

ORIGINAL

No. 25-7178

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED  
JAN - 8 2026  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

JAY A. RICHITELLI — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

11<sup>TH</sup> CIRCUIT OF APPEALS # 25-12184-B  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

JAY A. RICHITELLI  
(Your Name)

OTISVILLE F.C.I. P.O. BOX 1000  
(Address)

OTISVILLE NY 10963-1000  
(City, State, Zip Code)

NONE  
(Phone Number)

Supreme Court of the United States

No. 17-8244

JAY A. RICHITELLI,

Petitioner

v.

UNITED STATES

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI to the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit.

THIS CAUSE having been submitted on the petition for writ of certiorari and the response thereto.

ON CONSIDERATION WHEREOF, it is ordered and adjudged by this Court that the motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and the petition for writ of certiorari are granted. The judgment of the above court in this cause is vacated, and the case is remanded to the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit for further consideration in light of *Sessions v. Dimaya*, 584 U. S. \_\_ (2018).

October 1, 2018



A True Copy SCOTT S. HARRIS

Clerk of the Supreme Court of the United States

*Scott S. Harris*

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. HOW CAN A DISTRICT FEDERAL JUDGE RUN A CONSECUTIVE SENTENCE ON A NON-VIOLENT OFFENSE. THAT WAS JUST MANDATED BACK TO THE DISTRICT JUDGE BY THE U.S. SUPREME JUDGE MR. C. THOMAS?
2. IS THIS STACKING CHARGES. SAME INCIDENT, SAME TIME, SAME OFFENSE. ON A NON-VIOLENT OFFENSE?
3. IS THERE FEDERAL RULES AND PROCEDURES THESE DISTRICT JUDGES HAVE TO FOLLOW ON CASES WON IN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT, AND THEN THE DISTRICTS STACK IT AGAIN?

## LIST OF PARTIES

- All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## RELATED CASES

ALL CASES IN MY PRIOR FILINGS  
AND ALL CASE LAWS PERTAINING TO THESE  
STACKED CHARGES AGAIN AFTER U.S. SUPREME  
JUDGE MR. C. THOMAS MANDATED DOWN  
ORDERS TO THE DISTRICT JUDGE THEN  
SIAM DUNKED ME AGAIN ON STACKED  
CONSPIRACY CHARGES ON A NON VIOLENT OFFENSE  
HAS TO BE CASE LAWS ON THIS. I AM NOT A LAWYER.  
I AM TRYING MY BEST. THANK YOU'S.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

OPINIONS BELOW ..... 1  
JURISDICTION.....  
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....  
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....  
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....  
CONCLUSION.....

**INDEX TO APPENDICES**

- APPENDIX A *11<sup>TH</sup> CIRCUIT OF APPEALS # 25-12184-B*
- APPENDIX B *DISTRICT COURT S.D. FL 0:25-cv-60581-DMM*
- APPENDIX C *HOLDING CASE. 0:09-CR-60229-JIC-1*
- APPENDIX D
- APPENDIX E
- APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
JOHNSON V. UNITED STATES	<del>576</del> 45591, 597
SESSIONS V DIMAYA	584 U.S. (2018)

STATUTES AND RULES

STACKING CHARGES. ON A CONSPIRACY CHARGE  
A NON-VIOLENT OFFENSE. ON GOVERNMENT  
SITE ON SENTENCE ~~AND~~ STRUCTURES AS EXHIBIT  
ON PRIOR CASE I FILED ON. TO LOOK AT.

RULES AND PROCEDURES THE DISTRICT JUDGES HAVE  
TO ABIDE BY. MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS BEEN ~~VIOLATED~~  
VIOLATED. AT RESENTENCING.

OTHER GOING ON THE LAW OF THE LAND OF THE  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. AND THE JUDGES  
OF THE U.S. SUPREME COURT. THAT MAKE THEM.

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was DEC 4, 2025. *Received NOTICE JAN 22, 2026 ATTACHED, TO VERIFY.*

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No.   A  .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No.   A  .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

I PRO-SE JAYA RICHITELL. GOT RELIEF UNDER THE JOHNSON CASE, AND THE SESSIONS IN DYMYA CASE THEN WENT IN FRONT OF THE DISTRICT JUDGE ON A MANDATED DOWN ORDERS FROM A U.S. SUPREME JUDGE, AND GOT STALKED CHARGES AGAIN, THATS AGAINST THE CONSTITUTIONAL LAWS OF THE LAND OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

AND ALL THE LAW CASES ON STATUTORY PROVISIONS I CAN HAVE ~~AVAIL~~ AVABLE TO ME. ON MANDATED DOWN U.S. SUPREME JUDGES ORDERS TO GET RESENTENCED AND GET STACKED CHARGES AGAIN ON A NON-VIOLENT OFFENSE. THANK YOU YOUR HONORS

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

YOUR HONORS I PRO-SE JAY A RICHI TELLI,  
STATES please Review my DISTRICT COURT FIRST  
Filings ON THIS CASE 0:25-CV-60581-DMM  
AND SECONDARY Holding case 0:09-CR-60229-JIC-1  
Tells IT ALL YOUR HONORS.

YOUR HONORS ON NOV 21, 2024 ON A GOVERNMENT  
SITE THAT I HAVE AS EXHIBITS IN ABOVE CASES  
I FOUND THE U.S. SUPREME JUDGE MR. C. THOMAS  
WRITTEN ORDERS OF UNLIMITED TIME FOR APPEAL  
ALSO EXHIBIT IN ABOVE CASES AND THE 11<sup>TH</sup> CIRCUIT CASE  
Appeal No: 25-12184-B, IF IT WASNT FOR THAT  
GOVERNMENT SITE THAT AN EXHIBIT ON STACKED  
CONSPIRACY CHARGES I WOULDVE NEVER SEEN  
WHAT THE U.S. SUPREME JUDGE MR. C. THOMAS  
WRITTEN ACROSS HIS MANDATED ~~DOWN~~ ORDERS.

THANK YOU, YOUR HONORS, I KEPT IT SHORT TO THE  
POINT, ALL EXPRESSED AND STATED IN PRIOR  
Filings ON THIS SPEAKS FOR ITSELF WITH THE  
THE LAWS OF THE U.S. SUPREME JUDGES LAWS OF THE  
LAND OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, THANK YOU'S

A LETTER ALSO ATTACHED I WRITTEN AUG 25, 2025  
TO THE U.S. SUPREME JUDGE MR. C. THOMAS WHO  
RULED ON MY CASE # 17-8244. THANK YOU'S AGAIN

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
TO JUDGE MR. C. THOMAS.

RE JAY A. RICHIPELLI

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

CASE No. 17-8244

SUBJECT TO YOUR HONOR  
MR. C. THOMAS, SIR

RECEIVED

AUG 25 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

HELLO YOUR HONOR MR. C. THOMAS, SIR I AM WRITING THIS TO YOU IN REGARD  
TO MY CASE YOU PRESIDED ON CASE # 17-8244 AND ON THE ORDERS YOU, YOURSELF  
SIR WRITTEN ACROSS THE BOTTOM STATED UNLIMITED TIME FOR APPEAL ALL  
IN YOUR RECORDS TOO SIR. I HAVE IT AS AN EXHIBIT BESIDES AN EXHIBIT  
THAT CAME OUT ON A GOVERNMENT SITE ON NOV 21, 2024. ALL THIS CAN BE REVIEW  
BY YOU YOUR HONOR, SIR CASE # <sup>11 CIRCUIT</sup> 25-12184-B, DISTRICT 25-CV-60581-DMM  
SECONDARY CASE 09-CR-60229-JIC-1 YOUR HONOR SIR I HAVE JEFFREY B. WAL  
CAUSE HE STATED ON A PAPER TO THE 11<sup>TH</sup> CIRCUIT ON YOUR CASE # 17-10482  
FILED ON 10/21/2020. IF I NEEDED ASSISTANCE HIM, HIMSELF AND THE SENATOR  
JERROLD NADLER CHAIRMAN COMMITTEE OF JUDICIARY U.S HOUSE OF  
REPRESENTATIVES WASHINGTON, D.C. THEY BOTH WROTE ~~THE~~ LETTER  
TO THE 11<sup>TH</sup> CIRCUIT 2 YEARS LATER AFTER YOU RULED AND SENT THAT  
MANDATED DOWN ORDERS ON MY CASE, YOU SIR YOUR HONOR CAN SEE  
THIS NOW YOUR OWNSELF AND SEE WHAT'S GOING ON RIGHT NOW!  
WITH ALL WRITTEN EVADANCE AS EXHIBITS, AND WITH YOUR OWN  
WRITTEN ORDER OF UNLIMITED TIME FOR APPEAL PLUS THE GOVERNMENT  
POSTING ON NOV 21, 2024 AS EVADANCE, THAT MADE ME FIND YOUR, YOUR  
HONOR SIR WRITTEN ORDERS, WHEN REVIEWING BACK FILES  
SIR YOU HONOR I AM NOT INTELLIGENT AND EVEN ASKED FOR COUNSEL  
THEY DENIED IN THE BEGINNING. YOUR HONOR SIR. THIS IS WRONG  
AND AN ILLEGAL SENTENCE THAT DISTRICT JUDGE GAVE ME WHEN  
YOU MANDATED ~~IT~~ BACK TO HIM ON A NON VIOLENT OFFENSE NEED  
YOU SIR TO CLEAR THIS UP ALL STARTED FROM YOUR MANDATED ORDER  
THANK YOU YOUR HONOR NEED ASSISTANCE.  
MR. C. THOMAS ON YOUR CASE. S

SINCERELY  
Jay Anthony RichiPELLI

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

YOUR HONORS THE REASON IS I CANT UNDERSTAND  
HOW A DISTRICT JUDGE GETS A MANDATED DOWN  
ORDERS FROM THE U.S. SUPREME JUDGES WHO  
MAKES THE LAWS OF THE LAND OF THE WHOLE  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, AND THEN GIVES  
AGAIN STACKED CHARGES 240 MONTHS RUNNING  
WILD ON 120 MONTHS ON A NON-VIOLENT OFFENSE  
PLUS ON THE GOVERNMENT SIDE I POSTED AS EXHIBITS  
STATES ON CONSPIRACY CHARGES THEY CANT RUN  
CONSECUTIVE. PLEASE REVIEW ALL PAST FILINGS  
LEADING UP TO THIS. THANK YOU'S AND I BELIEVE  
I HAVE A CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO HAVE THIS  
GRANTED, AND APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL CAUSE I AM  
NOT A LAWYER, AND JUST READ AND LEARN TO GET  
KNOWLEDGE. THANK YOU'S ALL OF THE U.S. SUPREME  
JUDGES

SINCERELY  
Jay A. Richielli  
3-1-2026

**CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Jay A. Richitelli

Date: MARCH 1, 2026

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
56 FORSYTH STREET, N.W.  
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

OFFICIAL BUSINESS  
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

- LEGAL MAIL -  
Only Open in the Presence of the Inmate

FCI CUMBERLAND


JAN 22 2026

MAILROOMREC'D

909 cc

Jay Anthony Richitelli  
#91149-004  
FCI Cumberland - Inmate Legal Mail  
PO BOX 1000  
CUMBERLAND, MD 21501-1000

21501-100000




ZIP 30303 \$ 000.74<sup>0</sup>  
02 7W  
0008042953 JAN 16 2026

FIRST-CLASS

1000

26



THIS IS WHEN I  
RECEIVED NOTICE  
OF BEING DENIED

Sincerely  
Jay A. Richitelli  
MARCH 1<sup>ST</sup> 2026