

25-7113

No. \_\_\_\_\_

ORIGINAL

FILED  
FEB 20 2026  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Garjot Singh Dhaliwal PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.  
Superior Court of California [REDACTED] RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

9th Circuit Court of Appeals  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Garjot Singh Dhaliwal  
(Your Name)

4430 Deer Field Way  
(Address)

Danville CA 94506  
(City, State, Zip Code)

925-963-0229  
(Phone Number)

925-406-5995

RECEIVED  
FEB 27 2026  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

## QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- 1) Can local, states and/or federal government to circumvent the constitution of the United States, due process and equal protection of the law, and the rules of habeas corpus of which protect a defendant, because of pandemic, mental health questions, and/or false accusations with no proof?
- 2) Can a local, state, and/or federal court to force a defendant to undergo a competency exam to stand trial without his/her consent just because a defendant is charged with a misdemeanor crime?
- 3) Can local, state, and/or federal courts and law enforcement deny filing a criminal referral when a defendant provides irrefutable evidence as proof that not only proves his innocence but also proves a violation of the defendant's and/or any other person's constitutional rights and/or violent crimes being committed against them?
- 4) Can a prosecutor question the competency of a pro per defendant to gain legal advantage violate the rules of habeas corpus by suspending proceedings and holding the courts custody over the defendant using mental health as an excuse?
- 5) Can a law enforcement agency in separate county jurisdiction cut off an ankle monitor without your consent to get you imprisoned for its removal in another county?
- 6) Can a law enforcement agency swab persons DNA for a misdemeanor charge against their will and without their consent?
- 7) Can a correctional facility participate in the torture of an inmate while in custody? Can a correctional facility put an inmate in 22.5 hour lockdown on a nonviolent misdemeanor charge and/or conviction? Can a correctional facility put an inmate in solitary confinement on nonviolent misdemeanor charge?
- 8) Can a judge put an ankle monitor on a defendant on a nonviolent misdemeanor charge?
- 9) Can a court force you to choose between two competing constitutional rights? Ie. right to counsel and right to a speedy trial?
- 10) Can a court deem you incompetent and drop a case in one jurisdiction and then transfer you in custody to another jurisdiction to face another misdemeanor charge?
- 11) Can a court and law enforcement forcibly enter your home and kidnap you to execute a warrant on a misdemeanor case?
- 12) Can a court issue a warrant on a misdemeanor case with an electronic signature by a court deputy?



- 13) Can a correctional facility continue to detain a defendant on a misdemeanor conviction once they have filed an appeal in their case?
- 14) Can a court impose bail on a misdemeanor conviction once the defendant has filed an appeal?
- 15) Can a court charge a misdemeanor defendant with excessive bail (Over \$1million) while waiting to stand trial on a misdemeanor?
- 16) Can a Judge exclude a pro per defendant from closing arguments of opposing prosecutor for any reason?
- 17) Can a judge file a failure to appear warrant on defendant who showed up to a misdemeanor court hearing and left after attending his court date because he questioned the nature of the case against him and was given no answer or information?
- 18) Can a correctional facility stop a pro per defendant's daily prescription medications and bring them to trial and proceed with trial of the defendant without their prescribed medications?
- 19) Can a court transfer someone to another jurisdiction and keep their personal belongings and proof of their innocence in the jurisdiction they were being transferred from for legal advantage to prevent the defendant's ability to defend themselves in another case?
- 20) While incarcerated on a misdemeanor charge, can a correctional facility refuse to give you your ballot when you registered to vote in an election.



**LIST OF PARTIES**

Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal Pro Se Litigant

4430 Deer Field Way

Danville, CA 94506

**Vs**

Superior Court of California [REDACTED]

39439 Paseo Padre Pkwy

Fremont, CA 94538

**RELATED CASES**

**Federal**

US DISTRICT COURT, Case 25-CV-05678-TLT; Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal vs. Superior Court of California [REDACTED]

Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, 25-5073; Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal vs. Superior Court of California [REDACTED]

**RELATED CASES**

**State**

Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal vs. Amrita Grewal, LA COUNTY FAMILY LAW # 20STFL08362 No Tentative Rulings, No ROA's

Sameer Malhotra vs Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, LA COUNTY CIVIL CASE#21STR000066 No Tentative Rulings, No ROA's

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, LA COUNTY CRIMINAL #1AR21623 Dismissed

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, LA COUNTY CRIMINAL #1AR22098 Dismissed

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, LA COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH #ZMO65933 Dismissed

Shaan Singh Dhaliwal vs Gurjot Singh Shaligwal, CONTRA COST COUNTY CIVIL CASE MSN21-1228 Dismissed

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY CRIMINAL #01-196686-0 Guilty and Later Overturned on Appeal after serving entire sentence

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY CRIMINAL #01-198300-0 Combined with Above

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY APPEAL AP22-00081 Won Appeal

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH (?) Dismissed

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, ALAMEDA COUNTY CRIMINAL #22-CR-004882 Dismissed

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, ALAMEDA COUNTY CRIMINAL # 23-CR-008246 Dismissed

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, ALAMEDA COUNTY CRIMINAL #25-CR-005289 Dismissed

Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal vs Superior Court of California Fremont DEPT 605, ALAMEDA COUNTY CIVIL #25-CV-128280, Dismissed without Injunction to stop constitutional rights violations

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, ALAMEDA COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH #25-MH-005289 Dismissed

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, ALAMEDA COUNTY CRIMINAL #25-CR-016169 Dismissed

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, SAN MATEO COUNTY CRIMINAL #24-SM-006601-A referred to Mental Health

Superior Court of California vs. Gurjot Singh Dhaliwal, SAN MATEO COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH, 24-SM-008931

68

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

OPINIONS BELOW..... 1  
JURISDICTION.....  
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....  
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....  
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....  
CONCLUSION.....

**INDEX TO APPENDICES**

APPENDIX A *us District Court*  
APPENDIX B *9th Circuit Court of Appeals*  
APPENDIX C  
APPENDIX D  
APPENDIX E  
APPENDIX F

*62*

69  
No Cases cited, Constitution rights  
violated  
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

69

M/CASES

PAGE NUMBER

US District Court Case 25-CV-05678-TLT  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals 25-5073

STATUTES AND RULES

United State Constitution  
1st, 2nd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 8th, 9th, 13th  
14th, B 15th amendments

OTHER

62

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,

has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,

has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

is unpublished.

69  For cases from **state courts**: N/A

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix C to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,

has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,

has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

is unpublished.

**JURISDICTION**

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 11/24/25 <sup>09</sup>

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**: N/A <sup>09</sup>

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

*GA.*

## **CONSTITUIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

Violation of the Constitution of the United States of America and the defendant's 1st, 2nd 4th, 5th, 6th, 8th, 9th, 13th, 14<sup>th</sup>, & 15th Amendment Constitutional Rights.

## **STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

The US District Court said that this was out of jurisdiction for them and should be handled at the state court level until all options are exhausted, which the plaintiff believes he did. The plaintiff says federal court is the right court because his constitutional rights are being violated at the state court level in several different jurisdictions of the state of California and using the same pattern of racketeering since 2020, and the Superior Court of California et al is the counter party in this lawsuit. The evidence is overwhelming and comes from the Superior Court of California's own records that proves with irrefutable evidence the constitutional rights violations and violent crimes against the plaintiff . The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals ruled this case as a frivolous lawsuit, which it is not because of ongoing Constitutional Rights violations and violent crimes against the plaintiff and his 2 kidnapped minor children who are still missing.

The checks and balances of the state court are not working because the violations are still occurring across the board from the clerk's office, the sheriff's department, the public defender's office, the district attorney, and the judges who all have been caught doing misconduct and are going for a win vs a just outcome. Unfortunately, this collusion goes well beyond just the Superior Court of California et al. to include many other dishonest actors who are all working in a coordinated effort to destroy the plaintiff's and his reputation personally, professional, financially, physically, mentally, and with intent to kill him and/or drive him crazy in an effort to prevent the recovery of his 2 kidnapped minor children. Sending the plaintiff back to the Superior Court of California would be equivalent of sending a rape victim back to the rapist or sending the slave back to the slave master which is unacceptable and in violation of the plaintiff's 14th Amendment Constitutional Rights as afforded to him by the Constitution of the United State of America. The plaintiff in the case has filed in Forma Pauperis, and at the state level has qualified for public representation, but the plaintiff was forced to file a Faretta waiver because the public defender was not representing him appropriately and trying to make him choose between multiple competing Constitutional Rights, which is a violation of his 9th Amendment Constitutional Rights. When the plaintiff starts to successfully defend himself the courts question his competency to force him to have a public defender of just to prolong their enslavement of him using the legal system as a weapon and to prevent the recovery of his 2 kidnapped minor children. The plaintiff relies on his elderly mother for additional support, which is also an expense burden she cannot afford. The plaintiff has been charged with the same false misdemeanor charges of violating

68

a restraining order that does not exist. The Plaintiff has been charged multiple times with false accusations across multiple different locations by the Superior Court of California using the same pattern of racketeering to assault the plaintiff by violating his constitutional rights to gain legal advantage and flat out defraud the court system in an effort to persecute him by prosecution and destroy his reputation. These attacks use the law as a weapon and are being done to continue the actions of the Superior Court of California et al's Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organization's malicious objectives. The Superior Court of California et al. does this in violation of the plaintiff's 1st, 4th, 5th, 6th, 8th, 9th 13th, & 14th Amendment Constitutional Rights. Violations show fraud and misconduct throughout the Superior Court of California et al and all this is verifiable using official public records, which detail planting of evidence, forging of documents, and other corrupts coordinated acts being used to gain legal advantage while using the law as a weapon to attack the plaintiff and his family, which is unacceptable. This is also why the plaintiff is not only asking for relief that stops the constitutional rights violations and violent crimes but also asking for criminal referral to federal law enforcement for prosecution of the entire criminal organization under the RICO Act and Patriot Act so that this can NEVER happen to any human being ever again. The complaint made in this lawsuit is just the TIP of the ICEBERG of violent crimes and Constitutional Rights violations that the plaintiff has been subjected to. Additionally, the depth of misconduct points to a systematic problem in the Superior Court of California et al. and its co-conspirators, which is an existential threat to America & Humanity and the integrity of our democracy and global humanity.

#### **REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION**

This case is about the Superior Court of California et al severely violating the plaintiff Constitutional rights, desecrating his humanity, and effectively enslaving him as a member of a criminal organization, per the standards set by the RICO ACT of 1970. These attacks on the plaintiff are of national significance, because if these constitutional rights violations, civil rights violations, and violent crimes can happen to the plaintiff they can happen to anyone. Unfortunately, these attacks continue today without anyone helping defend the Constitution of the United States and due process and equal protection of the law as the plaintiff's 2 kidnapped minor children are still missing, his health care provider continues to attack him using medicine as a weapon, legal attacks continue attacking him with the same pattern of racketeering in state court, and the death of his father who was murdered while under the care of one of the co-conspirators goes uninvestigated. These attacks are not only a desecration of the plaintiff and his families humanity, but also an attack on American and humanity and an existential threat to us all.



I filed in US District Court and appeal in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals because my Constitutional Rights are being violated by the Superior Court of California et al and the misconduct and public corruption have been going on for years across multiple locations with the same pattern of racketeering. After I filed I got a letter in the mail saying that my complaint was read and that there was a lack of subject matter jurisdiction and the US District Court tried to send me back to the state level, but the state court is a co-conspirator in the Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organization which has facilitated the ongoing desecration of the plaintiff constitutional rights and humanity, which includes preventing the recovery of the plaintiff's 2 kidnapped minor children. The Judge in the case Trina L. Thompson said it was a final order with prejudice, which is equivalent to saying that the Superior Court of California et al can violate my Constitutional Rights indefinitely and I will be permanently enslaved. I have written a WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS as part of my filings with the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, because of the constant fraudulent charges and legal attacks being held over me, and per California Court rules I'm considered to being in custody while legal case are being held against me, but why am I under constant threat when I have not done anything wrong. Accusation is not proof and there is no due process and equal protection of the law protecting me, which is a direct violation of the Constitution and America's greatest strength which is the integrity of our democracy and our inherent and inalienable Constitutional Rights. This is why I'm appealing this case because my legal complaint is correct and the harm being done is severe, the harm being done is of national significance(if it can happened to me it can happen to anybody), and the one of the co-conspirators in executing the violation is the Superior Court of California et al. which are the same people that I went to at the state level for help in defending my Constitutional Rights, which they not only neglecting to do so, but they are also facilitating further violations and violent crimes against the plaintiff.

The plaintiff wants to safely recover his 2 kidnapped minor children immediately. The plaintiff wants a permanent injunction to prevent the Superior Court of California et al from attacking him and/or his 2 minor kids ever again. This includes a Writ of Mandamus that prevents any of the co-conspirators of the Superior Court of California et al from attacking the plaintiff and preventing the plaintiff from having life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness as a human being and American. This includes the plaintiff receiving his personal health care and his daily prescription medications which are just one of the things that is being used to violently attack and enslave the plaintiff in an effort to destroy him and prevent the recovery of his 2 kidnapped minor children.

Unfortunately, the plaintiff has encountered the same coordinated misconduct and public corruption across local, state, and federal law enforcement agencies, courts, and government agencies. The plaintiff wants not only domestic protection in the United States

68

**REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION**

but also International global protection as some of the co-conspirators violating the plaintiff include foreign nationals who continue to threaten the plaintiff's life and the lives of his 2 kidnapped minor children. The plaintiff demands that this case is criminally referred to the FBI, DOJ, DEA, NMEC, US MARSHALS, INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT, AND ANY OTHER DOMESTIC AND/OR INTERNATIONAL AGENCIES that can help bring this entire criminal organization to justice so that this existential threat to humanity is eliminated and they can NEVER DO IT TO ANY HUMAN BEING EVER AGAIN. The plaintiff is also seeking civil penalties to take away the resources from his assailants so they can NEVER DO IT TO ANY HUMAN BEING EVER AGAIN. In a criminal referral the plaintiff 100% supports capital punishment which is the standard sentencing guideline for many of the constitutional rights violations and violent crimes being executed against the plaintiff. The plaintiff also wants legal precedence that prevents the use of electronic torture and gang stalking techniques against any human being and precedence for a Writ of Habeas Menis for all human beings so that nobody and/or no thing can ever access a person's mind and affect their brain. The plaintiff has also been destroyed financially and would require victims' services for him and his 2 kidnapped minor children once they are safely recovered. The plaintiff also wants to ensure with the criminal referral that other human beings who have been prosecuted by the Superior Court of California et al are protected, because if these constitutional rights violations and violent crime happened to the plaintiff by the Superior Court of California et al, that it could have easily happened to others and creates reasonable doubt in all the convictions by the Superior Court of California et al.

## **CONCLUSION**

The plaintiff is a human being, an American, a father, and a person who had a clean record prior to the Constitutional Rights violations and violent crimes against him. These attacks on him have been going on for many years by a large criminal organization that has enslaved him and kidnapped his 2 minor children violating the plaintiff and causing severe irreparable harm. The constitutional rights violations and pattern of racketeering combined with public corruption are an existential threat to the integrity of our democracy. The techniques the assailants are using include electronic torture and gang stalking, which leverage technology in coordination with public corruption in an effort to avoid detection, increase the severity of the attacks, and they prevent the checks and balances as part of our democracy from protecting the plaintiff and his family, which is why it is an existential threat to our democracy, because it can happen to any of us and can only be done faster with less people in the future. Throughout this process the plaintiff has defended all of our constitutional rights at a great personal cost to himself and his family and no human being should ever have to go through type of desecration of their humanity , violent attacks, and malicious attacks their human insecurities. The plaintiff requests and must receive complete lifetime

68


immunity from any further prosecution of any kind for him and his 2 kidnapped minor children, because of the nature of the violent attack the malicious actions of the actors which include the local, state, and federal governments who are largely responsible for his enslavement and the desecration of his humanity which is still happening today. The plaintiff is a human being and American and wants the court to help him in defending the Constitution of the United State and the Universal Declaration of Human rights(International Criminal Referrals) and make a statement that "HUMANITY, AMERICA, AND NO HUMAN BEING ARE EVER FOR SALE BY ANYONE AND/OR ANYTHING FOR ANY REASON EVER" and to ensure that "HUMANITY ALWAYS COMES FIRST ALWAYS AND FOREVER".

68

**CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "E. J. [unclear]", written over a horizontal line.

Date:

2/20/26