

CASE NO: 25-7005

THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

KENNETH COLVIN, JR.,

Petitioner,

v.

RUSS RURKA, WARDEN,

Respondent.

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR REHEARING

PETITIONER'S CERTIFICATE STATING THAT PETITION FOR
REHEARING IS BEING FILED IN GOOD FAITH AND NOT FOR DELAY

CERTIFICATION OF COUNSEL

PROOF SERVICES

Presented By:

MR. KENNETH COLVIN, JR. (#192744)
LAKELAND CORRECTIONAL FACILITY (LCF)
141 First Street
Coldwater, Michigan 49036

CASE NO: 25-7005

THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

KENNETH COLVIN, JR.,

Petitioner,

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR REHEARING

v.

RUSS RURKA, WARDEN,

Respondent.

NOW COMES PETITIONER KENNETH COLVIN, JR., proceeding PRO SE, and pursuant to Supreme Court Rule, Rule 44 (2), and he states the following in support of his request for a rehearing:

1. On April 30, 2026, Petitioner Colvin had received in prisoner mail the April 20, 2026, letter from Scott S. Harris, Clerk acknowledging that the Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Certiorari was denied.

2. Petitioner Colvin's issue involved him being denied his Rule 60 (d) Motion based on Fraud on the Court, as the prosecution in Petitioner Colvin's Writ of Habeas Corpus Petition in which he properly raised a Brady violation claim and perjury claims, the prosecution remained silent in regards to the law enforcement investigators as withholding the exculpatory/impeaching evidence from the prosecution as well --which had the prosecution had informed the federal habeas court that the withheld documents that Petitioner Colvin presented with his original petition, the Court would had granted Petitioner Colvin Habeas Corpus relief (as it would had supported Petitioner Colvin's position that he was being truthful about the exculpatory/impeaching documents were withheld from him).

3. Supreme Court Rule 44 (2) states in pertinent part for a rehearing:


"...[i]ts grounds shall be limited to intervening circumstances of a substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented."

4. Petitioner Colvin's grounds for the rehearing is simple: Deliberate fraud on the court was committed by the prosecution, which if it had not been committed, the Petitioner would had been granted this habeas corpus

petition on grounds of perjury and Brady violations. But instead, the Petitioner attempted to file a Rule 60 (d) Motion, and he was wrongly and unfairly denied for his merited case to be presented and heard with the court, despite the fact, he has reliable and credible supporting evidence that fraud on the court was committed, and if his motion was actually heard, he would had received a favorable decision on the motion to have his original habeas corpus petition heard in court, with a favorable outcome.

WHEREFORE, the Petitioner asks this Honorable Court to reconsider his Writ of Certiorari and grant his issues involving the Rule 60 (d) Motion based on Fraud on the Court.

Dated: May 3, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

Kenneth Colvin, Jr. (#192744)
LAKELAND CORRECTIONAL FACILITY
141 First Street
Coldwater, Michigan 49036

CASE NO: 25-7005

THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

KENNETH COLVIN, JR.,

Petitioner,

v.

RUSS RURKA, WARDEN,

Respondent.

PETITIONER'S CERTIFICATE STATING THAT
PETITION FOR REHEARING IS BEING FILED
IN GOOD FAITH AND NOT FOR DELAY

NOW COMES PETITIONER KENNETH COLVIN, JR., proceeding PRO SE, and he states the following in support of this Certificate Stating that Petition for Rehearing is Being Filed in Good Faith and Not for Delay:

1. On May 29, 2026, Petitioner Colvin received a letter from Scott S. Harris, Clerk, dated May 21, 2026, stating the following in pertinent part:

"You must also certify that the petition for rehearing is presented in good faith and not for delay."


2. Petitioner Colvin is now certifying with this Honorable Court that he has in fact filed this request for rehearing in GOOD FAITH and NOT FOR DELAY.

3. Petitioner Colvin's request for rehearing is in fact based on his Writ of Certiorari being denied, when in fact Petitioner Colvin had a merited issue in regards to the United States District Court recharacterizing his Motion for Relief from Judgment (Rule 60 (d) Motion) [which it was based on the Respondent's representatives committing "FRAUD ON THE COURT" that occurred during the Petitioner's Federal Habeas Corpus proceedings back in 2001. Please see Petitioner Colvin's Writ of Certiorari Petition.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner Colvin requests that this Honorable Court will accept his Certification in requesting a rehearing in Good Faith, and not an act to delay this Honorable Court.

Dated: May 30, 2026

Respectfully submitted,


Kenneth Colvin, Jr.
LAKELAND CORRECTIONAL FACILITY
141 First Street
Coldwater, Michigan 49036

CASE NO: 25-7005

THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

KENNETH COLVIN, JR.,

Petitioner,

CERTIFICATION OF COUNSEL

v.

RUSS RURKA, WARDEN,

Respondent.


NOW COMES PETITIONER KENNETH COLVIN, JR., proceeding PRO SE, and pursuant to Supreme Court Rule, Rule 44 (2), and he states the following in support:

Petitioner Kenneth Colvin, Jr., on April 30, 2026, had received the April 20, 2026, letter from Scott S. Harris, Clerk, acknowledging that his Petition for a Writ of Certiorari was denied.

Petitioner Kenneth Colvin, Jr., is now requesting for a REHEARING, pursuant to Supreme Court Rule, Rule 44 (2), and he has included with the request, his mandated Certification of Counsel.

Petitioner Kenneth Colvin, Jr. does not have counsel representing him, and he will be representing himself on this matter, as he has done continuously on this above entitled case.

Dated: May 2, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

Kenneth Colvin, Jr.
LAKEAND CORRECTIONAL FACILITY
141 First Street
Coldwater, Michigan 49036

CASE NO: 25-7005

THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

KENNETH COLVIN, JR.,

Petitioner,

v.

PROOF OF SERVICES

RUSS RURKA, WARDEN,

Respondent.


I, KENNETH COLVIN, JR., declare under the penalty of perjury that he sent via U.S. Mail the following documents listed below to the Michigan Attorney General Office C/O Ms. Andrea M. Christensen-Brown, Assistant Attorney General:

1. Petitioner's Request for Rehearing;
2. Certification of Counsel;
3. PETITIONER'S CERTIFICATE STATING THAT PETITION FOR REHEARING IS BEING FILED IN GOOD FAITH AND NOT FOR DELAY
4. PROOF OF SERVICES, ALONG WITH COVER LETTER.

I, Kenneth Colvin, Jr., personally makes this declared information, purruant to 28 U.S.C §1746.

Executed on:

June 29, 2026


Kenneth Colvin, Jr.
LAKELAND CORRECTIONAL FACILITY
141 First Street
Coldwater, Michigan 49036