

No. 25-7167

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ELI ANGELINO — PETITIONER

VS.

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ET AL. — RESPONDENT(S)

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF PETITIONER PURSUANT TO RULE 15.8

TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT:


Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 15.8, Petitioner Eli Angelino respectfully submits this supplemental brief to notify the Court of a final order issued by the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit on May 15, 2026, in Case No. 25-3262.

A copy of the **May 15, 2026, Order**—which denied Petitioner’s request for a writ of mandamus and dismissed all pending motions as moot—is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

This final action by the Court of Appeals confirms that all lower-court administrative and judicial remedies have been exhausted. Petitioner maintains that the Second Circuit’s failure to address the merits of the underlying motions—specifically regarding the clerical embargo of the Master Administrative Record and the outstanding \$100,000,000.00 default judgment—constitutes a failure to perform the ministerial duties required by law.

The complete evidentiary record, comprising the 1,106-page Master Administrative Record and the comprehensive repository of all 240 underlying state and federal court filings, remains available for this Court’s immediate review at the following forensic repository:

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1xFm3EJBoQjF9jhSyH4pkckuizJxvj1dI?usp=drive_link



Petitioner respectfully requests that this Court consider this intervening order and the provided complete record as it evaluates the pending Petition.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

May 23, 2026

Respectfully submitted,



Eli Angelino *Pro Se*
99 Wall Street, Suite 157
New York, NY 10005
Tel: (347) 839-8885

S.D.N.Y. – N.Y.C.
24-cv-7907
Swain, C.J.

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 15th day of May, two thousand twenty-six.

Present:

Amalya L. Kearse,
John M. Walker, Jr.,
Raymond J. Lohier, Jr.,
Circuit Judges.

In Re: Eli Angelino,

25-3262

Petitioner.

Petitioner, pro se, petitions for a writ of mandamus. Petitioner also moves for in forma pauperis ("IFP") status and other relief. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the IFP motion is GRANTED for the purpose of filing the mandamus petition. It is further ORDERED that the mandamus petition is DENIED because Petitioner has not demonstrated that he lacks an adequate, alternative means of obtaining relief, that his right to the writ is clear and indisputable, and that granting the writ is appropriate under the circumstances. *See Cheney v. U.S. Dist. Ct. for D.C.*, 542 U.S. 367, 380–81 (2004). It is further ORDERED that his remaining motions are DENIED as moot either because they relate to his petition for mandamus, which we deny, or because they relate to proceedings in the district court that have not resulted in a final appealable judgment.

FOR THE COURT:
Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe

