

Conformed Copy

ORIGINAL

No. 89485

25-7294
D682156

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
Washington, D.C.

FILED
OCT 30 2025
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Dexter SC Farlough — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

ALANA COOPER — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

The Nevada Supreme Court
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Dexter SC Farlough
(Your Name)

5421 E. Harmon Ave., # J4
(Address)

Las Vegas, Nevada 89122-6028
(City, State, Zip Code)

9095679317
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Can a party be denied Relief from the Court after the Appeal with jurisdiction still at issue with the Court of Appeals?

Can a party to a cause of action be denied by an Eighth Judicial District Court Judge from filing his Notice of Registration for Out of State Child Custody Determination once the Court Ordered the motion, filing, and set the deadline for it?

Can the Eighth Judicial District Court Judge reopen a case without Notice once the case has been Vacated and Closed? official closed 07/09/24?

Can any Court take personal matters upon themselves and violate their oath of office to fill out and address Holiday/Vacation plans for visitations that were not completed or finished before the original case was Vacated and Closed on 07/09/2024?

Can an Appeal " as of right " be denied in any Court?

Can " Access to the Court " be denied by any Judge without merit to do so because she doesn't like the male party?

Can " any " Order(s) of another Court, in another jurisdiction be ignored without the appropriate jurisdiction or Court(s) holding a hearing between the States?

Can a petitioners' Registration for Out-of-State Child Custody motion petition, notice, order(s) not be heard, decided on, not signed or not granted even though the Court Ordered a motion filed by 04/30/2024?

Can a Court go back on its rulings, once " sua sponte " is noticed that errors are appearing, perjury at issue, and the case was not properly adjudicated without holding hearings on the motions filed by the opposing party?

Can a Court charge any opposing party a filing fee, court fees once a fee waiver is granted D-24-682156-P? "[Good Cause]" is shown that an Order had appeared on 03/28/2024 and no hearing was ever held upon the Motion Notice, Petition, and Order For Registration of Foreign Judgment For Out-of-State Child Custody Determination [FORJ], as the case was official Vacated and Closed. Then [without Notice] the case was re-opened on 07/23/2024. As another date appeared to take dispositions on both parties who never gotten a official service sent that the previous ruling was vacated and the case was reopened for Court date 08/20/24 that would include the parties unrulred or decided on issue over Child Decree(s), Unfinished business or hearing(s) to decide [Legal Custody]. The Nevada Supreme Court on Appeal made the entry on 03/21/2025 that the Respondent(s) were given an extension of time to file their "answer" to the Appellants' Informal Brief, Case Appeal Statements, in 14 days. But, had not and the default was noticed and motion filed on this as nothing came of it except this Writ of Certiorari and the Courts ruling of Order of Affirmance to deny Relief.

LIST OF PARTIES

- All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Alana Lateka Liz Cooper [337-GJ-205] criminal case involving ADW " new evidence. "

" Good Cause " is shown that an Order was granted several times " first " in Arizona Superior Court, County of Pima [03/30/16] and in Eighth Judicial District Court to file a " Registration of Foreign Judgment for Out-of-State Child Custody Determination on 04/30/2024, once previous Order(s) were discovered. Because no two parent(s) can hold both [Sole] and [Joint Custody] of the same two children in two different States. Especially when " no " decisions were made in Nevada of [Legal] orders in the case at bar-when the case was " Vacated and Closed " on 09-July-2024; and noted the issue over Jurisdiction was not made with the parties being in Nevada for year when the case was filed [01/24/2024].

Then, On the Appeal the Nevada Supreme Court provided the respondent(s) 14 days to respond to Petitioners' [fast track brief, case appeal statements] by 03/21/2025.

The Nevada Supreme Court Ordered respondent(s) " an extension of time to File their [answer] to the Appellants' Informal Brief. Since, respondent(s) has " defaulted " as of 03/28/25 Order [FORJ] through 04/11/2025 with the " right to appeal. "

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

Remittitur Certified copy of Judgment and Opinion/Order. September 30, 2025 1 Pa.

APPENDIX B

Order of Judgment of the district court Affirmed. September 9, 2025. 1 Pa.

APPENDIX C

Order of Affirmance. 89485-COA (25-39503) 10 Pages

APPENDIX D

Court Ordered. Stipulation-Court Minutes August 20, 2025 4 Pages

APPENDIX E

Order Closing Case. D-24-682156-P. 2 Pas.

APPENDIX F

Judgment. and Order for Paternity. Legal Decision-Making Parenting Time...SP20160029

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
Luian v. Defenders of Wildlife 190-14241. 504 U.S. 555	1-3
Rivero v. Rivero 216 P.3d. 213 and EDCR 5.601(b). (d)	7.9
Luian v. Defenders of Wildlife 190-14241. 504 U.S. 555	1-6
Mav v. Anderson. 121 Nev. Adv. Op. 67 1121 Nev. at 672-6731	6
Calle v. Bowling (2007) 123 Nev. 181. 183. 160 P.3d. 878. 879	8
Edwards v. Emperor's. 122 Nev. at 330 n. 38. 130 P.3d at 1288 n. 38	6
Canarelli v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.. 138 Nev. 104. 107. 506 P. 3d. 334.	9
In re Petition to Recall Dunleavv. 104 Nev. 784. 789. 769 P.2d.	9
Romano. 138 Nev. at 6. 501 P.3d. at 984	7
Marburv v. Madison. 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137170. 2 L.Ed. 60 (1803)	
Edmonson v. Leesville Concrete Co.. Inc. (1991) 500 U.S. 614	
STATUTES AND RULES	
Rule 3E (c)(3) Request for transcripts or rough draft.	
Rule 4 Appeal as of Right	
Rule 9 (c)(4) Transcripts	
Rule 12A (a) Notice to the Appellate Court/Nevada Supreme Court	
Rule 13	
Rule 14 (f)	
Rule 25 Fast Track Opening Brief on the opposing party [goes unanswered, 03/21/2025]	
Rule 28 (a)(1)-(9) and (11)	
EDCR 5.601 (b), (d)	Pg. 7
EDCR 5.601 (e) " [a] court-adopted stipulation concerning child custody..." that was later made 08/20/2024 after testimony was taken from parties after the case was Vacated and Closed 07/09.	
NRCP 5(b)(2)(E) allowing service through a court's electronic filing service). Notice one party did not register in Odessey & Service submission's and was ordered to serve the other party on or about 07/10/2024 or before 07/23/2024 the date the case was reinstated and hearing held?	
OTHER	
ADKT 580 Applicability of Rules	(UCCJEA, EXHIBIT B)
ADKT 0504 " abuse of discretion "	
NRS 125A.305(1)(a)	Pg. 6
NRAP 3	
NRAP 17(a)(11), (12); NRAP 28(e) and; 28.2(a)(3) " abuse..." no inquiry or in the best interest of the child pursued under [new-discovery case in Arizona 20251259 child endangerment 12/26/22]	
NRAP 177.015; 177.025; AND 177.045	

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
- has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
- is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
- has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
- is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix ~~A~~_____ to the petition and is

- reported at ~~Nevada Supreme Court-COA~~_____; or,
- has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
- is unpublished.

The opinion of the ~~Order of Affirmance, Nevada Supreme Court~~_____ court appears at Appendix ~~C~~_____ to the petition and is

- reported at ~~Nevada Supreme Court-COA~~_____; or,
- has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
- is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 09/30/2025.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix C.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1. Appeal " as of right " [A]-you must preserve your arguments for appeal (NV). [B]- you must get important evidence into the record (NV). [C]- you must file the notice of appeal (09/23/2024) on time (NV). [D]- you must focus on the legal issues (10/07/2024, EJDC) (NV). [E]- you have a say in which appellate court hears your case (03/21/2025) (NV). NRAP 4(c)(1), NRAP 28(e), NRAP 28.2(a)(3) use your mandatory routing statement to explain why your case is one of first impressions involving constitutional or common law or raises a principal issue of statewide public importance. NRAP 17(a) (11), (12).
2. " Access to the Courts " - Generalized grievance(s) cases have typically involved government violation of procedures assertedly ordained by the Constitution rather than the Congress. But there is absolutely no basis for making the Article III inquiry turn on the source of the asserted right. " Cases and Controversies " that are the business of the Courts rather than of the political branches. See, *Marbury v. Madison*, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137, 170, 2 L.Ed. 60 (1803) " is solely, to decide on the rights of individuals. " Vindicating the public interest (including the public interest in government observance of the Constitution and laws) is the function of Congress and the Chief Executive.
3. Judge Order(s) and then withdraw those Order(s) once the case is Vacated and Closed and motions or hearings are unanswered. See, also 03/21/2025 The Nevada Supreme Court notice.
4. Inadequate Form(s), Resources, and Systems-not up to date. Which does cause obstruction(s), delays, and cannot prepare responses to the court without adequate form(s) to Appeals.
5. See, No.# 3-violation(s) to previous Judge's Order[SP20160029] in Arizona
6. See, No.# 3-violation(s) to the parties who were not heard. After 07/09/24 Vacated and Closed case. And for the parties to file Custody Decree and Order(s) before 09/03/2024 that were rejected.
7. See, No.# 3-denied opposing party to file and hear motion(s) [FORJ] due to Court not signing the Order in the Application, Notice, Petition of the Registration for Out-of-State Child Custody Determination on 03/28; 05/06; 07/26; 08/06; 08/07; and 04/30/2024.
8. See, No.# 3- Nevada Supreme Court Ordered Respondent(s) to " answer " Appellants' Case Appeal Statement, Informal Brief by fast track and failed to decide the case based on he default or sanction respondent(s) after 03/21/2025.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On or about 03-Jun-2023 the father along with the two children had accompanied the father to Las Vegas, Nevada in search of a new residence. Meanwhile still in Tucson, Arizona. During this time the mother had not and was still living in Tucson, Arizona in a separate place and for over 20 months.

On or about 30-Jun-2023 the father and the two children returned to Las Vegas, Nevada on a trip to Adventure-dome and along with a friend Chedson Ceus.

On or about 31-July-2023 Chedson Ceus came in search of work and also an apartment for us.

On or about 01-Aug-2023 the father returned with the children again and in a 26' U-haul truck with all of their belongings inside and placing those items into storage facility on Jones Blvd in Spring Valley. On or about 08-Aug-2023 the father and children left the State of Nevada and headed back to Tucson, Arizona.

On or about 21-Aug-2023 the father and children came back this time with the mother for an appointment with the Boulder Hwy DWSS Office for benefits after the application had finally been received since 06/03/2023.

On or about 30-Aug-2023 the parents along with the children had come again to follow up with those requests of the DWSS Office appointment to register with a work source here in the State (NV). And they all returned back to Tucson, Arizona after that.

On or about 29-Sept-2023 the family returned from Tucson, Arizona headed for Henderson, Nevada after the application for an apartment in Villa Serene Apartments in Henderson, Nevada. Though the official move-in date was 10/02/2023.

On or about 02-Oct-2023 the family filled out the remaining documents for the apartment and paid the sixteen hundred of the move-in costs (\$1600.00).

On or about 19-Oct-2023 the mother informed the 911 operator and others by transcript that she was going back to Tucson, Arizona. Due to non-payment of rent or move-in cost. That led to the father being attacked, harassed, and arrested by unfounded accusations brought on by making a false police report.

On or about 19-Jan-2024 a TPO order was brought about.

On or about 21-Jan-2024 a violation of that temporary restraining order was served and within five (5) minutes of it being served upon the mother she had violated it and returned back to the place she was restricted to return to trying to obtain her belongings and place them in the neighbor's apartment and soon thereafter arrested. [T-24-241689-T]

On or about 24-Jan-2024 case no.# D-24-682-156-P was established after her release from jail.

On or about 05-Feb-2024 a constable served the papers at the Henderson address upon the father since a TPO was in effect for a year.

See, previous details submitted on 04/19/2025

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

New Discovery added and should have been addressed in an " inquiry " upon discovering [Home- - State]. It was found that on or about 12/26/2022 in the State of Arizona that an accident occurred with the previous filing [Plaintiff, Alana Lateka Liz Cooper] who had been involved in a car accident that involved two underaged children and multiple count(s) were brought against the person involved in this case in Nevada. See, Case No.# 20251259-001 State of Arizona and a Plea Agreement was entered into on March 24, 2025 on child assault and assault with a deadly weapon vehicle and injury to a child under 15 years old. That would conclude the father's sole reasons for the Sole Custody and that Sole-Decision making authority upheld since established March 30, 2016.

On or about 24-Jan-2024 case no.# D-24-682156-P was filed by Alana Lateka Liz Cooper [aka Alana Cooper] well below the six (6) month mark according to statute [shown, on Pg. 4, Second Paragraph] to ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE, dated 09/09/2025 to declare residency for the State of Nevada. This case should have been dismissed.

On or about 19-Oct-2023 the father and mother had an involvement with the Henderson Police Department being called out after 16 days of being here in Henderson, Nevada. See, 04/19/25 long version or Attorney work product file to Dispatcher and Police report account(s).

On or about 03-Oct-2023 the entire Family of four (Dexter, Alana, Kaeden and Kaitlyn) officially moved into the State of Nevada and into Henderson, NV. The address of 325 N. Gibson Rd., Apt. 1221, Henderson, Nevada 89014.

On or about 30-Aug-2023 the mother had a work scheduled appointment on Flamingo and McCleod to complete those requirements under DWSS.

On or about 21-Aug-2023 the parents were still residing in Tucson, Arizona in two separate locations from 01/21/2022 to 10/02/2023. But the parents came to Henderson, Nevada for a DWSS appointment in office concerning their benefits for the children and then returned back to Tucson, Arizona. At no time had they been Nevada residents during these trips back and forth.

On or about 31-July-2023 through 08-Aug-2023 the parents came into Nevada to look for a place or apartment.

On or about 30-June-2023 the father, children and a neighbor came into Las Vegas, Nevada seeking a new place to live but of no avail just yet.

On or about 03-June-2023 the father and the children only came into Las Vegas, Nevada while transporting some of their belongings [Only the father and the children]. See, Order of Affirmance Page 3, Ln 10-16 and Pg. 4 because the lines are not present to see or view on page or Order.

On or about 31-May-2023 the father and children (Kaeden and Kaitlyn's) lease was up in Tucson, AZ 2525 N. Los Altos Ave.. Apt. 236. Tucson. Arizona 85705.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Datta SC Furlough

Date: 03/13/2026

Confirmed Copy

No. 89485

D682156

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Washington D.C.

Dexter SC Farlough — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

ALANA COOPER — RESPONDENT(S)

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Dexter SC Farlough, do swear or declare that on this date, March 13th, 2026, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I have served the enclosed MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS* and PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI on each party to the above proceeding or that party's counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing an envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed to each of them and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days.

The names and addresses of those served are as follows:

- 1-U S. Supreme Court Washington District of Columbia Washington D.C. 20243-0001
- 2-Solicitor General US DOJ 950 Pennsylvania Ave NW Washington D.C.
- 3-Attorney General 555 W. Washington Ave Las Vegas NV 89101

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on Mar 13th, 2026.

Dexter SC Farlough
(Signature)