

No. 25-6981

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Supreme Court, U.S.

FILED

DEC 29 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

ANDREW BURKE — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

STATE OF TEXAS — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

14th Ct. of App., TEXAS.

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

ANDREW BURKE
(Your Name)

3872 FM 350 S. (TL)
(Address)

LIVINGSTON, TX 77351
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

RECEIVED
AUG 27 2025
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

I, ANDREW BURKE,
I was CONVICTED
WITH A LIFE SENTENCE
OVER A PERJURED
STATEMENT ARREST WARRANT
"CONSPIRACY MURDER."

I WAS DENIED MY
RIGHT TO SUBPOENA MY
OWN MATERIAL WITNESSES

POLICE THAT SHOWED
UP AT MY TRIAL. STATED
I AM NOT GUILTY.

A PAID LI, A CONVICTED
CHILD MOLESTER, STATED I AM
GUILTY. CORRUPT +

PROSECUTORS MANIPULATED A JURY.
IS THIS THE UNITED STATES OF
AMERICA THAT SUPPORT LIBERTY + JUSTICE?

LIST OF PARTIES

- All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

BIASED TRIAL JUDGE

TUMBY V. OHIO 273 US 570

DENIAL OF SELF REP.

McKaskle V. WIGGINS
465 US 168

RELATED CASES

MILLER V. PATE ~~527~~ ^{exists}
386 US 1 (see transcripts)

IN case of Miller Reed
PAINT was used to look like
blood, deceptively, knowingly by
PROSECUTION, AS to PERSUADE and
MANIPULATE A JURY. IN MY
Case, BURKE V. TEXAS, false
EVIDENCE was used to CONVICT ME.
A subtitles ADDED AUDIO RECORDING
MY WORDS were altered. ON SOME
PREMISES, I ASK: Reverse & Remand.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW 1
JURISDICTION..... 2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED 3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE 4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT 5
CONCLUSION..... 6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A PROOF OF SERVICE 8
APPENDIX B IFP 9
APPENDIX C EXHIBITS 14
APPENDIX D
APPENDIX E
APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

NEDER V. US	527	US	1,8
Farettq v. California	422	US	806
MILLER V. Pate	386	US	1
NADUE V. ILLINOIS	360	US	264
MOONEY V. HOLAHAN	294	US	103
Pyle V. KANSAS	317	US	213
Whitman v. Wilson	318	US	688

STATUTES AND RULES

US CONSTITUTION

14th Amendment, Substantive Due Process

6th Amendment, RIGHT TO SUBPOENA
Material witnesses, pro se,
effective counsel

5th Amendment, Procedural Due Process

1st Amendment, Petition the Government

TRE AND/OR FRE 609

OTHER

FRUIT OF THE POISONOUS
TREE DOCTRINE

STRIKLAND TEST AND
CYLER STANDARD

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
- has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
- is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
- has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
- is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
- has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
- is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
- has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
- is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 8-21-25.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: 11-20-25, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix Z.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

6th AMENDMENT

INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF
COUNSEL, STRICKLAND AND CUYLER

DENIED RIGHT TO SUBPOENA
MATERIAL WITNESSES

PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT
FALSE EVIDENCE USED
TO CONVICT, AN AUDIO
RECORDING, THAT WAS
MODIFIED AND SUBTITLES
ADDED. PERJURED
STATEMENT OF A
PAID CONFIDENTIAL
INFORMANT

PAID CI WAS A
CONFIRMED CONVICTED
CHILD MOLESTER, SHOULD HAVE
BEEN IMPEACHED TRE ARE GO9

DENIED PRO SE FARETTA
RIGHTS AFTER INVOKING THEM

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I AM AN INNOCENT MAN
WRONGFULLY CONVICTED
PERJURED statements by a
PAID CI were used to
convict. Originally ON ARREST
WARRANT, he told Police
that I PAID him \$400
FOR A KILLING. DURING TRIAL,
he admitted this is A LIE
Detective Deputy ARREDONDO admits
he induced CI, PAID CI
to persuade and make A
CASE AGAINST me. THE PAID CI
IS a convicted child rapist,
and is not fit to be an
AGENT of the Government. Deputy
Det. ARREDONDO, FORT BEND
COUNTY, stated I am NOT
GUILTY ON WITNESS STAND,
which that part NOT IN
WRITTEN transcripts, corrupt
court room. I WAS DENIED
MY Faretta PRO SE RIGHTS

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Protect Liberties and
Freedom FOR ALL.

I have ENCLOSED exhibits
of COURT DOCUMENTS
AS PROOF OF THIS
FOREGOING.

Denied RIGHT to subpoena
my material witnesses

Hostile conflict of interest
ATTORNEYS FORCE imposed
to REPRESENT me.

Denied PRO SE FARETTA
ON competency ISSUES after

I PASSED ALL 3 DOCTORS
Saying I am HIGHLY
competant to self REPRESENT

I NEVER PAID CL,
I NEVER GAVE HIM a

GUN NOR A PIECE of PAPER
I have witnesses, that were
not allowed to testify,
I DEMAND NEW TRIAL

Lead witness for Prosecution,
Detective Deputy CARLOS ARREDONDO,
FORT BEND COUNTY, TX, ON
the Re-DIRECT, on witness stand
was questioned by PROSECUTOR
KATY PETERSON: "IS
ANDREW BURKE GUILTY
of SOLICITATION CAPITAL MURDER?"
Deputy ARREDONDO answered: "NOT GUILTY"
THIS PART WAS REDACTED FROM
CONCLUSION WRITTEN COURT
TRANSCRIPTS.

Please ORDER COURTS to INVESTIGATE
The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted. AUDIO TRANSCRIPTS

Respectfully submitted,

ANDREW BURKE



Date: 8-13-25

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ANDREW BURKE — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

STATE OF TEXAS — RESPONDENT(S)


PROOF OF SERVICE

I, ANDREW BURKE, do swear or declare that on this date, August 13th, 2025, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I have served the enclosed MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS* and PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI on each party to the above proceeding or that party's counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing an envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed to each of them and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days.

The names and addresses of those served are as follows:

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on AUG. 13th, 2025



(Signature)

UNable to serve;
I am currently
in carcerated.
7.