

LIST OF APPENDICES

- A. APPENDIX A: Order by State Supreme Court of California Denied Petition for Review on **July 30, 2025** Case # S291351 on court of appeal case C103421. Supreme Court case Denied Motion to add records of Petition filed in Court of appeal mailed back but stamped and received on same time as request to file New litigation by Supreme Court of California. Case S291351 Court of Appeal C103421
- B. LETTER FROM US SUPREME COURT allowing Late or order allowing amended filing for corrections. Dated February 18, 2025 and then on February 18, 2025 allowing late filing with Corrections.
- C. APPENDIX C: Order Denied Request to file New Litigation in Third Court of Appeal C103421 on **04/28/2025**. **Court dockets attached with a statement of Petition filed with Request to file New litigation. Appellants motion for relief from prefiling order is denied because appellant has failed to show "It appears the Litigation [the appeal] has merit and has not been filed for the purposes of harassment or delay. (Code of Civil Proc.. 391.7...Mccolm vs Westwood Park (1998)Disapproved on other groups by John vs Superior Court (2016) Consequently, the appeal filed March 3, 2025 is Inoperative." - Robbie Earl.**
- D. APPENDIX D: Order Denying the **Rehearing** to Add Records, Writ of Extraordinary and documents mailed back, in Court of appeal case 5/22/2025 denying rehearing with "no provisions" to file. 3rd Court of Appeal Case C103421 " **At the Direction of the Court, your request for rehearing and accompanying documents received on May 12, 2025 ,are being retired to you unfiled. There are no provisions in the California Code of Civil Procedures, Section 391.7 or rehearing following the denial of a request to file new Litigation by a Vexatious Litigation"**
- E. APPENDIX E: PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS BOARD DECISION 2945E on Proposed Decision by the Administrative Law judge on case 2888E Wu vs Twin Rivers Unified School District AND PERB ISSUED COMPLAINT AGAINST TRUSD
- F. Stamped by Court of appeal First page of the Petition for Writ of Extraordinary Per Cal Gov code 3509.5 and motions to add records, and Motion for Rehearing.

G. Few pertinent documents of emails from the 2,000 plus documents and testimony from Five day hearing on Statute of Limitation on Relations Back doctrine.

H. Motions in Court of Appeal Request for En Blanc Rehearing, Motions to add records, Writ of Extraordinary that was sent back. "ERRATA Motion in State Supreme court to Add all records that wer filed in the Third court of Appeal." [I Truefiling Including Writ of Extraordinary, and Rehearing Petition] "Motion in State Supreme Court (truefiling) to add some of the pertinent records in PERB that would have been Required to be sent over to the court of appeal. Records in the case would have be sent per Gov Code 3509.5 by PERB if the Vexatious Applicant had been approved" and " Motion to add Records improperly mailed back to petitioner due to Denied request for the Petition for Review, the mourn to add records of the Rehring .."[also Truefiling]

I. Proof of service by Wu by mail

VERIFICATION I, Rebecca Wu, declares under penalty of perjury the information submitted is true and correct

DATE: April 20, 2026



Rebecca Wu Petitioner- Appellant

SUPREME COURT
FILED

JUL 30 2025

Jorge Navarrete Clerk

Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District - No. C103421

S291351

Deputy

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

En Banc

REBECCA WU, Petitioner,

v.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS BOARD, Respondent;

TWIN RIVERS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, Real Party in Interest.

The motions to add records, which are treated as motions to augment the record,
are denied.

The petition for review is denied.

GUERRERO

Chief Justice

IN THE
Court of Appeal of the State of California
IN AND FOR THE
THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT

REBECCA WU,
Petitioner,
v.
PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT
RELATIONS BOARD,
Respondent;
TWIN RIVERS UNIFIED
SCHOOL DISTRICT,
Real Party in Interest.

C103421
PERB No. SACE2888E

BY THE COURT:

Petitioner's request for an order by the presiding justice for relief from a prefiling order to permit the filing of a petition for writ of extraordinary relief is denied. Petitioner has failed to show "it appears that the litigation [the writ petition] has merit and has not been filed for the purposes of harassment or delay." (Code Civ. Proc., § 391.7, subd. (b); *McColm v. Westwood Park Assn.* (1998) 62 Cal.App.4th 1211, 1216-1221, disapproved on other grounds by *John v. Superior Court* (2016) 63 Cal.4th 91.)

The clerk of this court is directed to return the petition for writ of extraordinary relief, application for waiver of court fees, motion to request another judge, and supporting documents submitted with the petition as of the date of this order.


ROBIE, Acting P.J.

cc: See Mailing List

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**