DAYS. RESPONDENT DID ASK INSTEAD FOR EVEN MORE EXTENSION OF TIME FROM 20 DAYS TO 50 DAYS AND THE JUDGE DID GRANT THIS MOTION, WHICH IS UNLAWFUL ACT.

*| AFTER 50 DAYS (AT THE NOVEMBER 29, 2025) RESPONDENT DID RESPOND TO THE ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE OF DETENTION, WHERE RESPONDENT AND OPPOSING PARTY IN THE CASE WERE REPEATEDLY SHARING LIES ABOUT ME AND MY SITUATION AND THEY DID SHOW AGAIN NON-EXISTING AND FALSE CAUSES OF DETENTION.

*| SINCE THAT DATE (NOVEMBER 29, 2025) HAVE BEEN GONE MORE THAN 200 DAYS (BUT AS IS MENTIONED ABOVE THIS IS MORE THAN 230 DAYS SINCE OCTOBER 29, 2025). AND JUDGE STILL DID NOT SET A HEARING AND DID NOT ISSUE AN ORDER OR JUDGMENT IN THIS CASE PROCEEDING (5-25-CV-00063)

ATTENTION: IN THIS CASE PROCEEDING (5-25-CV-00063-DCB-BRW IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI) DON'T EXIST EVEN ANY REASONS, CAUSES, OR MOTIONS FOR EXTENSION OF THIS STATUTORILY PRESCRIBED MANDATORY 5 DAYS. NEITHER A RESPONDENT DID ASK FOR ADDITIONAL TIME OR FOR POSTPONE PROCEEDING EVEN MORE BEYOND THE NOVEMBER 29, 2025, NOR I, A PETITIONER. THE JUDGE AND COURT DID FAIL TO ORDER AND JUDGE IN THE CASE PROCEEDING. AND IN THE RESULT IS KEEPING ME CONTINUOUSLY, UNREASONABLE, AND UNLAWFULLY.

WHAT'S MORE, BEFORE THAT, RESPONDENT SHOULD NOT BE GRANTED THIS ADDITIONAL EXTRA 30 DAYS, COURT SHOULD ORDER TO RELEASE ME FROM DETENTION, BUT COURT DID GRANT THE MOTION, AND ALLOWED TO UNREASONABLE DETENTION, TO UNLAWFUL DETENTION, AND TO 50 DAYS FOR THE RESPONDENT TO SHOW CAUSE OF DETENTION AND TO COOPERATE WITH WITH OPPOSING PARTY AND ISSUE FICTITIAL AND

FALSE RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS (ANOTHER TIME AND REPEATEDLY).

- b) U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 5th CIRCUIT ANOTHER TIME (4th TIME) DID DENY MY PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS DESPITE THIS COURT HAS THIS DIRECT JURISDICTION IN MY CASE AND IN THIS CIRCUMSTANCES. (IT WAS INDICATED EVEN BY ATTORNEY GENERAL IN THEIR RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE OF DETENTION SUBMITTED AND ISSUED AT NOVEMBER 29, 2026 IN THE CASE 5-25-CV-00063 IN THE USDC FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MS)

FOR THIS REASON AND PURPOSE TO SHOW TO THE JUSTICES AND TO THE COURT (BECAUSE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 5th CIRCUIT IS TREATING ME AND MY PETITIONS INFORMALLY, OUTSIDE THE COURT AND JUDGE, WITHOUT ORDER EVEN AND WITHOUT DOCKETING PETITIONS AND MOTIONS WHICH ARE SUBMITTED CORRECTLY), TO THE PRESENT WRITING, PETITION ATTACHED ARE AS ANS:

- EXHIBIT 201 - Copy of again "Letter/Writing to the rev. 3 of the Petitioner's "Petition for review in Habeas Corpus under 28 U.S.C. 2241 and release" from April 17, 2026 issued in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 5th Circuit at the April 13, 2026 (11p.)
- EXHIBIT 202 - Copy of 1st page of "Petition for review in Habeas Corpus under 28 U.S.C. 2241 and release" from Feb. 4, 2026 (rev. 3 from April 17, 2026) issued in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 5th Circuit at April 13, 2026 (1p.)
- EXHIBIT 203 - Copy of letter from U.S. Court of Appeals for the 5th Circuit from April 16, 2026 in response to above (Exh 201-202) listed documents (1 p.)

ATTENTION: THESE EXHIBITS SHOWS THAT U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 5th CIRCUIT IS CONTINUING TO REFUSE MY PETITION, IS CONTINUING TO TREAT ME INFORMALLY, AND IS CONTINUING TO TREAT ME WITHOUT EVEN ORDERS AND PROCEEDING IN COURT AT ALL.

THIS SITUATION AFFECTS THAT A DEADLINE FOR PETITION PURSUANT TO 28 USCS 2241 HABEAS CORPUS AND FOR RELEASE FROM UNREASONABLE

DETENTION IN THIS CIRCUMSTANCES HAVE BEEN PASSED OUT, AND COURTS TOOK NO ACTION, DESPITE CORRECTLY SUBMITTED PETITION (SEVERAL TIMES).

3. BOTH RESPONDENT, OPPOSING PARTY AND U.S. COURTS ARE OMITTING IMPORTANT QUESTION AND LEGAL MATTER.

- a) AT THE 2002 THE IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE (INS), WHICH WAS A PART OF DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE WAS ABOLISHED.
- b) AT THE 2002 WAS CREATED DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY (DHS) WHICH ASSUMED THE MOST OF RESPONSIBILITIES OF INS.
- c) DHS IS ENTIRELY SEPARATE FROM DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (DOJ) AND IS AN INDEPENDENT DEPARTMENT FROM DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE.
- d) DHS IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ENFORCEMENT OF IMMIGRATION LAWS AND THE ADMINISTRATION OF IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION BENEFITS.
- e) DHS IS NOT ACTING BY THE MEANS OF PERMISSION OR AUTHORIZATION OF ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE U.S. OR DOJ.

BUT DESPITE THESE FACTS:

- f) DHS STILL ACTS AS AND IN BEHALF OF U.S. ATTORNEY GEN. AND DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE.
- g) ICE (COMPONENT OF DHS) AND ERO (ALSO COMPONENT OF DHS) CONTINUE TO DETAIN ALIENS UNDER AUTHORITY OF U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND DOJ, IT MEANS PURSUANT TO 8 USC 1226 OR 8 USC 1231.
- h) DHS HAS AUTHORITY TO DETAIN ALIEN PURSUANT TO 8 USC 1225 (e.g. 8 USC 1225(b)(1)(B)(i)), BUT DHS HAS NOT AUTHORITY TO DETAIN DETAINEES PURSUANT TO 8 USC 1226 OR 1231 (THIS AUTHORITY IS HANDLED BY ATTORNEY GENERAL AND DOJ). THIS IS HOW THE LAW IS DEFINED AND CONSTRUCTED.

AND THIS IS VERY IMPORTANT MATTER AND ISSUE. ESPECIALLY IN THIS CASE. BECAUSE DESPITE GIVEN TRUST, DHS IS ABUSING POWER AND IS EXCEEDING AND ABUSING AUTHORITY AND FORCE. IN EFFECT OF THAT THEY ARE DETAINING ME EVEN DESPITE I AM NEITHER AN ALIEN DESCRIBED IN 8 USC 1226 NOR AN ALIEN DESCRIBED IN 8 USC 1231. AND DESPITE I AM NOT ALSO ALIEN DESCRIBED OR LISTED IN 8 USC 1225, 1224, OR 1182 (WHICH TELLS EVEN ALSO THAT I AM AN LEGAL, LEGALLY PRESENT, AND AUTHORIZED ALIEN). OPPOSING PARTY IS AWARE THAT I DID NEVER VIOLATE MY IMMIGRATION STATUS, OR CONDITION, AUTHORIZATION, AND PERMISSION OF MY LEGAL PRESENCE IN THE USA, BUT THEY ARE ISSUING FICTIONAL AND FALSE ORDERS, DOCUMENTS, CHARGES, AND ACCUSATIONS AND MOCK PROCEDURES WITH RESULT OF ILLEGAL COMMITMENT, CRIMES, CONSPIRE, AND MISCONDUCT FOR PURPOSE TO ACHIEVE FINANCIAL BENEFITS. AND GENERATE FICTIONAL COSTS TO THE U.S. GOVERNMENT.

FOR THESE REASON THIS IS ALSO IMPORTANT MATTER AND ISSUE (WHICH WAS NOT PRESENTED EARLIER) TO DETERMINE WHY DHS CONTINUE TO ACT UNDER AUTHORITY WHICH IS AUTHORITY OF DOS, DESPITE SEPARATION OF THESE TWO INDEPENDENT DEPARTMENTS, EVEN IMMIGRATION JUDGE (ALSO IN MOCK PROCEDURE) DID NOT ORDER TO DETAIN ME. I HAVE NOT ORDER OF REMOVAL. I DID NEVER VIOLATE IMMIGRATION LAW OR ANY OTHER LAW.

CONCLUSION AND REMEDY SOUGHT

AS JUSTICES/COURT CAN SEE, MY DEADLINE PASSED TO FILE ANY ADDITIONAL HABEAS CORPUS PETITION IN THESE CIRCUMSTANCES, AND BOTH COURTS: DISTRICT COURT AND COURT OF APPEALS ARE NOT

PROCEEDING, OR ARE OBSTRUCTING, MISCONDUCTING, POSTPONING WITHOUT ANY REASON BY MONTHS (CURRENTLY THIS MORE THAN A YEAR PROCEEDING IN HABEAS CORPUS PURSUANT TO 28 USCS 2241), AND REFUSING MY PETITION AT ALL. WHAT IS HAPPENING IN OPPOSITION TO EXISTING LAW, THEY BOTH COURTS AND OPPOSING PARTY ABUSE POWER, EXCEEDS AUTHORITY, AND FORCE.

BECAUSE OF THAT I AM ASKING YOU AGAIN FOR:

- i) JUDGMENT WITH EXPLANATION IN MY CASE.
- ii) GRANT MY PETITION
- iii) RELEASE ME FROM THIS UNREASONABLE, UNLAWFUL, AND UNAUTHORIZED DETENTION
- iv) (OR ALTERNATIVELY AS A SUPERVISION MEASURES) ORDER TO THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI AND U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 5th CIRCUIT TO:
 - * ORDER IN MY CASE AND RELEASE ME FROM UNREASONABLE DETENTION
 - * (OR IF (COURT/JUSTICE/JUDGE) DETERMINE THIS JURISDICTION IN THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS) ORDER TO TRANSFER MY CASE IMMEDIATELY TO THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS AND SUPERVISE THIS CASE.

DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT ALL FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT, AND THAT I DID DEPOSIT PRESENT PETITION FOR REHEARING IN THIS CASE (25-4296) CONFORM WITH FED. R. APP. PROC. R. 46) AND 25(a)(2)(A)(iii) AND U.S. SUPREME COURT'S R. 29 (AS AN INMATE CONFINED IN AN INSTITUTION) ON THIS DATE JUNE 19, 2026 IN

THE MAILROOM IN THE INSTITUTION AND THROUGH MAILROOM WHICH
PREPAYS THE POSTAGE IN THE USPS.

I DECLARE ALSO THAT MY PETITION IS IN GOOD FAITH AND NOT
FOR DELAY.

- *I simultaneously, I apologize for partly printed, partly handwritten form, but
opposing party is violating my rights and is not allowing me to legal services
and to defense. see e.g.:
- EXHIBIT 301 - copy of grievance 685932,211 (3 p.)
 - EXHIBIT 302 - copy of charging doc. from May 19, 2026 (1 p.)
 - EXHIBIT 303 - copy of witness testimony affidavit of detainee
from June 3, 2026 (2 p.)

ATTACHMENTS AND PROOFS:

- * EXHIBIT 201 - (+ 11 p. see page 5 of 9 to present petition)
- * EXHIBIT 202 - (+ 1 p. see page 5 of 9 to present petition)
- * EXHIBIT 203 - (+ 1 p. see page 5 of 9 to present petition)
- * EXHIBIT 301 - (+ 3 p. see list above)
- * EXHIBIT 302 - (+ 1 p. see list above)
- * EXHIBIT 303 - (+ 2 p. see list above)
- * declaration that petition is presented in good faith and not for delay (+ 1 p.)
- * certificate of compliance (+ 1 p.)
- * proof of service and declaration of timely deposition in the mailroom in
an institution (+ 1 p.)
- * letter writing to my petition for rehearing (to its rev.) (+ 1 p.)
- * EXHIBIT 400 - History of this petition:
 - 400.1 - copy of petition for rehearing from June 3, 2026 (+ 5 p.)
 - 400.2 - copy of letter from U.S. Supreme Court Clerk's office (lacking)
(still didn't arrive)

- JUNE 19, 2026 *Starzenski* - - - -

(date and signature)

PATRICK STARZENSKI

ALIAS PATRICK JAWORSKI

(A 234646011)

CURRENTLY HELD BY OPPOSING PARTY

IN AL ADAMS COUNTY CORR. - CENTER

CORE - CIVIC ON 20 HONO FORK ROAD IN

NATCHEZ, MISSISSIPPI 39120 IN THE USA

PATRICK STARZENSKI^P
A2346460M

IN THE UNITED STATES
SUPREME COURT

IN RE: PATRICK (NIKOLAS) STARZENSKI^P (A2346460M)

CASE NO. 25-M296

DECLARATION

I CERTIFY AND DECLARE THAT MY "PETITION FOR REHEARING" (REV.1)
IN THE ABOVE LISTED COURT PROCEEDING (TO THE PETITION FOR
EXTRAORDINARY WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS) IS PRESENTED AND SUBMITTED
IN THE COURT IN GOOD FAITH AND NOT FOR DELAY.

* I declare under penalty of perjury that all foregoing is true and
correct.

EXECUTED ON: JUNE 19, 2026 -
(date) - - -

Starzenski
(signature) -----

PATRICK STARZENSKI^P
ALIAS PATRICK JAWORSKI^P
(A2346460M)

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I CERTIFY THAT MY PETITION FOR REHEARING IN THIS CASE
PROCEEDING 25-17296 (PETITION REV.1):

1. WAS PREPARED CONFORM WITH RULE 44. (U.S. SUPREME COURT'S RULES)
2. COMPLY WITH RULE(S) 34.1, 9.1, AND INSTRUCTIONS (INCLUDING CAPTION)
3. WAS PREPARED TO THE BEST OF MY POSSIBILITIES (PARDON/LOGIC FOR PARTLY PRINTED
PARTLY HANDWRITTEN FORM BUT OPPOSING PARTY INVOLUTES AND DOESN'T
ALLOW TO LEGAL SERVICES AND VISITS IN THEIR LAW LIBRARY IN DETENTION.
4. MY PETITION FOR REHEARING (REV.1) CONSTITUTE:
 - A) PETITION FOR REHEARING - (9 PAGES ON 8 1/2 AND 11 INCH PAPER FORMAT)
 - B) EXHIBITS AND ATTACHMENTS WHICH CONTAIN:
 - (i) 8 EXHIBITS - 24 PAGES (see list of exhibits 201-203, 301-303, and 400.1-400.2, located on page 9 of 9 to my petition)
 - (ii) DECLARATION that my petition is presented in good faith and not for delay - 1 PAGE
 - (iii) CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE - 1 PAGE
 - (iv) PROOF OF SERVICE and declaration of timely deposition in the mailroom in an institution - 1 PAGE
 - (v) letter/writing to my petition for rehearing (rev.1) - 1 PAGE
5. MY PETITION FOR REHEARING (REV.1) IN ABOVE LISTED CASE WAS SUBMITTED
TIMELY PURSUANT TO U.S. SUPREME COURT'S R. 29 AND FED. R. APP. PROC. R. 46)
AND 25(a)(2)(A)(iii) AND WAS DEPOSITED IN MAILROOM IN AN INSTITUTION AT
JUNE 19, 2026 AND THROUGH MAILROOM WHICH PREPAYS THE POSTAGE TO THE
USPS (see proof of service).
6. MY PETITION FOR REHEARING (REV.1) IS SUBMITTED AS BY PETITIONER
CONFINED IN AN INSTITUTION, PROCEEDING PRO SE AND IN FORMA PAUPERIS
AND CONSTITUTE 1 ORIGINAL COPY
7. I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT ALL FOREGOING IS TRUE
AND CORRECT

JUNE 19, 2026 
(date and signature)
PATRICK STARZENSKI (A234646011)

CASE NUMBER

25-1296

IN THE SUPREME COURT
OF THE UNITED STATES

IN RE PATRICK STARZENSKI, (A234676011)
(petitioner)

v.

(respondent(s))

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Patrcik Starzenski (A234676011), declare that on this date: JUNE 19, 2026, I did submit in the Supreme Court of the United States in the above entitled case this:

PETITION FOR REHEARING (REV. 1) IN THE CASE 25-1296 AND ITS ATTACHMENTS

pursuant to Fed. R. App. Proc. R 4(c), 25(a)(2)(A)(iii), and U.S. Supreme Court R. 29 (as an inmate confined in an insitution) by depositing it in the mailroom in the institution and through mailroom which prepays the postage in the USPS, and that I did serve a true copy of the above listed document(s) to the opposing party by depositing mail with listed documents(s) at this date: JUNE 19, 2026, pursuant to Fed. R. App. Proc. R 4(c), 25(a)(2)(A)(iii), and U.S. Supreme Court R. 29, in the mailroom in an institution and through mailroom which prepays the postage in the USPS to the address:

Solicitor General of the United States
Department of Justice
(for U.S. Attorney General)
950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.,
Room 5616
Washington, DC 20530

*) I declare under penalty of perjury that all foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on: JUNE 19, 2026

Signature: 

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**