

FILED
No. # 25-7185

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES

Raymond Spult,
Petitioner Pro se;

VS.

KERRIE, LONARD and
KANSAS OFFICE OF THE CHILD ADVOCATE
Respondent(s)

PETITION FOR REHEARING

Raymond Spult
Petitioner Pro se;

P.O. Box # 8091

Wichita, KS 67208...

TELEPHONE x (316) 883-7745

IN THE SUPREME COURT
IN THE UNITED STATES
OF AMERICA

No. 25-7185

Raymond Sipult, Petitioner Pro SE

v.

Kerrie Leonard, Kansas Office of the
Child Advocate, Respondent Pro SE;


NO. _____

PETITION FOR REHEARING OF THE ORDER
DENYING CERTIORARI PURSUANT TO
RULE 44.2 OF THE RULES OF THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED
STATES OF AMERICA.

I. INTRODUCTION

The petitioner respectfully requests
a Hearing OF THE COURTS ORDER that
PS DATED JUNE 15TH 2026.

Denying the Petitioner for A "Writ
OF CERTIORARI".



This petition is Submitted pursuant to RULE # 44.2 THE RULES OF THE Supreme Court OF THE UNITED STATES OF America and is based upon Substantial or Controlling effect that Warrent A Rehearing.

II. GROUNDS FOR REHEARING

Rehearing is Warranted because the Court overlooked or misapprehended the following material.

A. OVERLOOKED Federal Question or Controlling Issue.

- The Substantial ground Supporting RE-Hearing is that the Case presents unresolved procedural fairness and ADA Accommodation issues affecting the Completness and Clarity of the record presented for Certiorari Review.

A Rehearing is requested because this ground bears directly on whether the Federal questions were fully and accurately presented for the Courts Consideration under ITS "DISCRETIONARY JURISDICTION".

- This Substantial ground is material to the Court's Consideration OF THE PETITION FOR A WRIT OF Certiorari because it directly affects whether the record and presentation of the Federal issues were sufficiently Developed to allow proper review.

Had this issue been fully accounted for, it would have impacted the Court's Assessment of whether the Petition satisfied the Criteria for discretionary Certiorari review.

- The record reflects that Petitioner proceeded pro se and asserted financial limitations in seeking access to the Court's review process. These Circumstances are part of the procedural posture in which "THE PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI" was presented and are relevant to how the Federal questions were presented in the Petition for the Court's Discretionary Review.

B. Overlooked Record Fact or Legal Argument already Presented

- The Federal Question Concerns the Scope of procedural due process and Constitutional Access-to-Courts protections for pro SE Litigants with documented Financial and disability-related limitations during Appellate and discretionary review proceedings
- This issue has National Significance because it implicates the uniform Application of Constitutional guarantees ensuring meaningful Access to JUDICIAL Review for SELF-Represented and Indigent Litigants in both STATE AND FEDERAL COURTS. AS raised in the Petition For a WRIT OF Certiorari, this Case presents Concerns regarding "the procedural FAIRNESS" and "Accessibility OF Appellate Review for Pro SE Litigants."

III. RULE *44.2 STANDARD

This petition is not a ReArgument of the merits, but is Submitted

- pursuant to Rule #44.2 to present
Substantial grounds Warranting
rehearing of the Court's Order
denying Certiorari

IV. Conclusion

For these reasons, Petitioner
respectfully requests that the Court
grant rehearing of its Order denying
the Petition for a "Writ of -
Cetiorari".

Respectfully Submitted

RAYMOND L. Sipult Pro SE; Litigant




Raymond Sipult
P.O. Box #8091
Wichita Kansas 67208

END
CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
Rule #44 OF THE RULES OF THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES

I, Raymond Sipult, petitioner
in this matter, certify that the
Attached Petition for ReHEARING
is presented "IN Good Faith" and
is ~~not~~ presented
for purposes of Delay.

Respectfully Submitted,

x 
RAYMOND SIPULT
PETITIONER PRO SE;

P.O. Box # 8091 /
WICHITA, KANSAS 67208...

Telephone x (316) 883-7745

DATE x August 1st 2026

DATE DEPOSITED INTO U.S. MAIL x Aug 3RD 2026

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Raymond L. Sipult, certify that on this 6TH day of July, 2026, I placed true and correct Copies of the foregoing Petition for Rehearing of Order Denying Certiorari pursuant to Supreme Court Rule # 44.2 in the UNITED STATES MAIL, postage prepaid Addressed to *

#1 CLERK OF THE Supreme Court OF THE UNITED STATES

@ 1 First Street, NE
WASHINGTON, DC 20543

and

#2 Kerrie Lonard, CHILD ADVOCATE
KANSAS OFFICE OF THE CHILD ADVOCATE

@ LANDON STATE OFFICE BUILDING
900 S.W. JACKSON ST,
Suite # 1041

TOPEKA, KANSAS 66612.

Email * childadvocate@KS.gov

Phone * 1-844-KS-CHILD / 1-844-572-4453

I Declare under penalty of Perjury that the foregoing is True and Correct.

RAYMOND L. SIPULT Pro SE;
DATE * July 5TH 2026.