

No. 25-687

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES

RICHARD EUGENE BRYANT
Petitioner

v.

MARK BRAUNLICH
MARK GRUMMON
ANNAMARIE OSMENT
Respondent(s)

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari
To The United States Court of Appeals
for the Sixth Circuit

PETITION FOR REHEARING

Richard Eugene Bryant
Pro Se Litigant
P.O. Box 1321
Lincoln Park, Michigan
48146
313-633-4608
Yahwehspeople13@gmail.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Authorities.....pgii
Petition For Rehearing.....pg1
Reason for Granting Rehearing.....pg1
Conclusion.....pg3

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Bivens v. 6 unknown named agents 403 U.S. 388
(1971).....pg1,2
Jones v. Flowers, 547, U.S. 220 (2006).....pg2
Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust
Co. 339 U.S. 306 (1950).....pg2

Constitutional Provisions

Eighth Amendment of the United States
Constitution.....pg2

Other Authorities

Rule 44.2.....pg1

PETITION FOR REHEARING

Pursuant to Rule 44.2, of the Rules of the United States Supreme Court, Petitioner respectfully petitions for rehearing of this of this Court's February 23, 2026, Order denying the petition for a Writ of Certiorari.

REASON FOR GRANTING THE PETITION FOR REHEARING

Rule 44.2 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States allows petitioners to file petitions for rehearing of the denial of a petition for Writ of Certiorari and permits rehearing on the basis of "*intervening circumstances of a substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented.*"

On April 13, 2023, authority was requested and none was provided, no jurisdiction was established, obligation was requested and no law was identified; but enforcement continued and no contract signed or agreed upon by the petitioner with the respondents at any time. Jurisdiction was challenged in state court and federal court case 5:23-cv-12405, Statement of Claim.

The petitioner never received a court date, with Proof of Service prior to the warrant; which led to

the warrant being issued for missing a court date. No documents that were sent by the respondents were sent with Proof of Service. The petitioner never waived his right for Proof of Service. The warrant licence suspension and the case, should be void for no Proof of Service. *Jones v. Flowers*, 547, U.S. 220 (2006), and *Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co.* 339 U.S. 306 (1950)

The warrant is a cruel and unusual punishment restricting the petitioners right to travel, especially crossing international borders to spend time with family, with no valid claims, and imposing court costs, fines, or bail fees for the warrant, by the respondents; violating the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution. *Eighth Amendment*, “protects against imposing excessive bail, excessive fines, or cruel and unusual punishments.”

This claim falls under *Bivens v. 6 unknown named agents* 403 U.S. 388 (1971). The Supreme Court has made it clear; federal agents do not get immunity when they violate someone’s constitutional rights. The respondents acted under color of federal law, violating constitutional rights and this is federal conduct without lawful authority.

CONCLUSION

This court should grant this Petition for Rehearing.

Dated this 16th day of March, 2026.

Respectfully Submitted:
Richard Eugene Bryant
Pro Se Litigant
P.O. Box 1321
Lincoln Park, Michigan
48146
313-633-4608
Yahwehspeople13@gmail.com

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

Pursuant to Rule 44.2, I Richard Bryant, Pro Se Litigant, hereby certify that the petition for Rehearing is restricted to the grounds specified in Rule 44.2. I further certify that the petition for Rehearing is presented in good faith and not for delay.

March 16, 2026

Richard Eugene Bryant

No. 25-687

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Richard Eugene Bryant
Petitioner

v.

Mark Braunlich
Mark Grummon
Annamarie Osment
Respondent(s)

RULE 44.2 CERTIFICATE

Pursuant to Rule 44.2, the undersigned hereby certifies that the attached petition for rehearing of an order denying writ of certiorari is restricted to the grounds specified in Rule 44.2: It is limited to intervening circumstances of a substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented. Petitioner further certifies that the attached petition is presented in good faith and not for delay.

March 16, 2026

Richard Eugene Bryant

Richard Eugene Bryant
Pro Se
P.O. Box 1321
Lincoln Park, Michigan
48146
1-313-633-4608
Yahwehspeople13@gmail.com

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

No. 25-687

Richard Eugene Bryant

Petitioner

v.

Mark Braunlich

Mark Grummon

Annamarie Osment


Respondent(s)

As required by Supreme Court Rule 33.1(h), I certify that the petition for a writ of certiorari contains 444 words, excluding that parts of the petition that are exempted by Supreme Court Rule 33.1(d).

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on March 16, 2026.

Richard Eugene Bryant



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

March 16, 2026

Thomas D. Beindit (P81133)
Alexandra L. Page (P84663)
ROSATI, SCHULTZ, JOPPICH & AMTSBUECHLER, P.C. Attorneys for Monroe
County
Respondents-Appellees
822 Centennial Way, Ste. 270
Lansing, Michigan
48917 (517) 886-3800
tbeindit@rsjalaw.com
apage@rsjalaw.com

Representing:

Mark Braunlich
Mark Grummon
Annamarie Osment

Three copies of the petition for each Respondent, totalling nine copies.

7019 0160 0000 6409 1974 – Certified Mail
9590 9402 3920 8060 4202 99 – USPS Tracking #

Richard Eugene Bryant
Petitioner-Appellant
Pro-Se
P.O. Box 1321
Lincoln Park, MI 48146
(313) 633-4608
yahwehspeople13@gmail.com

Richard Eugene Bryant
Pro Se

Richard-eugene-Bryant

