

NO.25-7198

Supreme Court

Of the

United States of America

1 One First Street, NE,

Washington, DC 20543

Barbara Anne Clark

PETITIONER

VS.

Hospital University Pennsylvania & Penn Medicine Orthopedics

Scaricamzza, Devitt, Naulty

RESPONDENT(S) Counsel for Defendants

On Writ OF Certiorari To the Supreme Court of United States

Petition For Rehearing

Barbara Anne Clark

(no address)

Anneclark9601@gmail.com(610)563-8090

Questions Presented for Review

1. Does the previous decision that was rendered on June 1, 2026 conflict with the laws of the United States Constitution and federal laws.

Involving the Supreme Court of the United States Court's jurisdiction warrants the authority of the official Supreme Court's Justices to intervene and enforce the Constitutionally of the federal rules of laws and .Constitutional procedures.

Table of Contents

1. Table of Authorities
2. Appendix
3. Statement of jurisdiction
4. Grounds for Rehearing
5. Conclusions
6. Certificate of Counsel:

1. Table of Authorities:

A) The Due Process Clause U.S. Constitution Amendment XIV No

individual or persons shall be deprived life liberty or property without due
process of the law

b) The equal protection clause U.S. Constitution Amendment XIV

No state shall deny to any person or to anyone within its jurisdiction the
equal protection of the laws.

c) The citizens of each State shall be entitled to all privileges and

immunities in citizens in several states.

(Goldberg v Kelly, 397 U.S. 254 (1970) procedural due process)

The jurisdiction of Supreme Court of United States of America's original jurisdiction is invoked in pursuant to Article III, Section 2 of the United States Constitution . SUPREME COURT HAS Jurisdiction OVER ALL CASES

Rules and Details

(Legal binding Precedent)

Original Jurisdiction: In Marbury v.

Madison (1803), the Supreme Court ruled that Congress cannot expand or restrict the Court's original jurisdiction as defined by the Constitution.

The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction (meaning only the Supreme Court can hear them, not lower federal courts) over all controversies

3. Statement of Jurisdiction:

The Supreme Court of the United States of America shall have jurisdiction of appeals from all final decisions of United States District Court Eastern Pennsylvania United States Third Circuit Court L.AR.]. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked implementing the original and appellate power vested in One Court pursuant to Article III, Section 2 of the United States

Constitution

Rehearing | Supreme Court

1. This petition for the rehearing of previous decision of the Court on the merits is filed within 25 days after decision entry on docket

June1,2026.

The basis for invoking the original jurisdiction of the Supreme Court for rehearing is that the previous decision denial writ of certiorari rendered on June 1,2026 conflicts with the fundamental importance of written document of opinion or memorandum of conclusions of law of judgment/Decision.

I am requesting this Court reconsider the decision of my denied petition of writ of certiorari entered on the docket June1,2026 civil case docket number 25-7198.

4. Grounds for Rehearing

I Barbara Anne Clark Pro se litigant respectfully petition for rehearing of my writ of certiorari submitted on April 15,2026 civil case docket number

25-7198. Before the Supreme Court's Justices to reconsider reviewing my petition of writ of certiorari. The grounds of this petition for rehearing are warrant for the Supreme Court of United States to intervene. a substantial mistakes of the supreme courts of United States clerk of courts was I implementing One and only controlling statues of the Supreme Court 'of United States original jurisdiction in pursuant to article 3 section 2 which invokes original and appellant jurisdiction authority in reviewing the lower court's decisions of my writ of certiorari These were the procedural deficiencies the Supreme Court's clerk of courts instructed me to follow in reference to filing my writ of certiorari listed below

A) The denial of my writ of certiorari submitted on The docket

June 1, 2026. Civil case docket number 25-7198 This decision was not formally docketed of the justice decision ,or SIGNED OFFICIALLY BY JUDGES

(argument stating the rule of law)

Reasoning on record in pursuant to **Fed.R.Civ.P.52** which governs a judges to implement decision making and state their conclusions of law on document.

When laws, policies, or judicial practices lack fair notice, an impartial hearing, or a neutral decision-maker, they create procedural deficiencies that contradict the Constitution. If the government deprives a person of life, liberty, or property without these safeguards, it directly violates the Due Process Clauses.

B)The official filing of my writ of certiorari had a notarized stamp on the first page of my petition of writ of certiorari. requested in writing the clerk of courts implements the official certify stamp of each docket page. The clerk of courts refused and replied they are not required to certify stamp every document(.**see appendix B clerk of courts response to certifying each page of petition of writ of certiorari .**)

(argument of federal rule of law regarding electronic filing Machine (**see appendix C,**)notarized stamp writ of certiorari)legal validity of automotive system

Under the Federal Rules of Evidence (FRE) 902, the law explicitly validates automation:

- o FRE 902(13) grants automatic self-authentication to data and records

;generated by an electronic process or system.; This means an electronic or

motorized machine timestamp is legally bulletproof evidence of the exact second

a document entered the court's custody.

1. FRCP Rule 5(d) (3) - The Electronic Filing Machine Rule

FRCP Rule 5(d)(3). explicitly mandates that federal courts utilize electronic filing

systems.

Under FRCP 5(d) (3)(B), any document submitted through the court's electronic portal

or processed through the clerk's mechanical logging machine ;constitutes a written

paper for purposes of these rules.;

House.gov

- o The Electronic Stamp: When a document passes through the court's automated

intake system, the machine applies a digital banner across the top header of

every single page of the document.

What the Stamp Contains: This automated header acts as the official certified

time-stamp. It instantly prints the exact date, the filing time, the unique case docket number, the document index number, and the page count.

The United States Constitutional impacts the reconsideration of my petition for rehearing . The U.S. Constitution guarantees a right to a fair legal process pursuant to United States Constitution V,14 .Due process requires that you get a meaningful opportunity to be heard before a final judgment is made.

☐ Because procedural irregularities that deprived my writ Criterion of full and fair consideration of the constitutional issues ;Can be corrected to prevent constitutional error.

☐ In pursuant to Article VI, Clause 2 of the U.S. Constitution, The Supremacy Clause, which establishes that the federal Constitution, federal laws, and treaties are is ;supreme Law of the Land ;. It requires all state judges and officials to follow federal law, whenever conflicting rules or regulations of state or local regulations conflicts the United States Constitution, it is

void .

☐ The United States Constitution takes priority over conflicted rules

regulations that conflicts with the United States Constitution

Bound by Oath: Judge's are legally bound to uphold federal law and

the U.S. Constitution.

5. Conclusion

My request for rehearing is in good faith for several constitutional rights raised in

my request for rehearing in accordance to due process in matter of law

I respectfully submit this petition for rehearing For these reasons

mentioned above to grant my petition for rehearing to review my writ

of certiorari In accordance laws of United States Constitution.

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara Anne Clark



(no address)

Anneclark9601@gmail.com

Certificate of Counsel

As petitioner pro -se litigant I herby certify that this petition for rehearing
Is presented in good faith ,and not for delay and is restricted to the ground specified
In Rule 44.2

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara Anne Clark

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Barbara Anne Clark", written in dark ink.

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**

No:25-7198

Supreme Court

Of the United States

America

Proof of Service

The following documents was delivered by United States Postal Mail Service to the Supreme Court' of United States of America Clerk of Court for certified filing of documents

, and The Defendants counsels for proof of service of documents filed by Petitioner

,Pro-se litigant to the Supreme Court of the United States.

1.Request a response (answer) grant of rehearing of the Supreme Court of United States Justices

2.Petition for rehearing

Was delivered on June 12 . 2026 to the following address

Thomas Savon ,Scaricamzza,McDevitt,Naulty.

One Penn Center 1617 JFK Blvd, Suite 750 Philadelphia,PA,19103

This third party law firm notifies the Defendant's Insurance attorney

Betty S Alder located at

FMC South Tower Circa 2929 Walnut Street Philadelphia PA, 19104.


I declare the foregoing information is true and correct under penalty

and perjury in accordance

to 28 U.S.C. 1746.

Respectfully submitted

Barbara Anne Clark (age 46) Pro-se Petitioner,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Barbara Anne Clark". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style. The first name "Barbara" is written with a large, prominent 'B'. The middle name "Anne" is written in a smaller, more compact cursive. The last name "Clark" is written with a large, prominent 'C' and a long, sweeping tail that extends to the right.

610)563-8090(anneclark9601@gmail.co