

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
Office of the Clerk

1 First Street NE
Washington, DC 20543

**RE: Supplemental Brief of Post-Petition State-Court Enforcement Actions
Submission Pursuant to Rule 15.8 – No. 25-681**

Zhi Wu, et al., Petitioners

v.

Superior Court of California, Alameda County, et al.

Case No. 25-681

Dear Clerk:

Petitioners respectfully submit this additional supplemental filing under Supreme Court Rule 15.8 to notify the Court of newly occurring state-court developments that arose after the petition was filed and served.

This supplement addresses the timing and circumstances surrounding the state court's entry of judgment following service of the petition for certiorari, as well as related post-judgment enforcement actions. These events are relevant to the due-process issues presented in the petition.

Petitioners submit this notice to ensure the Court is aware of intervening procedural developments bearing on the questions already before it.

Please advise if any further information is required. Petitioners thank the Clerk's Office for its attention.


Respectfully submitted,

Lei Jiang
Petitioner, pro se

Zhi Wu
Petitioner, pro se
5612 Evolene St
Danville, CA 94506
(512) 300-5698
oliver_zwu@hotmail.com
Enclosures

1/29/2026

1/29/2026



No. 25-681

In the
Supreme Court of the United States

ZHI WU, LEI JIANG,

Petitioners,

v.


SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, ALAMEDA
COUNTY, COLDWELL BANKER REALTY,
KEVIN CHU, AIMEE RAN SONG, AND
XIAOXIN CHEN

Respondents.

**ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA**

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF FOR PETITIONERS

ZHI WU
Pro Se Petitioner
LEI JIANG
Pro Se Petitioner
5612 Evolene Street
Danville, CA 94506
(512) 300-5698
oliver_zwu@hotmail.com



SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF POST-PETITION STATE-COURT ENFORCEMENT

ACTIONS

(Pursuant to Rule 15.8)

Petitioners respectfully submit this Supplemental Notice pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 15.8 to inform the Court of post-petition state-court enforcement actions that occurred after Respondents were served with the petition for certiorari. These actions arose while Petitioners' jurisdictional and constitutional challenges remained pending and are reported here as intervening factual developments relevant to the posture and practical effects of the proceedings. Petitioners do not seek to supplement the legal arguments presented in the petition, but to place these subsequent events before the Court for completeness of the record.

1. Entry of Judgment Following Service of Petition.

Petitioners filed their Petition for a Writ of Certiorari on November 18, 2025. Opposing counsel were served with the Petition on November 21, 2025. On that same date, the Superior Court of California signed an amended default judgment proposed by Respondents without a hearing.

2. Change in Counsel of Record.

After Petitioners inquired with the trial court clerk regarding irregularities in the electronic docketing of the default judgment, Petitioners received notice that one of Respondents' attorneys of record was no longer affiliated with the law firm previously appearing in the case. Petitioners make no representation regarding the reason for this change but note the timing as part of the procedural history.

3. Acceleration of Enforcement Measures.

Following entry of judgment, Respondents initiated enforcement actions, including recording abstracts of judgment, seeking writs of execution, and demanding compliance with specific-performance provisions compelling the forced sale of Petitioners' real property on an expedited timetable.

4. Ex Parte Enforcement Efforts Despite Pending Challenges.

After Petitioners filed motions to vacate default judgments and to stay enforcement, Respondents sought ex parte relief to appoint an elisor to execute conveyance documents. The trial court declined to rule the ex parte until adjudication of the pending motions and advanced the hearing dates on its own motion.

5. Risk of Irreversible Consequences.

These enforcement efforts, if allowed to proceed, risk effecting irreversible transfers of property and financial harm before review can be completed.

Petitioners submit this notice to inform the Court of post-petition developments that bear on the procedural posture of the case and may materially affect the availability of meaningful relief. The post-petition developments also bear directly on Petitioners' due process claims already presented. Petitioners do not request emergency or interim relief by this filing.

Respectfully submitted,
Lei Jiang 
Pro Se Petitioner
Zhi Wu 
Pro Se Petitioner
5612 Evolene St
Danville, CA 94506
(512) 300-5698
oliver_zwu@hotmail.com

January 29, 2026

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

(Rule 29.5(c); 28 U.S.C. § 1746)

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 25-681

----- X

ZHI WU, et al., Petitioners,

v.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, ALAMEDA COUNTY, et al., Respondents.

----- X

I, Zhi Wu, declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746 that I am a pro se petitioner in the above-captioned matter and over the age of 18. This Declaration of Service relates solely to Petitioners' *Supplemental Brief of Post-Petition State-Court Enforcement Actions* filed under Rule 15.8.

On the 30th day of January, 2026, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the within Petitioners' *Supplemental Brief of Post-Petition State-Court Enforcement Actions* Under Rule 15.8 by sending the same via Certified United States Mail, first-class postage prepaid, properly addressed to counsel of record for Respondents as follows:

Daniel Ballesteros
55 South Market Street, Suite 900
San Jose, CA 95113 United States
D: +1.408.947.2416
O: +1.408.287.9501
dan.ballesteros@hogefenton.com

Eric T. Hartnett
Law Office of Eric T. Hartnett
563 S. Murphy Avenue
Sunnyvale, California 94086
Telephone: (408) 290-8228
Ehartnett@erichartnettlaw.com


On the same date, I caused forty copies of the foregoing document to be filed with this Court via United Parcel Service, postage prepaid.

All parties required to be served have been served.

Service was made in accordance with **Supreme Court Rules 29.2 and 29.5(c)**.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on the 30th day of January, 2026.



Zhi Wu
Pro Se Petitioner

