

25-6968

No. \_\_\_\_\_

*ORIGINAL*

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED  
NOV 25 2025  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

In Re Le'henri Raphael Comier — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

VS.

Ryan F. Thornell — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Le'henri Raphael Comier

(Your Name)

26700 South Highway 85 #145499

(Address)

Buckeye, Arizona 85326-5024

(City, State, Zip Code)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Phone Number)

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

By what authority is the living body of Lehenri Raphael Comier being detained or held within the custody of Ryan F. Thornell and the Arizona Department of Corrections Rehabilitation and ReEntry?

Does Ryan F. Thornell the director of the Arizona Department of Corrections Rehabilitation and ReEntry have any adequate proof bearing the name of Lehenri Raphael Comier proving that his custody or detention of the Petitioner is lawful?

Does the detention of Lehenri Raphael Comier ~~without~~ without proof of any legal process against him violate the 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup> and 13<sup>th</sup> Amendments of the United States Constitution?

Whether Habeas Corpus relief is warranted to compel Respondent Ryan F. Thornell the director of the Arizona Department of Corrections Rehabilitation and ReEntry to justify or terminate Petitioners detention?

## LIST OF PARTIES

- All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## RELATED CASES

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

OPINIONS BELOW..... 1  
JURISDICTION.....  
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....  
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....  
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....  
CONCLUSION.....

**INDEX TO APPENDICES**

- APPENDIX A *Common Law Action "Great Writ" against Ryan F. Thornell*  
APPENDIX B *Certificate of Live Birth Dated: April 29<sup>th</sup>, 1980*  
APPENDIX C *Certificate of Innocence & Affidavit In Verity*  
APPENDIX D *Affidavit of Identity Dated September 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2025*  
APPENDIX E *Official Record of Maricopa County "Common Law Writ"*  
APPENDIX F *"Proposed Judgment"*

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

*I do not know of any cases?*

STATUTES AND RULES

*28 USC 2241 (3)*

OTHER

*?*

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of habeas corpus issue.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- reported at \_\_\_\_\_ <sup>N/A</sup> \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- reported at \_\_\_\_\_ <sup>N/A</sup> \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- reported at \_\_\_\_\_ <sup>N/A</sup> \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ <sup>N/A</sup> \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- reported at \_\_\_\_\_ <sup>N/A</sup> \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was N/A.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: N/A, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was N/A.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: N/A, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

*The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under Article 1 § 9, CL 2  
of the United States Constitution*

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- The Constitutional and Statutory Provisions Involved are:  
the 5<sup>th</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup> and 13<sup>th</sup> Amendment of the Constitution of the United States.
- As well as 28 USC 2241 (3).  
Petitioner hereinafter 'Claimant at Law' is in custody of the States Department of Corrections being directed by Ryan F. Thornell in violation of the Constitution and Laws of the United States and Petitioner / Claimant At Law is a citizen of the State of Alabama being unlawfully detained within the within the State of Arizona by State Agent Ryan F. Thornell
- This Court has Jurisdiction over this Writ of Habeas Corpus because Relief cannot be obtained in any other court except the U.S. Supreme Court.  
Also Jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to Article I § 9, Clause 2 of the Constitution of The United States.

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Petitioner asserts that he has been seized, detained and held by by state agents without any criminal or civil charges ever being filed against him.

Petitioner states that there exists no record of arrest, no record of accusation, no indictment bearing his name, no complaint and no judicial finding bearing his name establishing probable cause for his detention.

Petitioner further asserts that Ryan F. Thornell the Respondent and his subordinates have refused recognize the lawful true and proper identity of as an American.

Petitioner has repeatedly sought clarification and documentation establishing the lawful basis for his confinement, but Respondents have provided none.

Petitioner has been deprived of liberty without constitutional due process of law, without judicial oversight, and without any lawful order ~~authorizing~~ bearing his name authorizing his physical restraint.

Respondents have not produced any warrant, judgement, commitment order, or other lawful instrument authorizing Petitioner's confinement.

Petitioner continues to suffer on-going and irreparable harm as a result of this unlawful detention.

Petitioner has no adequate remedy at law because he is being physically restrained without legal authority.

Petitioner ~~has not been able to~~ cannot file any action in any State Courts or the U.S. District Court in Arizona because of the Respondents Identification Number - The Courts refer that \* to someone other than my name making any any attempts to file any Actions worthless to represent me.

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

*Unlawful Detention Without Charges Violate Constitutional Protections.*

*The Fourth Amendment prohibits unreasonable seizure and requires probable cause supported by oath of affirmation.*

*The Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment guarantee that no person shall be deprived of liberty without due process of law.*

*Detention without charges, without judicial authorization and without due process of law is unconstitutional, without such authority, continued detention is unlawful and must be immediately remedied.*

*Habeas Corpus Jurisdiction is proper where a state officer is acting without or in excess of legal authority.*

*Petitioner has no adequate remedy at law within any other court especially the Arizona Courts nor the Arizona District Court because he is being physically restrained without legal process, also he has no plain speedy or adequate remedy to challenge his continued physical restraint by Respondents.*

*The issues presented concern violations of constitutional rights, unlawful detention without charges, and failure to of Respondents to demonstrate lawful authority for Petitioner's confinement.*

*Petitioner Has Even Commenced A Commonlaw Action titled "Great Writ" against Respondent Ryan F. Thornell, sent it to him via U.S. Postal Mail System Certified Returned Receipt and he received it ~~however~~ and was required to respond within 3 days per 28 USC 2243 however he did not respond. Thus I informed that if he does not respond it would construed that he agree to the claims and that he consent to any judgment against him in favor of the Claimant At Law. thus he still did not respond - so I notified him that he ~~was~~ was in default of his opportunity to respond thus I would seek a judgment against him for the immediate release of the Claimant At Law - and the judgment was had and pronounced - and served on Respondents by the Claimant At Law. I filed and Recorded these Proceedings and Process in to the Official Records of Maricopa County for all of the People of the State to bear witness - but he has still failed to respond and Refused to release the petitioner.*

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of habeas corpus should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

*Joseph Raphael Comier*

Date: *February 17<sup>th</sup>, 1886*

**Additional material  
from this filing is  
available in the  
Clerk's Office.**