

25-7231

ORIGINAL


No. \_\_\_\_\_

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Albert Glass

v

Office of Chief Medical Examiner




On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the Forth Circuit Court of Appeals

Albert Glass

1135 Homestead Street

Baltimore, Maryland 21218

(410)-371-7339



### Questions presented

Is the taking of a deceased person's organs by a state agency without preauthorization of said person or next-of-kin a violation of the Constitutional and legal rights of said person and next-of-kin? Also, without authorization is it a violation of this person's next-of-kin's constitutional and legal rights to give organs to research facilities

## Table of contents

Table of authorities	p1
Official and unofficial reports of opinions entered in this case	p2
Statement of Jurisdiction	p4
Constitutional and statutory Provisions	p6
Statement of the case	p7
Reasons for granting the petition	p9
Conclusion	p10

## Index of Appendices

Appendix A Decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Forth Circuit

Appendix B Decision of the United States District Court for the District of Maryland

Appendix C Gwendolyn v Gore CA CV-20-0431 (2022)

Appendix D Anaya v City of New York 2025 NY slip Op 50800(U) (2025)

Table of authorities

United States of America v Cedic Lodge 4-23-CR-159-01. U.S District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania. Judgment entered December 9, 2025

Gwendoly Aloia, et al v Stephen Gore 1 CA-CV 20-0431. Arizona Court of Appeals Division one. Judgment entered January 24, 2020

STATUTES AND RULE

OTHER

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_ ; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix  
to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion \_\_\_\_\_ of the  
court \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is \_\_\_\_\_ appears at  
Appendix \_\_\_\_\_; or,

reported at  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

**1.**  
**JURISDICTION**

For cases from **federal courts**

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case  
was ~~October 20, 2025~~ \_\_\_\_\_

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of  
Appeals on the following date; ~~and a copy of the order denying rehearing~~  
appears at Appendix . \_\_\_\_\_

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted  
to and including (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No.  
— A — .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_ .  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ .

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date:  
\_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing  
appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ .

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to  
and including (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in  
Application No. — A — \_\_\_\_\_ .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

**CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

**First Amendment of the United States Constitution**

**Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution**

**Nineth Amendment of the United States Constriction**

**Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Construction**

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On May 9, 2024 my mother died. The Baltimore City Police Department told me that an autopsy had to be performed. The Baltimore City Police said that there was no way that I could stop the autopsy. There was no due process before the state took my mother's body giving her heart and brain to research facilities. An autopsy was performed on my mother on May 10, 2024. A few days later I buried my mother's body. I made three phone calls after my mother's funeral to the Office of Chief Medical Examiner to ask if any part of my mother's body was retained. I was told on all three occasions that nothing was retained. On one of the occasions, I was told by a woman who refused to give her name that if my mother was not an organ or tissue donor then no part of her body was retained. I then called into Andy Harris' office. Congressman's Harris' chief of staff Matt Hudson contacted the Chief Medical Examiner Pamela Southall MD who finally admitted that my mother's brain was donated to Johns Hopkins Hospital and my mother's heart was donated to the CVPPath institute. The CVPPath Institute is a research facility in Gaithersburg Maryland. The CvPath Institute made 20 tissue blocks and 30 slides from my mother's heart. These blocks and slides are what I am concerned were not returned to me. The secretary of the Chief Medical Examiner Stephanie Moore emailed me, and said that they only kept what they legally could. I called to ask what was retained and Ms. Moore kept putting me on hold, and finally said that I would need to talk to the Medical Examiner if they would talk to me. I reached out to the assistant medical examiner that performed the autopsy Dr. Jack Titus MD and he did not return my calls. I was later contacted by Ms. Moore who told me to contact a funeral home to get my mother's organs.

I made arrangements to have my mother's organs buried on August 14, 2024. I was at the gravesite when the undertaker called me and said that the office of Chief Medical Examiner did not yet have my mother's organs, and I had to delay the burial by two hours. I was able to inter my mother's heart and brain, but I do not know if I have all of her heart due to the samples that were taken. All of the mix-ups is the reason that I am concerned about the tissue blocks and slides. This matter is an issue of federal concern in that it has never been address at the federal level as to the government taking organs. Also, there is a question of law because the tissue banks use the organs for research which they are probably paid money for the research. My mother cried to me asking that she not be cremated. She wanted to be buried according to her Catholic Faith. My mother wanted her body buried together. This was her last wish. As an only son I am trying my best to ensure that my mother's dying wish is fulfilled. This is an issue of importance to the court, because there are several class action law suits that are coming though the state courts over this issue.

**REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION**

**The reason that I think that you should grant this petition that it is a violation of mine and my mother's conational right's. Also, it will not harm the appellant in any way to give me back the tissue blocks and slides. Finally, it will prevent other families from having to go though the emotional distress that I am feeling now.**

**CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Albert Glass \_\_\_\_\_

Date: January 20, 2026 \_\_\_\_\_

No. \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

\_\_\_\_\_

Albert Glass \_\_\_\_\_ — PETITIONER (Your Name)

VS.

Office of Chief medical Examiner \_\_\_\_\_ —  
RESPONDENT(S)

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

I Albert Glass January 20, 2026 April 6, do swear or declare that on this date,  
\_\_\_\_\_, 20 28, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I have  
served the enclosed MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS* and  
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI on each party to the above proceeding or

that party's counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing an envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed to each of them and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days.

The names and addresses of those served are as follows:

Mary Elizabeth Bearden Office of the Attorney General

300 West Preston Street, Suite 302

Baltimore, MD 21201-2399

Dalia Weintaub

300 West Preston Street, Suite 302


Baltimore, MD 21201-2399

---

---

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on ~~January 20~~ \_\_\_\_\_, ~~2026~~

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Albert H.", written over a horizontal line.

(Signature)