

No. 25-7206

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

HUONG GILMER GIACCIO — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

MEREDITH LYON, ET AL., — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR REHEARING

HUONG GILMER GIACCIO
(Your Name)

3719 KELLY BLVD
(Address)

CARROLLTON, TEXAS 75007
(City, State, Zip Code)

945-546-0934
(Phone Number)

PETITION FOR REHEARING

Petitioner Huang Gilmer Giaccio respectfully petitions for rehearing of this Court's June 15, 2026 order denying the petition for a writ of certiorari in No. 25-7206.

RULE 44.2 BASIS

This petition is filed within 25 days after the June 15, 2026, order denying certiorari. It is restricted to intervening circumstances of substantial effect and to substantial grounds that were not previously presented, and it is filed in good faith and not for delay.

Petitioner does not ask the Court merely to reweigh the same record. The new and urgent circumstance is that, after the denial of certiorari, local enforcement continued and escalated into an immediate threat of arrest connected to the same municipal enforcement system challenged in the petition.

INTERVENING CIRCUMSTANCES

On June 24, 2026, after this Court denied certiorari, Petitioner appeared in Carrollton Municipal Court concerning citations including 33108, 33109, and 33110. Petitioner states under penalty of perjury in related emergency filings that the municipal judge set \$2,000 per citation, totaling \$6,000, and told Petitioner that they are going to arrest her for those related citations. I have an audio recording I have recorded what the judge said to me.

Petitioner further states that she has no job, no income, and no ability to pay thousands of dollars; that she has requested community service and lawful alternatives; that she is not refusing to appear; and that she seeks an ability-to-pay hearing, production of sworn complaints and evidence, and protection from arrest-based enforcement, discharge unlawful baseless fines.

These events were not before the Court when the petition was considered. They directly affect the practical consequences of the judgment below because the challenged municipal enforcement has not ended. It has continued into a present threat of arrest and confinement.

WHY REHEARING IS WARRANTED

The petition presented constitutional questions concerning repeated municipal enforcement, arrests, fines, and judicial refusal to meaningfully review evidence. The intervening June 24, 2026 events show that the claimed injury is not historical only. Petitioner remains exposed to arrest and confinement based on disputed citations, asserted inability to pay, and alleged unsupported enforcement.

This Court has recognized that a person may not be imprisoned for failure to pay without inquiry into ability to pay and consideration of alternatives. The current threat of arrest despite Petitioner's asserted indigency, requests for community service, and dispute of the alleged violations makes the federal constitutional issue immediate and substantial.

Petitioner has now filed emergency papers in the active federal cases in the Northern District of Texas, including No. 3:26-cv-00855-E-BN and No. 3:26-cv-00851-G-BW, seeking narrow protection from arrest, *capias*, *capias pro fine*, or jail enforcement unless constitutionally adequate procedures and evidence are provided. Those filings confirm that the same enforcement pattern remains active and unresolved.

The petition should be reheard so the Court may consider whether denial of review should stand in light of the intervening arrest threat and the ongoing risk of deprivation of liberty without meaningful ability-to-pay review, evidentiary review, or lawful alternatives.

RELIEF REQUESTED

For these reasons, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Court grant rehearing, vacate the June 15, 2026 denial of certiorari, request a response if the Court deems one helpful, and reconsider the petition for writ of certiorari in light of the intervening circumstances described above. In the alternative, Petitioner requests any other relief the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Huong Gilmer Giaccio', with a long, sweeping horizontal line extending to the right.

Huong Gilmer Giaccio

Petitioner, Pro Se

3719 Kelly Blvd

Carrollton, Texas 75007

Phone: 945-546-0934

Email: hgfive@yahoo.com

Date: On the **26th** of June 2026

CERTIFICATE REQUIRED BY RULE 44.2

I, Huong Gilmer Giaccio, certify that this Petition for Rehearing is restricted to the grounds specified in Supreme Court Rule 44.2, including intervening circumstances of substantial effect and other substantial grounds not previously presented. I further certify that this Petition is presented in good faith and not for delay.

Executed on the **26th** of June 2026.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Huong', with a long, sweeping horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Huong Gilmer Giaccio
Petitioner, Pro Se

DECLARATION REGARDING JUNE 24, 2026, ARREST THREAT

I, Huong Gilmer Giaccio, declared under penalty of perjury:

1. On June 24, 2026, I appeared in Carrollton Municipal Court regarding citations 33108, 33109, and 33110.
2. During that proceeding, the judge stated words to the effect that a warrant would be issued or that I would be arrested.
3. I understood the statement as an immediate threat of arrest or warrant enforcement.
4. I have no job, no income, and no ability to pay the amounts demanded.
5. I am not refusing to appear or comply with lawful process. I dispute the alleged violations, request the evidence and sworn complaints supporting each citation, assert inability to pay, and request an ability-to-pay hearing, community service, waiver, discharge, or other lawful alternative before any warrant, capias, capias pro fine, or arrest is used.
6. I have an audio recording relating to the June 24, 2026, proceeding and respectfully request permission to submit a transcript or recording if the Court wishes to review it.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 26th, 2026.

Respectfully submitted,



Huong Gilmer Giaccio

Pro Se