

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ORIGINAL

25-7166

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Kris Briscoe

Petitioner/Appellant

PO Box 481522

Kansas City, MO 64148

legalonline13a@proton.me

v.

Ryan Johnston,

Denver, Colorado

individually, and

Respondent/Appellee

Amazon.com Services, LLC

410 Terry Avenue North

Seattle, WA 98109



Respondent/Appellee

Amazon.com, Inc.

410 Terry Avenue North

Seattle, WA 98109

Respondent/Appellee



AND THE FOLLOWING INDIVIDUALS, DEFENDANTS:

Bethany Reyes,
Seattle, WA
individually, and
Respondent/Appellee

Theunis Kotze,
Texas, California
individually, and
Respondent/Appellee

Gavin Hepburn,
Seattle, WA
individually, and
Respondent/Appellee

Kevin Warf,
North Carolina
individually, and
Respondent/Appellee

Silvia Valdez,
Utah



individually, and
Respondent/Appellee

Jasmine Kammer,
Charlotte, North Carolina

individually, and
Respondent/Appellee

Genelle Rose,
Louisiana
individually, and
Respondent/Appellee

From
Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals (U.S. District Court, Denver, CO)

Kris Briscoe

Pro Se

legalonline13a@proton.me

Citizen of the USA

AFFIDAVIT OR DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN
FORMA PAUPERIS




I, Anna Briscoe, am the petitioner in the above-entitled case. In support of my motion to proceed in forma pauperis, I state that because of my temporary economic status, I am unable to pay the costs of this case or to give security therefore; and I believe I am entitled to redress.

1. For both you and your spouse to estimate the average amount of money received from each of the following sources during the past 12 months. Adjust any amount that was received weekly, biweekly, quarterly, semiannually, or annually to show the monthly rate. Use gross amounts, that is, amounts before any deductions for taxes or otherwise.
2. I am unemployed because of the respondents' actions. I'm not able to cover my living expenses and present a deficit. Therefore, I am requesting to file in forma pauperis.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on:

12.6.25



(Signature)



QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Issues of importance beyond the particular facts and parties involved: **“Get Niggas” Civil Conspiracy (2023 to 2025).**

1. Did the 10th Circuit of Appeals Court, who granted the IFP for the Appeal and recognized that the Plaintiff’s IFP was also approved by another court, error in ordering the Plaintiff/Appellant to make payments towards the Appeals Filing Fee?

2. The Plaintiff filed a valid complaint against the parties involved in her alleged claims, completed the required paperwork, and made it clear that she was without income and the filing fee was unaffordable. Did the U.S. District Court in Denver, Colorado error in by not granting the IFP?

3. Did the District Court error in dismissing the case?

4. Will you order the 10th Circuit of Appeals Court to remove the requirement to make payments towards an unaffordable filing fee?

5. Will you order the U.S. District Court in Denver, Colorado to grant the IFP?

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties do not appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:



RELATED CASES

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, case #25-1030

U.S. District Court (Denver, Colorado), case #1:24-CV-03163-LTB)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW

JURISDICTION

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT

CONCLUSION

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A U.S. Court of Appeals Tenth Circuit - Order and Judgment

APPENDIX B U.S. Court of Appeals Tenth Circuit – Order (Supplement the record)

APPENDIX C *U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado - Order of Dismissal*

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED



CASES

Brief Below

AMOS MAST, ET AL. v. FILLMORE COUNTY, MINNESOTA, ET AL., 20-7028 U.S. (2021)

The motion of petitioners for leave to proceed in forma pauperis and the petition for a writ of certiorari are granted. The judgment is vacated, and the case is remanded to the Court of Appeals of Minnesota for further consideration in light of *Fulton v. Philadelphia*, 593 U. S. (2021).

FULTON V. PHILADELPHIA, 593 U. S. (2021)

Opinion used to grant IFP in above case (Mast v. Fillmore).

LLOYD RAPELJE v. TYRIK MCCLELLAN, 12-1480 U.S. (2013)

The motion for IFP was granted.

MIKE STANTON, PETITIONER v. DRENDOLYN SIMS, 12-1217 U.S. (2013)

The petition for certiorari and respondent's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis are granted, the judgment of the Ninth Circuit is reversed, and the case is remanded for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

STEFFLER V. UNITED STATES, 319 U.S. 38 (1943)

An economic challenged person entitled to prosecute an appeal from the District Court to the Circuit Court of Appeals is authorized by the *in forma pauperis* statute to apply to the District Court for leave to appeal *in forma pauperis*, and it is the duty of the District Court to entertain the application.



STATUTES AND RULES

28 U.S. Code § 1915 - Proceedings in forma pauperis

OTHER

FRAP

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure



IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix ___A___ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,

has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

is unpublished.

For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,

has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

is unpublished.



JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 10/8/2025.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application NO. _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. & 1254 (1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____ . A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.



[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application NO. _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. & 1257 (a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1. 28 U.S. Code § 1915 - Proceedings in forma pauperis

a. 1. Subject to subsection (b), any court of the United States may authorize the commencement, prosecution or defense of any suit, action or proceeding, civil or criminal, or appeal therein, without prepayment of fees or security therefor, by a person who submits an affidavit that includes a statement of all assets such person possesses that the person is unable to pay such fees or give security therefor. Such affidavit shall state the nature of the action, defense or appeal, and affiant's belief that the person is entitled to redress.

The Appellant stated that the fee was unaffordable due to unemployment. There are plenty of cases on the Supreme Court docket where Appellants just listed their income status; and was granted the IFP, without the mention of assets or other details.

The Defendants didn't object to the IFP; therefore, the court should have granted it to the Appellant. In addition, the Appellant was granted an IFP by an Appeals court prior to this case.

The IFP also should have been granted since the claim is valid (isn't frivolous or malicious); states a claim on which relief may be granted; and the defendants aren't immune from such relief.

2. 28 U.S. Code § 1915 (4)



In no event shall a person be prohibited from bringing a civil action or appealing to a civil judgment because the person has no assets and no means by which to pay the initial partial filing fee.

Did the lower court and appeals court error in not granting the IFP, and it is believed that they aren't able to use as a reason that the party has no asset information; since the above law states that a party shall not be prohibited from bringing a civil action or appealing a civil judgment for the reason that the person has no assets and no means to pay the initial partial filing fee.

The Appellant was clear in stating that the fee was unaffordable. The merits of the case should have been heard, instead of dismissing the case.

3. No relevant civil law was found where a court may order a party approved for an IFP to make payments towards the IFP. The Appeal IFP was granted, and therefore, the Appellant is requesting not to have to make any payments, as it is unaffordable. At one time, the Appellant wanted to wait until she could afford the filing fees, because she likes to be independent and pay her way through life, however, the damages caused by the Defendant's actions continues to make it unaffordable to afford court costs.

The Appellant was granted the Appeal IFP, and she did comply with their rule:

FRAP (5) Motion in the Court of Appeals. A party may file a motion to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis in the court of appeals within 30 days after service of the notice prescribed in Rule 24(a)(4). The motion must include a copy of the affidavit filed in the district court and the district court's statement of reasons for its action. If no affidavit was filed in the district court, the party must include the affidavit prescribed by Rule 24(a)(1).



STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Imagine that your dream or goal to become a judge was interrupted by something outside of your control.

Imagine that each and every core value that you maintain was challenged based upon something out of your control.

Imagine that you were all alone, after experiencing the unexpected death of your family, and your only remaining relative chooses greed and deceit over staying in your life.

Imagine that all these incredibly important factors to anyone's life are all challenged at the same time.

If you can imagine this happening to a person who lives by faith and whose only goal is to lift others up and who is trying her best to be the best version according to scripture; and if you have a beating heart; it is with prayer, that you are asked to consider granting the IFP in Denver Colorado, and removing the requirements to make payments to the Tenth Circuit of Appeals.

The worst of it, the appellant did the right thing by reporting a violation of law to those who are serve and protect, yet the hate that existed in this small town turned against her for doing the right thing. The **hate crime** was being committed by a popular white female in her twenties in a small town. In addition, to her popularity, she also works for the number one company in the world, ranked by Fortune. The small town is the headquarters for this company, and the reporting office for the bad actor.

What was out of the Appellant's control, was the reaction to her, as the only black female living in a specific community and reporting a white female. She was harassed endlessly, but that wasn't enough, somehow her employer, **Amazon also showed their hate towards blacks as a result of this incident.** Even though she was performing, she was set up to separate from her employment.

This turned into a major conspiracy that is still harming her life.



Amazon and individuals employed by Amazon were the first employer to participate in this conspiracy, and now the Appellant wants to fight for her civil rights.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The social movement ignited even more hate than existed before the movement. Amazon participated in exuding hate towards the Appellant by the actions they committed to harm her not only her employment with them, but her future employment.

The appellant is a hard worker. She has always performed in every position in which she has held. She has a high work ethic and outstanding integrity. The treatment by Amazon was un-American. It was unacceptable. It lacked ethics and integrity. It was wrong.

The Appellant would like to get justice for all the losses that she has incurred, because of these egregious and relentless acts. Amazon violated the law, please don't allow them to get away with their wrongful actions. Let a jury hear the case, by allowing this to be an affordable pursuit – kindly grant the IFP in the District Court, and please remove the request for payments by the Appeals Court.

If Amazon isn't penalized for their wrongful deeds, they will continue to treat other employees in this manner.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to be "J. M. M.", written in black ink.

Date: 12.6.25

PROOF OF SERVICE ENCLOSED

