

No. 25-7095

FILED
JAN 16 2026
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT U.S.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ORIGINAL

In Re Leonard Najacque — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

LEONARD W. NAJACQUE
(Your Name)

Deerfield Correctinal Ctr
(Address)

Capron VA 23829
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

Q

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

After Suffolk trial 30 direct indictment not guilty on 8/19/22, 19 of 30 was pending for retrial with all parties, on Hampton for the following scheduled:
Sept 24, 22, - Nov. 6, 22, - Jan. 4, 23, - Jul. 10, 23, -
March 25, - 24, - Jul. 17, 24, - all done in discretion and still pending until. When these 19 charges will be trial?

Request for a discovery copy still pending?
From the same trial ct. 30 direct indict. on 8/19/22, on 4/12/23 the court system Att., Police Dept. Found the defendant is guilty of 5 child CR. and sentence for 7 yrs 1/2, done in discretion on 8/20/24, the ct. of appeals and Commonwealth Found the defendant is guilty of all 30 direct indictments. Resentence for 15 more years done in discretion.

What is the defendant statutes in this case?

*What that mean, on 4/23/21 Hampton Fax ^{CR. ch.} to ~~CR. ch.~~ Suffolk,? Arraignment on my absence Or discretion when discretion sentence will be?

Request for Hamp. discovery and preliminary hearing transcripts still pending, How to can I've a copy??

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Att. JAY JONES et al.

RELATED CASES

Record No. 0845-23-1, Cir. Ct. Nos. CR-1624 thr. 1628 SUF Cir.

Case No. 19-0915-030 Pending for retrial Hamp. Cir.
8-sodomy, 8-sexual bat. 8-Rape = 24

Case 3:25-CV-00812 Filed 10/20/25
Case 3:25-CV-00728 - RCY - MRC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW..... 1
JURISDICTION..... 2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED 3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE 4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT 5
CONCLUSION..... 6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Ct. of Appeals, va
APPENDIX B Cir. Ct. Trial Jury, Judge discr. sentence suf.
APPENDIX C Cir. Ct same offense is pending, suf., Hamp.
APPENDIX D 4th Cir Ct. vs Ct. of Appeals
APPENDIX E VA Supreme Ct.
APPENDIX F VA District Ct.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

| | |
|--|---|
| Hannon v. Commonwealth, 68 Va App. 87 (2017) | 6 |
| Garland v. Com., 225 Va. 182, 184 (1983). | 5 |
| Carter v. Com. 223 Va. 528, 532 (1982). | 5 |
| Lovisi v. Com. 212 Va. 848, 850 (1972). | 5 |

STATUTES AND RULES

Criminal statutes without jurisdiction

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of habeas corpus issue.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix F to the petition and is

- reported at 2025 US Dist Lexis 54349; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix E to the petition and is

- reported at 2024 Va App Lexis 478; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 10/03/25.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix E.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: 5/7/2025, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix E.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

14th Amend.: My due process Right is violated, 19 OF, 30 criminal direct indict. still pending, and scheduled without end, over 5 years until today.

8th Amend. Cruel and unusual punishments inflicted. Put me under menaced, Isolated, no telephone com. With Family and Friend, and.

6th Amend.: Confrontation clause is violated, my right to testify, my speedy and public trial.

5th Amend. to the const. Forbids double jeopardy for the same offense, this applies whether the first trial ends in acquittal, conviction, or a mistrial.

4th Amend.: My properties has seized and sold for criminal forfeited without probable cause, in violation Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure Rule 32(b)(2)

28 USC 2254 Provision. A prisoner in custody under sentence of Act established by Act of Congress claiming the right to be released upon the ground that sentence was imposed in violation of the const. This is an offense under Clause or 371 of title 18, against VA public officials.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE
& RULE 20.4(A) STATEMENT

on Hampton circuit ct. 5/3/21 Preliminary hearing and scheduled 6/21/22 For trial. Because I was retained Hamp. public defender. my Private Att. incoherent with Hampton, Suffolk Public officials, conspired my case. on 8/19/22 Suffolk trial 30 direct indict. by the public jury, Found not guilty.

19 of 30 is pending in Hampt. Cir. Ct. for retrial and scheduled without end, and still pending, "unlawfully detained." on 4/12/23, 8 months after same trial. The Public officials, Found the defendant is guilty of 5 child CR. Discretionary sentence $7\frac{1}{2}$ years. ON 8/20/24.

The ct. of appeals and public officials ct. system undo what Jury did all 30 direct indict. sentence 15 more yrs total. $22\frac{1}{2}$ yrs, plus direct arraignment discretion, on 4/23/21. For same 30 direct indict. see attach. ^{APP. B} ~~EPS-1-A~~

----- This Pro se petition 2254 has dismissed 3 times for the following reasons: First time in trouble, I'm Haitian, my English is limited. This why VA lower and higher Ct. is depriving me, Richmond, Hampton, Suffolk, are defendants until, the U.S. Supreme Ct. of Clerk applies the Supremacy Clause. VA is depriving me of justice.

Hampton, Suffolk exculpatory criminal evidence not reported in Suffolk trial not guilty, its still pending for Hampton trial. A.N) Victim's mental very challenged by specialist psychologist Children Hospital, on 8/26/19; on 9/15/19 Det. Hamp. Smith, used CHKD to unchallenge her mental for credit trial. The victim was kidnapped by her ex-step mother Vastie who made fake birth certificate. 10/30/2017. The victim was raped by her biological father Lissanor L. Lives in Orlando FL. That raped infection was treated by general doct. Sentara Hamp. May 2010. She was prostitute by her Aunt Jasna and put her on birth control. Jasna is a conspiracy pimp, and illegal in U.S.

Remorthe is my brother, he intoxicated and sexually abused my daughter Kaileine when she was 14-16, she told, when she 23 police Dept. Hamp, suff. made him paid for not go to jail and told me is too late for punishment.

Lissanor L. killed his wife who has 7 children, on 5/20/07, on 5/20/10 Raped A.N., will be kill his wife MD) who brought him to U.S., to get marry the victim's mother to bring her to U.S and more crime by pay for not go to jail. Hampton, Suffolk defenses Attorneys told me; these crimes are Fed., state have nothing to do with. Remorthe my brother told me; Hamp, suff., public officials told them to leave the country because Tanner Russo Assist. Att. general no longer in power.

ON Hamp. preliminary hearing without testify, on 5/3/21.
 ON Suf. trial not guilty without testify. on 8/19/22.
 ON Suffolk sentence, 11/7/22 and 4/12/23 not present.
 Because, I was a wrong defendant or innocent indiscret.

ON Easter 2013 I've done vas-
 ectomy in secret on 2019, 2020 the victim AN ON birth con-
 trol while she worked house keeping for Nick Fake vet-
 eran. this why Trevor Anderson private party told me, the
 Att. general Tanner Russo, engaged all criminal case Haitians,
 private and public officials together. I paid \$5K.
 to Hamp \$5K. to Suf. I've public defender job offer.
 For better represent you.

I've been under menaced and cons-
 pired by Hampton, Suffolk, Richmond; based on my
 Criminal statutes I've been isolated no communic-
 ation telephone with friends and family.

After denied by va sup. ct. I don't know the next step
 to go for my discovery,

In accordance with Rule 611 OF Fed.
 Rules OF evidence, Cross-examination should only refer
 to matters that were covered during direct examination
 or that are relevant to the witnesses credibility.

I pray for my criminal Hampton
 discovery and preliminary hearing transcripts, and
 new trial with all parties

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

This petition 2254 should be granted to resolve unequal and inconsistent application of the US Const., laws.

UPON REVIEW the facts and exculpatory evidence and an inappropriate criminal procedure by Public Officials, Hampton, Richmond, Suffolk VA, are per curiam opinion, on 30 direct indictments.

Because these offenses without evidence and not reported by the victim or witness or not exist. Under 28 U.S.C. 2254, A prisoner in custody under sentence of Ct. established by act of Congress claiming the right to be released upon the ground that sentence was made in violation of the constitution or Law of the United State or the Ct. without jurisdiction to impose such sentence, or is otherwise subject to collateral attack.

Accordingly, the supreme Ct. shall grant a new trial with all parties, 2 victims, 6 defendants. see Garland v. Com. 225 Va 182, 184 (1983) quoting Carter v. Com. 223 Va 528, 532 (1982). Lovisi v. Com. 212 Va. 848, 850 (1972).

This Petition under Rule 20-4(A) should be granted has a matter of the law, which presents a pure question of Law" to be considered "De Novo on appeal" See Hannon v. Commonwealth 68 Va App 87 2017 (Citation omitted) Finding the undisputed evidence is insufficient to support defendant's conviction for Felony. This 2254 should be granted for further proceedings consistent, as soon as possible

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, This Petitioner is under menaced, and well taken and be ^{completed, exhausted} granted.

The petition for a writ of habeas corpus should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Leonard Naljacque

Date: Jan. 08, 2026