

No. 25-715

ORIGIN
FILED

OCT 20 2025
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Steven Anthony Perez — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Attorney General — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

In The Supreme Court of California
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Steven Anthony Perez
(Your Name)

P.O. BOX 1050
(Address)

soledad, california. 93960-1050
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

To Proceed with a request of appointment of counsel / Disability Person. Concerning assistance of counsel, will there be a difference with the process of representation of counsel? and would representation of counsel be possible in this instance for applying for an attorney?

LIST OF PARTIES

- All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows: *mark L. siegel of merced county public defender's/contracted*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW..... 1

JURISDICTION..... 2

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED 3

STATEMENT OF THE CASE 4

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT 5

CONCLUSION..... 6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A *In the Supreme court of California / en Banc*

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
People v. Marsden 2 Cal. 3d 118 465 P. 2d. 44	(1)
Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668, 80 L.E.d. 2d. 674/104 S.Ct. 2052 (1984)	
People v. Bain, 5 Cal. 3d, 839 847-848 (1971)	
People v. Stansbury 4 Cal 4th 1017, 1058-1079 (1993)	
People v. Turner. 8 Cal. 4th 137, 191 (1994)	
In re Horton 54 Cal. 3d 82	
People v. Lima, 80 Cal. App. 5th 468	
People v. Alvarez (1996) 14 Cal. 4th 155, 213 [858 Cal. Rptr. 2d 385, 926 P.2d 365]	
Morrissey v. Brewer, 408 U.S. 471, 481, 98 S.Ct. 2593, 33 L.Ed. 2d 484 (1972)	
People v. Mattson, 51 Cal. 2d 777	
United States v. Johnson, 2025 U.S. Dist.	

STATUTES AND RULES

Cal. Penal Code : 654
 Cal. Penal code : 1033(a)
 Cal. Penal code : 101b
 Cal. Penal code : 1382(c)
 Cal. Penal code : 995
~~Cal. Penal code : 1044~~
 28 U.S.C. § 2254(e)(1)
 Cal. Penal code : 1044
 California Criminal Procedure § 23:21 / § 23:45
 California Business and Professions code : 6068 and 6103
 Rule of Professional conduct E ~~1003~~ Rules 2-11(A)(2) and 6-101(A)(2); 2
 Cal. Penal code § 68b

OTHER

Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District - NO. F087898
 Trial Transcript merced county superior court - NO. 22CR06343
opinion document - contains a false word in describing the event of incident (P.2)

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 5-15-25.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

1 **CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

- 2 Senate Bill 97 - Provides: Righting wrongful convictions (camara-verse - the witness statement)
- 3 United state constitution VI - provides: to have assistance of counsel for defense
- 4 California article I § section 15. - provides: to have the assistance of counsel for the
- 5 defendants defense / or be deprived of life, liberty or property without due process
- 6 of law.
- 7 United states constitution V - Provides: no person may be deprived of.. without
- 8 due process of law.
- 9 United states constitution XIV - Provides: Due process clause
- 10 Calif: sec. 30(c) in order to provide for fair and speedy trial.
- 11 Calif: sec. 31(a) the state shall not discriminate against.
- 12 ~~California~~ Title of the Americans with disabilities act: section 504
- 13 Supplemental security income U.S.C.S - title 42 - ch-7 - social security (titles I - XXI)
- 14 42 U.S.C.S. § 1983 / 42 U.S.C.S. § 1981
- 15 29 U.S.C.S. § 794 - 504 - Rehabilitation act of 1973

1 STATEMENT OF THE CASE

2 During the course of the trial, that was appealed, the case factors concerning the
3 counsel on record, this was not addressed and is being presented at this point,
4 to cite his conduct and behavior during two proceedings involving this case against
5 me, that resulted to ineffective assistance of counsel.

6 The attorney on record for this case, Mark L. Siegel, was a appointed, contract Public
7 ~~defender~~, defender, upon the initial contact with this attorney, it became apparent that he
8 wanted to dominate the case with his strategies, not allowing for me to interject any
9 ideas of my own, my request, twice to consider a change of venue, was not permitted
10 even though grounds to do so was apparent, the two media stations covered the story
11 in English and Spanish, the county of Merced ~~is~~ population is small, friends also
12 were not allowed to speak to him about matters that concern me, health or otherwise.

13 This lawyer was determined to stress that I plea not guilty by the reason of insanity,
14 even as I point out that I have head injury problems, this was not being allowed
15 to be considered, the course with his ~~strategy~~ strategy was all that he would permit,
16 the effect of this was that he was not examining the witness in the case to prove
17 their credibility, there was a target store video that could be used, to display the
18 story that was recorded, since none of the witness could agree to the same story.

19 The plea of guilty by reason of insanity was withdrawn before the first trial, it
20 was allowed to satisfy this attorney about my mental competency, it appeared
21 that he was satisfied, although the case proceeded into the first trial, the trial
22 was beginning with two provisions for the same charge, (see P.C.) as the prosecuting
23 attorney presented her opening statement, she immediately begins by referring
24 to me as a violent con man, the attorney on record Mark Siegel, does not object to
25 this comment and the district attorney on record Kayla Boyer, is allowed to speak
26 in this manner in front of the jury (see cal. cri. pro.).
27 While the court room is in recess in court room dept. 6, the witness had completed
28 her testimony in front of the Judge Steven K. Slocum, who in turn states to the assistant

1 district attorney, Andre margin, about the amount of beverage
2 of wine that that witness just stated that she seen me consume,
3 the district attorney states, two^{cups} as the witness said, the judge then
4 says, no two bottles full and they both begin laughing, the attor-
5 ney on record, witness this too, when asked to have him pre-
6 pare an affidavit about^{what} he saw, he has ignored this request.

7 During the witness from the target retail store's testimony on the wit-
8 ness stand, Robin openshaw, states that she provided all the footage
9 to the local police department, the defense attorney never asked
10 to see if there was anymore video shot's in the parking lot of
11 this store, to support the witness/victim's claim that I had done
12 in front of their vehicle.

13 When the closing argument is started, by Andre margin, the assistant
14 district attorney to the case, he also starts off by telling the jury
15 that I am nothing but a one eyed, brain damaged, back problem, con-
16 man, the attorney on record mark siegel, does not object to this
17 form of speaking in court.

18 When the trial completed on 12-1-2023, with a not guilty on one count
19 an a hung jury on the other count, I request from this attorney to pro-
20 vide me with a writ of habeas corpus, to pursue the matter of the
21 ethical conduct and the charge not being won, he states for me
22 to do so my-self.

23 With the second trial beginning, the attorney on record mark
24 siegel, explains to me that he will also be in another trial; this
25 attorney could of been available fully to proceed with my case
26 if they would reach an agreement in the other one, the county
27 sheriff deputy, for the court, announce to me that their system
28 were currently full / congested, deputy lee, said this to me

1 as an attachment to the situation concerning the judicial
2 system in the merced county court-house.
3 while in court-room 1 in their department, the pre-siding justice
4 carol Ash, announces the case that I was waiting trial at to
5 proceed, the representing attorney acknowledges that this was the
6 last day with the speedy trial, this objection was in the form of
7 pointing out his ~~obligation~~ obligation's pending in the other trial
8 that he was involved in, our last visit in the county jail on
9 01-22-2024, he states that I might need to ask to receive an-
10 other attorney to replace him, I thought that he was gonna
11 file a motion of dismissal or asked to be replaced—(see
12 P.C. to this type of situation) a waiver is granted to the
13 district attorney Kayla Boyer, and as the case proceed to trial,
14 in dept. 16 on 02-13-2024, the trial is set to begin in front of
15 the same presiding judge Steven K. Slocum, with the attorney on
16 record, Mark Siegel, announcing that he would not totally be available
17 for the trial that is about to start, that he would request to
18 leave to go to the other trial that he was involved with, as the
19 trial begins, he leaves the court-room, more than five times
20 the first day of the trial, the district attorney, Kayla Boyer,
21 opening statement is like her first trial entrance, she claims
22 that I am a violent con man (see: cal. crim. pro.) she makes
23 these same statements in the closing argument, and the attorney
24 on record allows these comments of her personal opinion to be
25 used with-out objecting, in the defense attorney's closing argum-
26 ent, it was apparent that the attorney Mark Siegel, is not allow-
27 ing for my total defense, he goes to the video projector and
28 shows them a slide of a lesser charge for the jury to consider

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

deciding upon.

The sentencing portion of the trial, this attorney announces to the presiding judge. Steven K. Glocum, that he feels that I didn't have a defense, (see: the appellate brief by the appellate attorney on record, Deborah L. Hawkins)

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

This petition should be granted because of the practice that was evident, the petitioner claimed health conditions (see: social security disability act) that left me vulnerable to the legal system, the attorney on record mark siegel, used this to his advantage by not applying much effort into the case, completing legal obligations that are expected of attorney hired by the court; the details described by his contact with me and the court system showed that his law firm and him-self was for full filling obligations that was expected of them in the merced court system, in the merced county jail, there was enough inmate/prisoner's filing marsden hearing / motion against this attorney (see: cases included) as the case that he was involved in with ^{me} required his total attention, he states at the sentencing hearing, that I had no defense, (see: applettate's brief in the review that she prepared for the supreme court in the 9th circuit) this attorney on record did not believe in my statement to him or the facts that pertain to the defense, with the case once won and hunged jury, this leaving the room to my using ~~my~~ self-defense, the case was juggled as he states other commitment to other cases pressing, this obligation to each case was vital and the importance to each case may be at jeopardy due to this law firms high volume of case loads with the merced county court, the court system were congested, this attorney was elderly, and this did leave me with an attorney not ready to under-take the load he was given, while he was busy in another trial, his attention was faulty, in the second trial, he shows them the charges available for the jury to use to decide upon, a lesser charge then the pressing charge as a form to say atleast pick this charge, abandoning me to a chance verdict I appeal that these facties be taken into ~~and~~ consideration, with the additional narrative that is submitted in this petition to allow for a discharge of work as a ~~use~~ use to support this case completeness.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

The purpose of stating my position in **CONCLUSION** the court case was clear, and my trust was apparent, that the assigned ^{attorney} be able to full-fill his duty as representative, and this petitioner, ~~has~~ ^{has} for the court to consider that a deficient was done and is causing me these legal difficulties, that leave me convicted and sentenced with a mis-carriage of justice, to be given a mis-trial.

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and this declaration was done by a prisoner in custody in a state prison.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven A. Parson

Date: 10-20-2025