


ORIGINAL

25-7043

No.



IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

ALEX MARTINEZ,
Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL.
Respondent.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to
the United States Court of Appeals for the
District of Columbia Circuit

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Alex Martinez
513-269 Dufferin Ave.
Winnipeg, Manitoba
Canada R2W 2X8

E-mail: case_am_072015@aol.com

*Selfrepresented and proceeding in forma pauperis

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Fraud and Crime was found in the decisions in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit and it did not stop. This was clearly identified with horizontal lines and underlined in the filings and therefore determined a Fraud on the Courts with Approved Police Reports.

When this occurs the decision must be dismissed and recalled. This is the law and since nothing was done, the integrity of the judicial process was undermined. Now the Supreme Court of the United States is asked to charge and arrest and must recall the matter and issue Relief immediately?

LIST OF PARTIES

- All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- All parties do not appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Solicitor General of the United States,
Room 5614, Department of Justice,
950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.,
Washington, DC 20530-0001
United States of America

Telephone: (202) 514-2203
E-mail: supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov

US Customs and Border Protection
1300 Pennsylvania Ave. NW
Washington, D.C., 20229
United States of America

Telephone: (202) 344-1040
E-mail: cbpserviceintake@cbp.dhs.gov

Civil Litigation Section
Department of Justice Canada
50 O'Connor Street, 5th Floor
Ottawa, Ontario
Canada K1A 0H8

Telephone: + 1 (613) 670-6214
Facsimile: +1 (613) 954-1920
E-mail: agc_pgc_ottawa@justice.gc.ca

RELATED CASES

There are no related cases as Fraud on the Courts has not occurred to this extent. If there were any that might have one; or, two elements they are omitted and are the following:

- Shapiro v. Lamone (later referred to as Benisek v. Lamone), No. 17-333, Supreme Court of the United States. Judgment entered June 18, 2018.
- Trump v. United States, No. 23-939, Supreme Court of the United States. Judgment entered July 1, 2024.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW 1

JURISDICTION 2

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY
PROVISIONS INVOLVED 3

STATEMENT OF THE CASE 4

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT 4

CONCLUSION..... 5

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Orders..... 6

APPENDIX B Memorandum of Opinion and
Order 12

APPENDIX C Evidence of Fraud on he Courts 16

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

Cases:

Shapiro v. Lamone (later referred to as Benisek v. Lamone),

No. 17-333, Supreme Court of the United States.
Judgment entered June 18, 2018. (Citation
Omitted)

Trump v. United States,

No. 23-939, Supreme Court of the United States.
Judgment entered July 1, 2024. (Citation
Omitted)

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at ___ ; or,

has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at ___ ; or,

has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was November 03, 2025.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: August 19, 2025 & November 03, 2025, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including

(date) on _____
(date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under
28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Fraud was found in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit file number: 24-5273.

¹ The Appeal was filed due to issues in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia file number:

1:24-cv-01585-UNA. ² This is because the Petitioner, Alex Martinez did not receive Judgments by mail; or, electronically despite providing the proper mailing address in the 'Complaint for a Civil Case' and several other documents. When discovered, a Motion for Fraud was filed on March 05th, 2025 to the Order of Justice Contreras of February 21st, 2025. This was done with the attached City of Winnipeg Police Report in Canada for Fraud as Title 18 United States Code § 1341 - Frauds and swindles was violated. The Report numbers are E210029462-57 and E210029462-76; which can be accessed through Coplogic. As a result of this a Petition for Rehearing was filed which should have stopped and recalled the Order of Justice Contreras of February 21st, 2025 and it did not. Furthermore; a Petition for a Writ of Prohibition, which the court construes as a Motion to Recall the Mandate was filed and was dismissed, which cannot occur. This therefore proves that a Fraud on the Courts was done.

¹ Appendix A; Order of August 19th, 2025 and November 03rd, 2025, United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit

² Appendix B; Memorandum of Opinion and Order of July 24th, 2024, United States District Court for the District of Columbia

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

It also confirms that the Judicial Review under the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) was violated as to not stop the crimes is considered arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion; or, otherwise not in accordance with law. It is also found to be contrary to constitutional right, power, privilege; or, immunity; and in excess of statutory jurisdiction, authority; or, limitations; or, short of statutory right. Finally it is clear that this is without observance of procedure required by law and that is why charges may be laid for Fraud under Title 18 United States Code § 1341 - Frauds and swindles.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT

It cannot be denied as per the City of Winnipeg Police Reports as Rules 5(b)(E), 60(b)(3), 77(d)(1)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (FRCP) and Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure (FRAP), (4)(a) were violated. This is because the last known address and correct address was in the 'Complaint for a Civil Case,' Summons and other documents. Furthermore; as per FRCP 77(d)(2), the Time to Appeal is Not Affected by Lack of Notice. Therefore; there is no other option but to stop and cancel all the Orders and yet nothing was done.³

³ Evidence of Fraud on the Courts and Violations of Title 18 United States Code § 1341 and the Administrative Procedures Act (Apa)

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted without hesitation for this and for not doing it and not cancelling the Orders, it is a violation of the the Judicial Review under the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) and the United States Code. Thus you are required to give Relief to the Petitioner, Alex Martinez of \$75,000.000.00 Million dollars, as Jurisdiction was found for the Petitioner in the lower courts and these crimes are considered a violation of the Judicial Process.

Respectfully submitted,

Alex Martinez
513-269 Dufferin Ave.
Winnipeg, Manitoba
Canada R2W 2X8

E-mail: case_am_072015@aol.com

*Self-represented and proceeding in forma pauperis

Date: November 10th, 2025