

APPENDIX

Electronically Filed
Supreme Court
SCWC-23-0000408
04-NOV-2025
12:23 PM
Dkt. 5 ODAC

SCWC-23-0000408

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

AMERICAN EXPRESS NATIONAL BANK,
Respondent/Plaintiff-Appellee,

vs.

ABRON TOURE,
Petitioner/Defendant-Appellant.

CERTIORARI TO THE INTERMEDIATE COURT OF APPEALS
(CAAP-23-0000408; CASE NO. 1DRC-21-0003357)

ORDER REJECTING APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
(By: McKenna, Acting C.J., Eddins, Ginoza, and Devens, JJ., and
Circuit Judge Morikone, assigned by reason of vacancy)

Petitioner/Defendant-Appellant Abron Toure's application
for writ of certiorari filed on September 26, 2025, is rejected.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, November 4, 2025.

/s/ Sabrina S. McKenna

/s/ Todd W. Eddins

/s/ Lisa M. Ginoza

/s/ Vladimir P. Devens

/s/ Kevin T. Morikone



Electronically Filed
Intermediate Court of Appeals
CAAP-23-0000408
11-AUG-2025
08:13 AM
Dkt. 36 SO

NO. CAAP-23-0000408

IN THE INTERMEDIATE COURT OF APPEALS
OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

AMERICAN EXPRESS NATIONAL BANK, Plaintiff-Appellee, v.
ABRON TOURE, Defendant-Appellant

APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIRST CIRCUIT
HONOLULU DIVISION
(CASE NO. 1DRC-21-0003357)

SUMMARY DISPOSITION ORDER

(By: Nakasone, Chief Judge, Hiraoka and Guidry, JJ.)

Abron **Toure**, representing himself, appeals from the **Judgment** for American Express National Bank (**Amex**) entered by the District Court of the First Circuit, Honolulu Division on July 3, 2023. We affirm.

Amex sued Toure on April 7, 2021, to recover \$34,533.08 in credit card debt. Amex moved for summary judgment. Toure filed a response. The District Court granted the motion.¹ Toure moved to set aside the summary judgment. The District Court denied Toure's motion. The Judgment was entered on July 3, 2023. Toure appeals.

Toure's opening brief does not comply with Rule 28(b) of the Hawai'i Rules of Appellate Procedure (**HRAP**). To promote access to justice, we do not automatically foreclose him from

¹ The Honorable Timothy E. Ho presided.

appellate review because he didn't comply with court rules. Erum v. Llege, 147 Hawai'i 368, 380-81, 465 P.3d 815, 827-28 (2020). We address what we discern to be his arguments.

Toure contends the District Court erred by denying his motion to set aside the summary judgment. He argues that Amex's counsel "knowingly made false statements, that [sic] subverted the Law, Rules of Civil Procedure, and Rules of Professional Conduct."

Before we analyze Toure's motion to set aside, we must examine Amex's motion for summary judgment. Summary judgment is appropriate if the record shows there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law. Nozawa v. Operating Engineers Local Union No. 3, 142 Hawai'i 331, 342, 418 P.3d 1187, 1198 (2018).

Amex's motion for summary judgment was supported by the affidavit of its assistant custodian of records, Raquel **Hernandez**. Hernandez authenticated Toure's Amex Cardmember Agreement and his account statements showing charges, partial payments, and interest owed. Amex sustained its burden as summary judgment movant. See Cap. One Bank (USA), N.A. v. Huffman, No. CAAP-13-0003149, 2014 WL 6488771 (Haw. App. Nov. 18, 2014) (SDO).

The burden then shifted to Toure to "demonstrate specific facts, as opposed to general allegations, that present a genuine issue worthy of trial." Nozawa, 142 Hawai'i at 342, 418 P.3d at 1198. Toure's response was not supported by an affidavit or declaration or by any documentary evidence. See District Court Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 56(e); Rules of the District Courts of the State of Hawai'i Rule 7(g). Under these circumstances, the District Court did not err by granting Amex's motion for summary judgment.

Toure's motion to set aside requested reconsideration of the summary judgment. A motion for reconsideration allows a party to present new evidence or arguments that could not have been presented during the earlier motion; it "is not time to

NOT FOR PUBLICATION IN WEST'S HAWAII REPORTS AND PACIFIC REPORTER

relitigate old matters." Amfac, Inc. v. Waikiki Beachcomber Inv. Co., 74 Haw. 85, 114, 839 P.2d 10, 27 (1992). Denial of a motion for reconsideration is reviewed for abuse of discretion. Id. at 114, 839 P.2d at 26.

Toure's motion argued he had "[a] document/letter in [his] possession from American Express that calls into question [Amex]'s attorney's claim the debt was not assigned to a third party." The document/letter was not attached. Toure's opening brief refers to documents attached to his notice of appeal to support his argument that Amex "concealed the fact the debt had been assigned." We disregard those documents because they were not presented to the District Court and are not in the record on appeal. See HRAP Rules 10(a) & 28(b)(10). Toure's motion to set aside didn't explain why the documents could not have been presented to the District Court with his response to Amex's motion for summary judgment. Under these circumstances, the District Court did not abuse its discretion by denying Toure's motion to set aside.

The *Judgment* entered by the District Court on July 3, 2023, is affirmed. Toure's motion for retention of oral argument is denied.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, August 11, 2025.

On the briefs:

Abron Toure,
Self-represented
Defendant-Appellant.

Jason M. Oliver,
for Plaintiff-Appellee
American Express National
Bank.

/s/ Karen T. Nakasone
Chief Judge

/s/ Keith K. Hiraoka
Associate Judge

/s/ Kimberly T. Guidry
Associate Judge

NELSON & KENNARD, Attorneys at Law
MARVIN S.C. DANG 2221-0
JASON M. OLIVER 7621-0
RENEE FURUTA-BARNUM
P. O. Box 4109
Honolulu, Hawai'i 96812-4109
Telephone: (866) 920-2295

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Electronically Filed
FIRST CIRCUIT
1DRC-21-0003357
15-MAY-2023
10:46 AM
Dkt. 47 MDJ

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIRST CIRCUIT
HONOLULU DIVISION
STATE OF HAWAII

AMERICAN EXPRESS NATIONAL BANK,) CIVIL NO. 1DRC-21-0003357
) (Assumpsit)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) PLAINIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY
) JUDGMENT; MEMORANDUM IN
ABRON TOURE,) SUPPORT OF MOTION; AFFIDAVIT OF
) PLAINTIFF; EXH. A-B; NOTICE OF
Defendant.) HEARING MOTION; CERTIFICATE OF
) SERVICE
)
) Hearing:
) Date: June 5, 2023
) Time: 8:30 a.m.
) Judge: Presiding

Preview

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**