

No. 25-7034

FILED
NOV 25 2024
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Eula B. Winpeyt — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

Department of Commerce
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office — RESPONDENT(S)
vs.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Eula B. Winpeyt
(Your Name)

106 - A Second Street
(Address)

Leicester, Ma. 38635
(City, State, Zip Code)

(706) 254-1174
(Phone Number)

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

ARE DOCTORED GOVERNMENTAL RECORDS LEGAL AND ADMISSABLE BY PATENT LAW?

ARE FALSIFIED DOCUMENTS PERMISSABLE BY PATENT LAW?

CAN AN APPLICATION CITED AS ' ABANDONED ' BE LAWFULLY ABANDONED WHEN THE APPLICANT IS DUTIFULLY AND CONSISTENTLY CURRENTLY WORKING FAITHFULLY UPON IT?

HOW CAN AN ABANDONED' APPLICATION BE LEGALLY ABANDONED AND THE USPTO IS CONTINUING AFTERWARDS TO SEND CORRESPONDENCE WITH MADE UP OFFICE ACTIONS TO THE INVENTOR IN ORDER TO DECEIVE THEM INTO BELIEVING THAT THEIR APPLICATION IS STILL PENDING, WHEN RECORDS PROVE THAT THE INVENTOR'S APPLICATION HAS ALREADY BEEN GRANTED TO A MAJOR CORPORATION WITHOUT THE INVENTOR'S CONSENT OR KNOWLEDGE?

THE INVENTOR HAS SENT THE RECEIPT TO SAID SECOND PATENT APPLICATION PROVING THAT THE USPTO REMOVED AND DENIED HER SIGNATURES ON BOTH HER PATENT APPLICATIONS DISALLOWING HER ACCESS TO HER OWN INVENTIONS. SHE HAS BEEN SENT THE ACTUAL RECEIPT OF THE USPTO'S PILLAGING OF HER INVENTION AND GIVEN TO ONE BY THE NAME OF 'DANIEL WALZMAN' ???

IS THE CONTINUAL REMOVING AND FALSIFYING OF THE INVENTOR'S SIGNATURES LAWFUL??

JOHN WEISS, THE INVENTOR'S FIRST EXAMINER CALLED THE INVENTOR WITH A CALL OF CONGRATULATIONS FOR A COMPLETED AND GRANTED PATENT APPLICATION AND A JOB WELL DONE. THE INVENTOR HAS SUBMITTED ACTUAL PROOF OF THIS CELEBRATORY PHONE CALL WITH A WITNESS AFIDAVIT. WILL THE COURT PLEASE PROCURE HER WITNESS DEPOSTION IMMEDIATELY?

MRS. JOSEPHINE PERSONS

205 323 6205 PHONE

Greetings to the Honorable Supreme Court,

On the twenty-fifth day of September 2024, on an appeal from the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, an American Inventor, Eula Winfrey, was denied. This worthy inventor and plaintiff was denied overdue and much deserved relief for two pillaged patent applications; 9422542 and 6200707.

QUESTION PRESENTED

The defendants, without any basis to stand upon, claimed that Ms. Winfrey's case to be 'non-precedential', of 'failing to exhaust administrative remedies', and failing to 'make a valid claim'.

Failure to state a claim, in a court case means that a plaintiff's complaint, even if it is TRUE, does not present a LEGALLY recognized cause of action; essentially meaning that the lawsuit cannot proceed because the stated facts do not constitute a valid legal claim against the defendant.

However, in this request for 'WRIT OF CERTIORARI', Ms. Winfrey calls upon the highest court in this land to cry out for justice not only for herself, but for the former inventors who have cried, whose innovative ideas were stolen before her, and for the great minds of inventors who shall come after her.

The LEGAL CLAIMS of this case are also Biblical claims for which our great country was built upon. Our great GOD wrote with his own finger, THOU SHALT NOT STEAL. These are the PRECEDENTS and the legalities that are missing in this Great America today. We are falling because no-one wants to stand up.

The weak and downtrodden and those who have no voice seek thee. We seek your majesty and authority to bring justice and true freedom at last with the pursuit of happiness. The Lord has placed upon your shoulders and feet your robes of majesty. What is America's audacity to forsake decency.

In this Certiorari, Ms. Winfrey has included page upon page of evidence of the corruption seething within the Patent Office. She cries that someone may hear her. She cries that someone may stand beside her and guide her through this dark night she has unjustly encountered. For so long Ms. Winfrey has fought. Its been a long time coming, but she has continued the fight with faith knowing without a doubt that change MUST COME. She never gave up or let anyone turn her around. The change must come, because the corruption, greed, bribery, falsification of records and under the table kickbacks must end. The payoffs, and removal of ownership with falsification of SIGNATURES MUST END.

LIST OF PARTIES

- All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

24. 1260
3:22 CV-0083-CDL

*Decisions of each case has
previously been submitted
to the Supreme Court
in former mailings
back in November 2024
Note.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Cover of Petition
	Questions Presented for Review
APPENDIX B	List of Parties
	Table of Contents
APPENDIX C	Table of Authorities
APPENDIX D	Official and Unofficial Reports Of Opinions
APPENDIX E	Orders Entered in the Case
APPENDIX F	Basis for Jurisdiction Statement of the Case Reasons to Grant Certiarori

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

US District Court for the Middle
322-CV-00083 District of La.

Appendix A

2024-1260

United States Court of
Appeals for the Federal Circuit

A

STATUTES AND RULES

Regulations involved in this
case can be found on page # A

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts:**

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at PACER & Georgia / U.S. Publishing Offices
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts:**

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at PACER & Ga. Judicial Gateway
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was Sept 25, 2024

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

(I did not receive a docketed hearing in person or electronically but decided without my presence.)

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was see # 322 cv -0083 CDL
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

(did not receive a jury trial as demanded)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

As a matter of NATIONAL IMPORTANCE the corruption that has been running for decades through the Patent + Trademark Office requires justice. I have proven as an American inventor who has revolutionized the safety and the entire diaper industry that, this corruption must be ended. It ends today with you, the Honorable Supreme Court.

After receiving a congratulatory phone call from my initial examiner, John Weiss praising me for my new patent which never arrived, shows the deplorable darkness in the halls of the PTO. I thank God that there was a WITNESS to this phone call who is willing to testify in a Court of Law on my behalf!

To say that the Court concluded that the "four conditions for collateral estoppel were met" is perjury.

I have shown in the PROOF given in the following paperwork that FRAUDULENT SIGNATURES were also used in my cases,

The Lord placed you in your individual robes of majesty for the defense of those who found no place to find rest. He placed such beauty upon you that others would come to you as their final and only help and touch the hem of your garments, just as the desperate woman with the issue of blood who said to herself, ' If only.. and even if I MUST CRAWL upon the ground, I MUST BUT touch the hem of his robe.

If I make it to the robe, I WILL BE MADE WHOLE. She had tried everything. But Jesus was her only and final helper. She had nowhere else to go, no other recourse...until the hem of his robe.

And your robes.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE/ ARGUMENT AND CONCLUSION

Inventors go from court to court finding no relief. Not only is Ms. Winfrey's case precedential, her claims of absolute illegal activity are sure and clear for all to see. And she HAS exhausted every administrative avenue possible, but it was the USPTO that closed all the roads, by denying Ms. Winfrey's signature upon all of her paperwork as she attempted again and again to work with them. They closed the streets against this revolutionary inventor by granting her patents to others, producing and putting her inventions on the shelves to sell without her consent or knowledge; by tampering with her governmental records, falsifying them and pillaging them. Ms. Winfrey filed a sworn affidavit proving that in application 9422542 that a phone call was awarded her that the pending process was over and she was congratulated on the issuance of her new patent for innovative diapers and trainers that was never delivered into her hands. Instead that patent was granted to a large corporation and is the greatest product in that line EVER SOLD.

John Weiss, initial examiner, was the man who called Ms. Winfrey. Once he suddenly disappeared, Ms. Michelle Kidwell investigated and found that Mr. Weiss had issued an illegal abandonment record against Ms. Winfrey. This evidence was also submitted.

Ms. Winfrey's second invention was sloppily and simply sold to a man by the name of Daniel Walzman.

This was also done unlawfully. Ms. Winfrey's signature was once again banned on her own invention and is now being produced and sold lucratively at major theme parks throughout America and the world protecting children from loss, kidnapping, sex trafficking and DEATH. Her Perambulatory connecting device is revolutionary throughout the world. She humbly comes asking the honor at last of her two patents at last and actual and punitive monetary damages for the abject corruption that has been so unrighteously inflicted upon her. Her inventions have produced seven billion per year in profits. She deserves at least ONE of those years. God bless you all with gratitude from my heart and soul. Signed,

M.S. Inventor *Carol B. Winfrey*

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

just as the strategy of using FRAUDULENT SIGNATURES in THOUSANDS of other patent applications makes it ABSOLUTELY impossible to retrieve our inventions + "work within administrative offices"! They know what they're doing + its an evil scheme to pillage what rightfully belongs to so many American inventors with brilliant minds who have blessed this great America!

Accepting my cry for Certiorari should be heard! I do not have much in this world, but I do love the Lord. He listens + has helped me to call upon you now.

In my first patent application the USPTO perjured themselves claiming that my application PENDED FOR 8 YEARS! And they claimed it was NOT abandoned + stolen in 2002. But upon Ms. Kidwell's investigation and after hiring an attorney to file "a Power to inspect" Order, it is PROVEN that my invention + application was fraudulently "abandoned"

June 7, 2002. Mr. Kidwell also found that I could no longer proceed with ANY administrative actions, because the RCBs were NOT properly signed with the proper Name of the inventor! "My own name was no longer accepted on either application for both of my patents/inventions/application. The USPTO accidentally mailed a RECEIPT to my home, proving that that they had granted my invention after they paid for a non-provisional application, by the name of DANIEL WALZMAN.

CONCLUSION

My inventions amass over 7 billion yearly in profits. I am asking actual + punitive money damages equivalent to ONE of of those years in profits?

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Inventor Earl B. Winney

Date: November 21, 2024 / May 5, 2025

Thankyou + God Bless
You always.