

No. 25-7065

ORIGINAL

IN SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Pro Se Victoria Holmes

Petitioner,

vs.

Newark Beth Israel Medical

The Cardio Vascular Care Grp

Dr. Leon S. Dick, Dr. Bruce J. Brenner

Anesthiaologist Chi-Shin Chiu

Respondents,

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to

Supreme Court of New Jersey

**PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

Victoria Holmes Pro Se

1296 Broad St. #1144

Bloomfield, N.J. 07003

(973)281-6611

Email: corrupt.appellant.judges@gmail.com

FILED

JAN 14 2026

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

## **I Questions Presented**

Petitioner Civil Rights Violated Under Severe Breach of Procedural Due Process as it Corrupts "the impartial tribunal" required by the 5<sup>th</sup> & 14<sup>th</sup> Amendments "due process".:

1. Was Petitioner failed 5<sup>th</sup> & 14<sup>th</sup> Amendments because New Jersey Supreme Court denied Motion of Reconsideration deprived from an Dismissal for "APPEAL AS OF RIGHT", when Superior Appellant Judges violated Petitioner Rights by Obstruction of: NJSA 2C:29 C-29-1(7)-Obstruction of Justice, NJSA 2C:5-2(1)(2-b)- Conspiracy, NJSA 2C:21-4(a)- Falsifying Records NJSA 2C:21-3(a)- Fraud Relating to Public Record, NJSA 2C:28-7(1-3)-Tampering with Public Records  
18 United States Code 2071- Concealment of Record, 18 United States Code 287- Fraudulent Concealment?

## **I Continuance of Questions Presented**

- 2.** Was Petitioner failed 5<sup>th</sup> & 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment for "APPEAL as of RIGHT" Rule 2:2-1(a-1) at which substantial questions arose under Constitutional and Statutory Provisions?
- 3.** Does "due process" support the actions of the Courts Intentionally Concealing/Omitting Direct Evidence for Obstruction/Conspiracy, which caused an Unjustified Determination and the deprivation of 5<sup>th</sup> & 14<sup>th</sup> Amendments?
- 4.** Did the Supreme Court of New Jersey Apply their Own "Action Plan for Ensuring Equal Justice: Update on 2020 Action Items & 2021 Initiatives, for this "Appeal as of Right filed In November of 2025?

## **II Parties to Proceedings**

Petitioner Victoria Holmes was a Pro Se Plaintiff in the New Jersey Superior Court Law Division, Pro Se Appellant in the New Jersey Superior Appellant Division, Pro Se Appellant in New Jersey Supreme Court. Petitioner argued all court cases.

Appellant Jon Pennix Jr. was a Pro Se Plaintiff in the New Jersey Superior Court Law Division, Pro Se Appellant in the New Jersey Superior Appellant Division, Pro Se Appellant in the New Jersey Supreme Court. Appellant Jon Pennix Jr. **“ did not argue any cases, and is not on the cover page as a Petitioner”**.

Respondents Newark Beth Israel Medical Center The Cardio Vascular Care Group, Dr. Leon S. Dick, Dr. Bruce J. Brener, and Dr. Chi-Shin-Chiu, were The Defendants in the New Jersey Superior

## **II Continuance of Parties to Proceedings**

Court Law Division, the Respondents in the New Jersey Superior Appellant Division and the Respondents in New Jersey Supreme Court.

### **Counsels to Proceedings**

1. NBIMC / Ronan, Tuzzio, Giannone

Robert G. Maglio & Lauren H. Zalepka

2. Dr. Brenner / Cardiovascular Grp/

Farkas & Donohue LLc

Robert G. Veech III

3. Anesthiologist Chi-Shin-Chiu

Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski

Cyndee L. Allert & Ryan A. Notarangelo

4. Dr. Leon S. Dick / Garson & Jakup LLc

Janet J. Glore & Chaitali Chanda &

Anthony M. Tracey

**III RELATED CASES**

**NONE**

## IV Table of Contents

I Question Presented.....	i
II. Parties to the Procedure.....	ii
III. Related Case.....	iii
IV Table of Contents.....	iv
V Table of Authorities/Case Laws.....	v
VI Petition of Writ for Certiorari.....	vi
VII Opinions Below.....	1
VIII Jurisdiction.....	1
IX Constitutional Provisions Invoked.....	2-3
X Statement of Case.....	4-18
XI REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	19-20
A-To avoid the future that no Judge/Courts uphold such egregious and dishonest actions while residing on the bench.	
XII Conclusion.....	21-22
IV Appendixes A-I.....	iv...23-82

#### **IV Index to Appendices**

- A.** Supreme Court of N.J Denial Orders.page 24-25
- B.** N.J. Superior Appellant Court Per Curiam  
11/15/2024 (Falsified).....pages 26-41
- C.** New Jersey Law Division Essex County  
Trial Court Dismissal Order..... page 42
- D.** Petitioner's direct evidence of medical records  
proving Per Curiam 11/15/2024 is False  
Fraudulent Statement/Intentionally Concealed  
The location of my 4 Legal Arguments. p.44-64
- E.** Letters to Chief Justice Rabner.....pages 65-73  
Notice from ACJC2025-173 2/24/2025..page68  
Notice from Deputy Muller 5/1/2025...page 73
- F.** Letters to Heather J. Baker.....page 74-76
- G.** Email/Letters to Ombudsman Office/  
Director Jennifer Black.....pages 77-80
- H.** Email from Officer of the Court  
Denying Petitioner a copy of transcript  
and oral hearing as by Chief Justice...page 81

#### **IV Continuance Index to Appendices**

**I** Email informed that "no record of case found  
on nor before requested date for Oral hearing

Page 82

## V Table of Authorities/ Case Laws

Rule 2;2-1(a).....	pages i, 21, 26-41
NJSA 2B:2A-2.....	pages i,21, 26-41
NJSA 2C5-2(1)(2).....	pages i,21, 26-41
NJSA 2C:21-3(a).....	page i,21, 26-41
NJSA 2C;21-4a.....	pages i,21, 26-41
NJSA 2C:28-7(1-3).....	pages i,21, 26-41
NJSA 2C:29C-29-1(7).....	pages i,21, 26-41
903. 18United States Code 1001(1,2).	pages i,26-41
18 United States Code 287.....	pages i,21, 26-41
18 United States Code 241.....	pages i,21, 26-41
18 United States Code 1505.....	pages i,21, 26-41
18 United States Code 2071.....	pages i,21, 26-41
28 United States Code Ch 16 part 1.	pages 21,26-41
28 United States Code 1257.....	page 1
Charge 5.50I.....	pages 21, 44-64
Charge 5.50E.....	pages 21, 44-64
Charge 5.50C.....	pages 21, 44-64
Charge 5.510D.....	pages 21, 44-64

**V Continuance Table of Authorities/ Case Laws**

Charge 5.50H.....pages 21, 44-64

**Case Laws**

Respectfully submitting to the United States Supreme Court due to magnitude of such egregious actions upheld by the Courts, "there are no presented case laws that can address Judges Per Curiam for this formality of Injustice or the Injustice of the Supreme Court of New Jersey

1.Reynolda v Gonzales 172 NJ266 (2002)...p,44-64

"Pre-Existing Conditions/Increased Risk Proximate Cause"

2. Rosenbilt v Zimmermann 166 NJ 391.....p.44-64

"Intentionally Concealed Medical Records"

3.Dunphy v. Gregory 136 NJ.....p.7-18

"Courts must have consideration

V

**V Continuance of Case Laws**

Of the parties familia relationship”

**4. Porter v. Jaffee.....p.7-18**

“Cause of Action for Negligence

Inflicted Emotional Injury of

Another Person”

## **VI Petition of Writ of Certiorari**

Petitioner Victoria Holmes by way of the injustice within the judicial system, The New Jersey Supreme Court and N.J. Superior Appellant Division Judges deprived Petitioner her Constitutional Rights” Violated Under the 5<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> Amendments, by courts standing in violation of 18 United States Code 241 Conspiracy, 18 United States Code 2071 Concealment of Records, 18 United States Code 1505 Obstruction. Intentional actions such as these will continue to impact Pro Se Litigants in the Judicial System. The results of an Unfair New Jersey Supreme Court Ruling filed October 21, 2025 deprived from Unfair Court Ruling filed May 30, 2025 deprived from Falsified Per Curiam Dated November 15, 2024 by New Jersey Appellant Division Judges.

Respectfully, Petition this Court for A Writ

**VI Continuance of Writ of Certiorari**

of Certiorari to review the Orders of Supreme  
Court of New Jersey and the New Jersey Superior  
Appellants Division's Per Curiam dated November  
15, 2024 (Fraudulent/ False Statement)

### **VII Opinions Below**

The opinions of the Supreme Court Orders (App, A Pages 24-25) and is not reported.

The New Jersey Appellant Division Judges "Per Curiam" (App. B Pages 26-41). Unpublished" However is present on NJCourts.Gov, Justia Law, and other Legal Sites

### **VIII Jurisdiction**

Petitioner was denied Motion of Reconsideration Entered on October 21, 2025 (App A page 24) depriving from Dismissal for Appeal as of Right filed May 30, 2025. (App A page 25)

Petition invokes this Court Jurisdiction Under 28 United States Code 1257, having timely file this petition for writ of certiorari within Ninety Days of the Supreme Court of New Jersey Denied of Motion for Reconsideration filed October 21, 2025.

## **IX Constitutional Provision Involved**

United States Fifth Amendment "No person shall be deprived without due process of laws.

United States Constitution Fourteenth Amendment: guarantees due process to state level ensuring people receive equal protection under Laws from: 18 United States Code 241 Conspiracy Against Rights, 18 United States Code 287 False Fraudulent Claims, 903.18 United States Code 1001 (1,2) False Statement Concealment, 18 United State Code 1505 Obstruction, 18 United States Code 2071 Concealment of Records, 28 United States Code CH 16 part 1 Removal of Judges

(Pages 21,26-41)

## **IX Statutory Provisions Involved**

NJSA 2C:29C-29-1(7)-Obstruction of Justice,

NJSA 2C:28-7(1-3)-Tampering with Public Records

NJSA 2C:21-3(a)-Fraud Relating to Public Records

NJSA 2C:5-2(1) (2-b)-Conspiracy,

**IX Continuance of Statutory Provision Involved**

NJSA 2C: 21-4(a-b)-Falsifying/Tampering with  
Records, NJSA 2B:2A-2-Removal of Judges.

(Page 21,26-41)

### **X Statement of the Case**

Petitioner filed Appeal as of Right to New Jersey Supreme Court on November 25, 2024 (within 12 Days of New Jersey Appellant Judges Per Curiam Dated November 15, 2024 (live streamed October 22, 2024 argued only by Victoria Holmes). The Supreme Court of New Jersey dismissed Appeal as of Right filed May 30, 2025 (denying petitioner reason for Dismissal). Petitioner filed for Motion of Reconsideration June 6, 2025 (within 6 days of Dismissal filed May 30, 2025). Supreme Court of New Jersey Denied Motion of Reconsideration filed October 21, 2025 (denying petitioner the reason).

Petitioner being advised from a Court Officer to proceed case to the Supreme Court of the United States within 90 days of filed Order. Petitioner filed Petition of Writ for Certiorari on January 16, 2026 (postmarked January 14, 2026).

Petitioner utilized all forms of communication

4

months before Orders were granted Petitioner expressed great concerns of case being, obstructed by in house resources. Petitioner addressed all concerns to Chief Justice Rabner (App E p.65-73 ). Court Clerk Heather J. Baker, by Emails and Ups delivery. (App F p.74-76).

“Letters failed to be acknowledged, but were received confirmed by a Deputy Clerk (App E p.73

Petitioner notified Ombudsman Office Director Jennifer Black by Email & Ups delivery, Ms. Black And the Ombudsman Office failed to investigate Petitioners complaint. (App G p.77-80 ). Petitioner requested a copy of the transcript/ oral disc and was denied by Court Officer (App H p.81)

. Petitioner requested a copy submitted documents and was informed by Court Officer, “there were no documents on file (App I p.82).

Petitioner argued entire oral hearing October 22,2024 live streamed (at which Judge Gilson com-

plimented "how well I represented the case"), against all Counsels, I was sure to read from index cards, utilizing copies of medical records on hand. (Trial Courts attempted a botched transcript for hearing August 28, 2023). Petitioner was baffled as to why Appellant Judges opinion was not posted next business day "as stated on NJ Courts.Gov. At that time Petitioner noticed case was deleted from the system. Petitioner began a paper trail of emails and documented phone calls "for explanation of deleted case number, all parties involved signed off there were no Confidential nor Confidential Personal Identifiers Form CN 13252 (these forms were also submitted to the Supreme Court of New Jersey). Petitioner received Confirmation from an innocent Court Officer (App I, p.82) whom was not included in the strategic scheme that the Appellant Judges and other Court Officers Conspiring to Intentionally

over throw the Multi Million Dollar Medical  
Malpractice/Negligence Lawsuit against Newark  
Beth Israel Medical, The Cardio Vascular Care  
Group, Dr. Leon S. Dick, Dr. Bruce J. Brener,  
Anesthiaologist Chi-Shin Chiu.

Petitioner, became completely mentally, emotion-  
ally ill, upon reviewing the falsified and altered  
statements implicated on the Per Curiam dated  
November 15, 2024. Per Curiam doesnt corroborate  
with the direct evidence of medical records nor  
with Petitioner's Testimony on record.

Petitioner's direct evidence proved Dr.Dick order  
Ct Scan 12/30/2020, Dr. Dick ordered a Pre-  
Admission Test on 2/22/2021 consisted of EKG,  
Chest X-Ray, Blood Work (App D p.45-47) for Pre-  
Scheduled Procedure of the Abdominal Aortic  
Aneurysm (AAA) for 2/26/2021 (having nothing to  
do with the heart(App D, p.43). Petitioner and  
Appellant Jon were never informed of any test re-

sults.(concealment of medical records). Anesthi-  
ologist Chi-Shin Chiu Intentionally Falsified Pre  
Sedation Evaluation Form dated 2/25/2021. Appel-  
lant Jon was never seen by him nor any other doc-  
tors after taking Pre Admission Test 2/22/2021.  
Who authorized Chiu to state in "Remarks section  
that test results taken 2/22/2021 were not detect-  
ed. Chiu stated Jon took a pill!, what pill?. Chui  
utilized some documentation apparently from prior  
medical reports from 2017 and 2019 it appeared,  
by his own admission opted not to utilize results  
from 2/22/2021 for this Pre Scheduled Procedure  
2/26/2021. (falsification/alteration of medical re-  
cords) (App D p.48). Dr. Bruce J.Brener on  
2/26/2021 Morning of Pre Scheduled Procedure  
opted by his own admission to Intentionally Alter  
the Original Consent Form which displayed no  
date and time. Dr.Brener was not given consent  
to part take in alleged AAA Procedure having

nothing to do with Appellant Jon's Heart. Dr. Brener altered Petitioners' signature by adding word "WIFE" and dated the date and time for signatures (illegal, Alteration of medical records) Dr. Brener was intentionally concealing something," Dr. Brener could have drawn up an entire NEW CONSENT FORM and explained to Appellant Jon and OUR SON (Petitioner was not present) why he was parting as a Cardio Vascular Surgeon not as Fellowship Director for alleged AAA Pre - Schedule Procedure having nothing to do with the heart. Dr Brener signed as Fellowship and performed duties of Vascular Surgeon,(attempted double billing with Blue Cross/Blue Shield) Dr. Brener intentionally concealed Pre Admission Test Result from 2/22/2021. (App D, p49-52 ) (Concealment/ Alteration of Medical Records).

Major complications occurred on 2/26/2021, before during and post-surgery. Appellant Jon underwent

Full Resuscitation before Procedure began on 2/2/26/2021 which resulted in an injury to Jon's heart," they proceeded with the Alleged AAA Procedure. Appellant Jon was never administered any medication before, during, post-surgery. No heart medication while in Telemetry Unit (heart unit) (App D p, 53) and was being monitored for Cardiac Monitoring and Vital Signs. Dr. Dick and Brener failed to properly chart for 48 hours midnight stay for further Cardiac and Vital Sign Monitoring Appellant Jon underwent EKG'S while in Telemetry Unit "which displayed abnormal results " no heart medication administered. (App D, p, 53-54) No pertinent test were performed due to the injured heart while under the care of Doctor's and NBIMC Medical Staff. Dr Dick and Dr. Brener being negligent (possibly a plan) failed proper charting, Appellant Jon was discharged less than 27 hours of Procedure and discharged

with Pre- Existing Medical Conditions. Which is cited and legible on discharge documents.

(App D, p.55). Resident Doctor was informed of medical concerns but under orders of Doctors whom failed to properly charge, cleared Appellant Jon to be discharged on 2/27/2021. Petitioner and Appellant were not informed by any medical staff of any complications before, during, pots-surgery not even abnormal EKG's. Appellant Jon was discharged with only a prescription for Oxycodone, follow up with Dr. Dick in 2 weeks (Ap D, p.54-57). Dr. Brener performed the Alleged AAA procedure, Dr. Brener was not documented on discharge papers nor a follow up with him or another Cardiologist," Dr, Brener did not prescribe any Heart Med's (Ap D, p56-57). (concealment/record). For their monetary gain they proceeded with the procedure during the peak of Covid- 19.

Petitioner rushed Appellant Jon within 5 hours

//

of being discharged from NBIMC on 2/27/2021

“Appellant Jon became confused and signs of heart attack was rushed to Clara Mass Medical Center Emergency Room and was immediately administered heart medication after Bloodwork, EKG test results. Then resulted Cardio Echo Gram, Ct Scan while in ED. (App D p. 58-59)

Appellant Jon was admitted for 7 days and underwent numerous tests for his injured heart and other findings due to the alleged AAA procedure. Appellant Jon had no memory of anything that was transpiring medically. Petitioner was devastated. This was the peak of Covid-19 at which Petitioner was not permitted to enter the back of ED to remain at the bedside of her fiancée at that time, due to Covid-19 hospital guidelines. Petitioner remained on hospital grounds and in the car under total emotional/mental stress. For 4 days Petitioner remained on hospital ground

hoping for visitation, but no "due to Covid-19 hospital guidelines. Petitioner was given visitation on day 5. Petitioner engaged in conversation with Appellant's Jon roommate. Patient informed us he tested Positive for Covid-19. (Jon tested negative). Petitioner immediately addressed medical staff "Appellant Jon and Petitioner was exposed to this deadly virus (patient was immediately transferred to another room). Petitioner and Appellant Jon endured mental stress and anxiety for next 2 weeks "deepest concerns of contracting the deadly virus". Clearly, this was the direct cause of Appellant Jon being discharged with Pre Existing Conditions from NBIMC. Appellant was discharged from Clara Mass Medical Center on 3/5/2021, with heart medication and immediate follow up with Cardiologist. Petitioner and Appellant Jon lives were forever changed after discharge from Clara Mass Medical

"but due to all Defendants Malpractice/Negligenc".  
Petitioner rushed Jon to ED45 minutes away on  
numerous accounts at which Appellant remained  
hospitalized and Petitioner was forced to sleep in  
the car "due to Covid-19 guidelines. Petitioner did  
not want Appellant Jon to remain under the  
Medical Organization of RWJBH. Petitioner had a  
duty to her Fiance at the time be assured that his  
medical conditions were properly addressed and  
not neglected. Appellant Jon remains under the  
care of an Electrophysiologist for his damaged  
heart and other specialist to this day. Petitioner  
testified this on October 22, 2024 oral hearing in  
Morristown, N.J. live streamed along with direct  
evidence of medical records in my hands.

Petitioner realized this was the reasons for  
the court deleting Petitioners submitted document  
after all hearings. Appellant Judges and Court  
Officers utilized about 22 days to methodically

and strategically scheme up a plan to Intentionally Commit Conspiracy-NJSA 2C:5-29(1)(2-b) to Falsify/Tamper with Records-NJSA 2C:21-4a, Obstruction-18 United States Code 1505, commit False Fraudulent Statement/ Concealment 903. 18 United States Code 1001 (1,2). Petitioner realized Per Curiam Concealed/ Omitted where on the Record "Petitioners 4 Legal Arguments were located. They utilized within the same 22 days Concealment of Records 18 United States Code 2071. (App D p 60-64, App B, p. 30-31).

Petitioner realized The Supreme Court of New Jersey, The Appellant Superior Judges, Court Officers by their own admission opted to commit Obstruction/Conspiracy so that the Per Curiam dated November 15, 2024 would Justify an Unfair Determination by the Court,so the Record could be deceived and it would appear that an Affidativ of Merit would be required under-NJSA 2A:53A-27-

29.

Petitioner became knowledgeable of Chief Justice Rabner and Supreme Court Judges "Action Plans for Ensuring Equal Justice Update 2020 Action Items & 2021 Initiatives Courts 2 year action plan committing that procedural and operational improvements that will position the New Jersey Courts of leader in fostering a system of justice that is accessible, equitable and free from structural barrier and biases." With this statement Petitioner sought the New Jersey Supreme Court for Appeal as of Right R.2:2-1(a-1)

Petitioner's resulting actions upheld by all Judges and Court Officers rises Under the Constitution of the United States 5<sup>th</sup> & 14<sup>th</sup> Amendments also including numerous State Laws and Court Rules, Court Charges.

Petitioner remained under total duress and anxiety "while waiting for Chief Justice Rabner

to immediately Removal of Judges-NJSA 2B:2A-2,  
also under 28 United States Code Ch.16 part 1.  
Judge Gilson, Judge Bishop-Thompson, Judge  
Augustini (whom never addressed Petitioner on  
record October 22, 2024. Chief Justice never  
removed these Judges (App E, p.65-68).

Petitioner realized filing the Original Com-  
plaint on 2/22/2023 submitted 180 pages and docu-  
ments to all Counsels with the Essex County Law  
Division Special Civil (L-1388-23) under case laws  
Dunphy v. Gregory 136 N.J., Porter v. Jaffee  
"Petitioner being Appellant Jon's fiancé, within  
our 35 years of relationship, surviving obstacles,  
extending our family including grandchildren  
"as late 2024 we separated. Actions of all parties  
and Judges caused tremendous impact on our  
lives. Appellant Jon will never regain 100% of  
normal life and neither will Petitioner due to  
the results of an Alleged AAA Procedure which

was Pre Scheduled and having nothing to do with  
Appellants Heart. The impact imposed on Appel-  
lant Jon has tremendously impacted the Petitioner  
and our entire family. (Kids and Grandchildren).

## **XI Reason for Granting the Petition**

1. A Writ should be granted when Judges Intentionally Commit Conspiracy/Conceal and Falsify a Statement for Obstruction of Justice.

2. To avoid such egregious actions upheld by dishonest Judges whom reside on the bench, whom refuse Honesty, Morals, Integrity, Respect of Sworn Oath taken Into Office, possibly so that all Judges on the bench shall uphold with Morals, utilizing the appropriate Judicial Court Rules and Laws. Appeal as of Right is never denied when Lower Courts Conceal/Alter/Ommit direct evidence

3. Congress can implicate Laws which would Guarantee for the Protection of any Pro Se Litigant being targeted by Judges Abusing there Power for monetary gain.

4. Laws can be implicated protecting Pro se Litigants for a Fair and Honest Court proceeding, including being required to submit all documents

on line "to assured that no Court Officers, Judges can intentionally tamper with direct evidence.

**5.** Implications of Laws that would not protect any Judge from Financial Penalties but also the lost of Pension and Retirement Fundamentals, whom Intentional Obstruct Justice, Commit Conspiracy, Fraud and Deletion of Records etc.

**6.** Implications of laws to guarantee Pro Se Litigants financial damages against all Judges, Lawyers and Law Firms whom they Represent whom exercise Conspiracy, Falsification of Statements /Records. etc.

## **XII Conclusion**

A decision produced by such fraud is technically not a Fair Determination at all, and by Law should have never become FINAL. Judgements were in plain error, misconduct The judgements were a destruction of civil action for the deprivation of My Rights "under the 5<sup>th</sup> & 14<sup>th</sup> Amendments.

Judges intentionally not utilizing the testimony on record and the direct evidence of medical records "which corroborates with Charge 5.50I-Fraudulent Concealment of Medical Records, Charge 5.50E-Pre Existing Conditions Existed, Charge 5.50C-Informed Consent, Charge 5.50D-Res Ipsa Loquitor, Charge 5.50H-Alteration of Medical Records.


**"No Affidavit of Merit would not be required and NJSA 2A58A-27-29 is not Justified for this Multi Million Dollar Medical Malpractice/Negligence.**

**Lawsuit.**

For the foregoing reasons, I respectfully petition  
The United States Supreme Court for a Granted  
Writ of Certiorari "Should the Court find that an  
Over Ruling of all Lower Courts Orders be  
Reversed. Petitioner request that submitted docu-  
ments in lower courts be reinstated.

Petitioners documents are extremely clean and  
legible without any markings "including Appen-  
dices.

Due to the magnitude of the Intentional Cor-  
ruption and Conspiracy within the State of New  
Jersey's Judicial System, 'I am exercising my  
Rights under 28 United States Code 2403(a) and  
28 United States Code 2403(b).

  
Pro Se Petitioner Victoria Holmes

Respectfully Re-Submitted March 6, 2026

Within the 60 days of Letter February 19, 2026