


25-7148

No. \_\_\_\_\_

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



In Re George Eugene Cross — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

George Eugene Cross  
(Your Name)

P.O. Box 409090  
(Address)

Font Ca. 95640  
(City, State, Zip Code)

# 116421  
(Phone Number)

## QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- 1.) When the Attorney General waives all issues of a Miscarriage of Justice is known & admitted, what does their ethics & duties mandate expedited remedy & relief owed to the benefical party?
- 2.) When a properly served writ upon respondent is before a Court before an order to show cause issues, Ethically to guide the Court towards Justice, Silence is fraudulient & works an additional injustice how can that become cured or prevented & adding another due process violation already doubled down on?
- 3.) Anyone in my situation, Shouldn't the attorney General moved from a gate keeper, into an advocate and alert the D.O.J integrity unit for immediate remedy? all agreed & provided by which comes for a wrongful conviction & settlement agreement which liability isn't in dispute, only punitive & Damages?

LIST OF PARTIES

- All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Calif Attorney General real party in interest  
for Orange Co. D.A case # 06NE490  
decided  
Cal Supreme case # S284141  
order 4.24.29  
Sac D.A case # 02PD6519  
decided by cases # 02004794 on 3-19-02  
# 0861226301 on 5-30-23

RELATED CASES

~~# S295961~~ Docket 25-6144 US Supreme

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

OPINIONS BELOW..... 1  
JURISDICTION.....  
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....  
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....  
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....  
CONCLUSION.....

**INDEX TO APPENDICES**

- APPENDIX A *Judicial Notice of Docket # 25-6144 waives*
- APPENDIX B *28 USC 1651(c); 28 USC 2241; 28 USC 2254(c)*
- APPENDIX C
- APPENDIX D
- APPENDIX E
- APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

*Please see  
attached Memorandum*

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of habeas corpus issue.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

For cases from **federal courts:**

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- reported at Supreme Court #25-6144; or, Exhibit #20(A)(4)  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- reported at 2022 WL 2182180; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts:**

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- reported at Supreme Court docket #25-6144; or, Sac Supreme Court #0861226301  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or, Exhibit #20(A); #20(A)(4)  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the office of admin hearing court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- reported at 2023060650; or, Exhibits #13(b)  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or, #20(A)  
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

[ ] For cases from federal courts: 2025 WL 958727 ED/ 25-6144

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_ 4-31-25

2025 WL 2835771 9th Cir (Cal) Order 9-16-25

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

Rule 20.1 Relief cannot be obtained in any other form  
or any other Court,  
Judicial Notice docket #25-6144

[ ] For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

Rule 17.2 Judicial Notice of waiver #25-6144  
sought here whig on Meets  
without delay. Rule 20.4(b)

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Factual innocence, Miscarriage of Justice  
Wrongful conviction, Brady violations  
Attorney General waiver 25-6144

5th & 6th amend

14th Amend

Cal const sect 28 (D) Truth in Evidence

STATEMENT OF THE CASE  
& RULE 20.4(A) STATEMENT

Calif attorney General waived any disputes due to my wrongful convictions which consist of double jeopardy, evidence suppression, plus fraud before the E.D. Court Calif by D.A.G Chapman

Back in 2002 Dept #8 Sacramento Superior Court D.D.A NEWES Moved to exonerate me & my bail. Eventually on 5-30-23 Judge Brown granted P.C 851.91 order assigned #08612263 yet, case #02F06519 cuts 1-3 continue to be without remedy & a void sentence the court refuse to correct.

Calif Supreme Court case #S284141 order 4-24-24 deemed case #06NF4190 an erroneous conviction of which CDCR refuse to acknowledge and presently I'm held without any valid case or statutory authority which my liberty is held without cause.

Finally, when this court issued OSC, the A.G Calif had not had an order to respond & about 4 or more writ of Mandates were denied without opinion through all my facts faced no dispute.

See Superior Court 26HC00062 order 3-17-26 with the A.G waiver, no counsel appointed P.C 745 & no discovery provided & denied through the waiver by D.A.G Riley attached 25-6144 so I'm without remedy & case #S295183 continues to pend also with the waiver before Cal Supreme to correct the illegal sentence which also ends this all with a remedy of relief.

CDCR acknowledge no case or offense enhancements & on 11-19-21 I maxed out on the wrongful conviction.

## Appendix B

Rule 20.4(a)

### Statement of Reasons for Not Making application to District Court

- 1.) East. Dist Court case # 2:09 CV 00488 LKK KJM contained fraud by Dep attorney Gen Catherine Chapman, denying double jeopardy while suppressing Sacramento case # 02004794 decided ON 3-19-02 Dept # 8 Sacramento arrest # 02-4049 See P. D of bond # AL257329833
- 2.) Next a 60(b)(3) case # 2:09 CV 00488 TLN · DMC order ~~3-31-25~~ ~~8-20-25~~ after Dep A. G. Krenzin gave notice as the attorney for respondent, No other filing was made, NO fact in dispute, NO opposition.
- 3.) Having caused in the Calif Supreme Court # Miscellaneous of Justice & Mandates # S291894, # S291265 again without any denials I'm saddled by an illegal sentence void from the record which # S295183 pending 3-19-26 and P.C 745 habeas # 26 NC 00062 Sacramento Superior Court with Dep A. G. Riley waiver # 25-6144 No hearing, NO oser and case # 26 WM 000050 made into a habeas though caused in # S291884, due to meet in my favor the RJA P.C 745 isn't adhere to.
- 4.) Having had an administrative case # OAH 2023060650 acknowledge SPD # 02-4049 as double jeopardy, NO remedy relieving my injury, the above Mandates denied though without opinion due to res Judicata in my favor, today 3-19-26 Assembly bill 1958 acknowledge refusal of bias court officials yet, awaiting remedy when Sacramento Superior case # 0861226301 order 5-30-23 Dept # 8 Judge Brown pursuant to P.C 851.41 ordered remedy without giving such.
- 5.) above case # 2:09 CV 00488 TLN · DMC there's a conflict of interest due to Judge Nunley was the Sre Superior Judge ON case # 02FC6519 at pchm which was pointed out & ignored.

App B continue

6.) Judge Nunley had discretion under 60(b)(3) to fashion remedy and was aware at bar was a miscarriage of Justice Judicial Notice of 59(a); 60(b) motion which the cover page didn't cite any subsection Number so 60(b)(6) was available to grant relief equitable estoppel versus allowing fraud to prevail over merit due to the one year statute of 60(c) versus Justice.

7.) Also that cover page pointed out beyond sentence so that case filed 10.5.24 lasted till 3.31.25 five months though I'm owed immediate relief & release.

8.) I'm faced with a constant denial of a corrective process or appointment of Counsel to cure this injustice, all attorneys on the phone enter my CDCR # V16421 and then avoid me in that manner other than how things appear in the files.

9.) East Dist Court case # 2:26 CV 00498 TLN - EPB pending, again Judge Nunley has biasness having been a prelin judge in Sac Superior and all from that Courts panel has actively worked to shield their D.D.A Balowon whose now Judge Balowon.

10.) Having been before all these Courts and having NO valid case of conviction, NO valid charge and NO dispute to being illegally confined, Precedence dictates vacating my sentence of which Jurisdiction is properly before this Court.

11.) 9th Cir denied COA # 2025 WL 2835571 order 9-16-25 prior to any obtain waiver # 25-6144

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

California Attorney General Real party in interest

Doesn't dispute my innocence, my illegal confinement, outrageous governmental misconduct, my facts # 25.6144 apprise this Court of Merit always being on my side while suppressed wrongfully & intentionally.

There's no valid statute to continue my imprisonment and this violates my due process rights, equal protection & amounts to cruel & unusual punishment.

The A.G. acknowledgement and waiver about my Miscarriage of Justice & wrongful conviction, a duty in-re Jenkins which the mandates before these lower courts without opposition puts before this Court, remedy is only available with this Court.

Presently held by a Miscarriage of Justice  
void sentences, No valid case or charge, waived  
by all Respondents # 25-6144

No other remedy available & Equal protection  
being violated plus my civil rights.

#### CONCLUSION

No opposition, I'm entitled to Relief

The petition for a writ of habeas corpus should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

George Eugene Cross

Date: 3-23-26