APPENDIX A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

M NORMAN HAMMERLORD,

Plaintiff,

v.

MARA W ELLIOTT, San Diego City Attorney, and TODD GLORIA, San Diego City Mayor,

Defendants.

Case No.: 23cv663-JO-KSC

ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND DISMISSING COMPLAINT

On April 12, 2023, *pro se* Plaintiff M Norman Hammerlord filed a complaint alleging that Defendants San Diego City Attorney Mara Elliott and San Diego City Mayor Todd Gloria violated his rights by refusing to give him public records. Dkt. 1 ("Compl."). Plaintiff also filed a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"). Dkt. 2. For the following reasons, the Court grants Plaintiff's IFP request and dismisses the complaint in full under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2).

I. BACKGROUND

Plaintiff Hammerlord, a victim of two incidents of assault, brings this lawsuit to challenge Defendants' refusal to provide him with the public records relating to those events. *See* Compl. Plaintiff, a 78-year-old veteran living in San Diego, states that he was first assaulted on August 25, 2019, when an unidentified individual threatened and "sucker

punch[ed] him." *Id.* ¶ 2. Plaintiff alleges he was assaulted again by the same unidentified individual on December 10, 2019, when the individual "rode his bicycle into Plaintiff," and threw Plaintiff to the ground. *Id.* ¶ 3. Plaintiff reported both of these assaults to the police, who forwarded the matter to the San Diego City Attorney for further action. *Id.* ¶ 4. Over the next six months, the City Attorney's Office allegedly refused Plaintiff's numerous requests to speak with an employee about the status of the case and to obtain "a copy of the City Attorney file pertaining to Plaintiff." *Id.* ¶¶ 5–7. On July 13, 2020, the City informed Plaintiff that it decided not to charge the unidentified individual for the assaults against Plaintiff. *Id.* ¶ 8. In August 2020, Plaintiff filed suit in California superior court against Defendants to obtain the public records related to the assaults. *Id.* ¶¶ 10–14. The state court dismissed Plaintiff's complaint on March 26, 2021. *Id.* ¶ 15. Plaintiff then filed suit in this court on April 12, 2023.

Based on the above facts, Plaintiff brings five claims against Defendants Elliott and Gloria. Plaintiff alleges two claims under federal law: (1) violation of federal criminal laws 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242, and (2) violation of his due process rights under § 1983.[1] Plaintiff also brings three state law claims based on (1) violation of the California Public Records Act ("CPRA"), Cal. Gov. Code § 7920 et seq.; (2) violation of the Victims' Bill of Rights Act of 2008; and (3) elder abuse.

II. PLAINTIFF'S IFP MOTION

Upon review of Plaintiff's affidavit in support of his IFP motion, the Court finds that Plaintiff has made a sufficient showing of inability to pay the filing fee required to prosecute this action. *See* Dkt. 2. Accordingly, the motion is granted.

///

///

///

[1] Plaintiff's complaint alleges that Defendants violated his constitutional right to due process by refusing to give him the documents he requested. The Court liberally construes this claim under § 1983.

III. LEGAL STANDARD

Because Plaintiff was granted leave to proceed IFP, his Complaint must undergo a *sua sponte* screening for dismissal. A complaint filed by any person proceeding IFP pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) is subject to a mandatory and *sua sponte* review and dismissal by the Court to the extent it is frivolous, malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B); *Calhoun v. Stahl*, 254 F.3d 845, 845 (9th Cir. 2001) ("[T]he provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) are not limited to prisoners.").

"The standard for determining whether a plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted under § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) is the same as the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) standard for failure to state a claim." *Watison v. Carter*, 668 F.3d 1108, 1112 (9th Cir. 2012); *see also Barren v. Harrington*, 152 F.3d 1193, 1194 (9th Cir. 1998) (noting that "[t]he language of § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) parallels the language of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6)."). Rule 12(b)(6) requires that a complaint "contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009) (internal quotation marks omitted). Detailed factual allegations are not required, but "[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action, supported by mere conclusory statements, do not suffice." *Id.* at 678. *Pro se* complaints are construed "liberally" and may be dismissed for failure to state a claim only "if it appears beyond doubt that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts in support of his claim which would entitle him to relief." *Wilhelm v. Rotman*, 680 F.3d 1113, 1121 (9th Cir. 2012) (internal quotation marks omitted).

IV. DISCUSSION

Upon screening Plaintiff's complaint, the Court identifies the following deficiencies. First, Plaintiff does not have a viable claim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242 because these are federal criminal statutes that cannot be enforced by private citizens in a civil suit. Second, Plaintiff fails to state a claim under § 1983 because Defendants' alleged refusal to provide him with documents does not violate any constitutional right. The Court first

addresses each of these issues and then turns to examining its jurisdiction over the remaining state law claims.

A. Plaintiff Cannot Bring Claims Under Federal Criminal Statutes

First, the Court dismisses Plaintiff's claims under 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242 because a private plaintiff cannot sue under these federal criminal statutes. *See Allen v. Gold Country Casino*, 464 F.3d 1044, 1048 (9th Cir. 2006) (holding that 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242 do not provide a private right of action). Plaintiff is a private citizen and thus, cannot bring claims under §§ 241 and 242. Accordingly, his claim is not viable and must be dismissed.

B. Plaintiff's § 1983 Claim Does Not Allege Violation of a Constitutional Right

Second, the Court dismisses Plaintiff's § 1983 due process claim based on Defendants' alleged refusal to give him public records because the refusal to provide public records is not a constitutional violation. A § 1983 claim must be based on the violation of constitutional or federal rights, and cannot rest on a violation of state law. *See Nurre v. Whitehead*, 580 F.3d 1087, 1092 (9th Cir. 2009); *Galen v. Cnty. of Los Angeles*, 477 F.3d 652, 662 (9th Cir. 2007). Although the CPRA confers certain state law rights to public records, "[n]either the First Amendment nor the Fourteenth Amendment mandates a right of access to government information or sources of information within the government's control." *Houchins v. KQED, Inc.*, 438 U.S. 1, 16 (1978); *Cortland v. Myers*, 498 F. App'x 733 (9th Cir. 2012) (affirming dismissal of § 1983 claim based on state public records act because state law did not create "a federal right"). Here, Plaintiff brings a due process claim based on Defendants' alleged refusal to provide him with the public records in their possession related to the two times he was assaulted. *See* Compl. Because federal due process does not include a right to public records and because the state law right to access public records does not create a federal right, Plaintiff fails to allege the violation of any constitutional or federal right. His § 1983 claim must therefore be dismissed.

///

///

C. Dismissal With Prejudice

Plaintiff's federal claims are dismissed with prejudice because no additional factual allegations could remedy the legal insufficiency of his claims. Dismissal with prejudice is warranted where amendment would be futile because flaws in the claims cannot be cured. *Chaset v. Fleer/Skybox Int'l, LP*, 300 F.3d 1083, 1088 (9th Cir. 2002) (finding leave to amend futile where "plaintiffs cannot cure the basic flaw in their pleading"); *see also, e.g.*, *Brooks v. Vallejo City Unified School Dist.*, 2013 WL 943460, at *4 (E.D. Cal. Mar.11, 2013) (dismissing without leave to amend § 1983 claim challenging the defendants' responses to CPRA requests). First, because Plaintiff has no right of action under federal criminal laws no matter what facts he alleges, any amendment of his §§ 241 and 242 claims would be futile. *See Allen*, 464 F.3d at 1048. Second, because there is no federal constitutional right to obtain public records, Plaintiff's dispute with Defendants over public records cannot be litigated under § 1983. *Houchins*, 438 U.S. 1; *Cortland*, 498 F. App'x 733. Given that the entirety of Plaintiff's dispute with Defendants is based on their refusal to give him public records, further amendment consistent with the original allegations would be futile. Accordingly, Plaintiff's federal claims under the current allegations are dismissed with prejudice.

D. The Court Declines Jurisdiction Over Plaintiff's Remaining State Law Claims

Because the Court has dismissed Plaintiff's federal claims, it declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's remaining state law claims. A court may exercise supplemental jurisdiction to hear a plaintiff's state law claims that "derive from a common nucleus of operative fact[s]" as his or her federal claims. 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c); *Mendoza v. Zirkle Fruit Co.*, 301 F.3d 1163, 1174 (9th Cir. 2002). But where it has dismissed all federal claims over which it had original jurisdiction, it may decline to extend its jurisdiction to the remaining state claims. *See id.*; *Sanford v. MemberWorks, Inc.*, 625 F.3d 550, 561 (9th Cir. 2010). In deciding whether to continue to exercise supplemental jurisdiction, the court considers the interests of judicial economy, convenience, fairness, and comity. *City of Chicago v. Int'l College of Surgeons*, 522 U.S. 156, 173 (1997); *Smith*

v. Lenches, 263 F.3d 972, 977 (9th Cir. 2001). Here, the Court declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's remaining state law claims. Because the Court has dismissed all of Plaintiff's federal claims—the claims that conferred original jurisdiction—the Court need not exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the remaining state law claims. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c); *Sanford*, 625 F.3d at 561 ("[I]n the usual case in which all federal-law claims are eliminated before trial, the balance of factors to be considered under the pendent jurisdiction doctrine—judicial economy, convenience, fairness, and comity—will point toward declining to exercise jurisdiction over the remaining state-law claims.") (quoting *Carnegie–Mellon Univ. v. Cohill*, 484 U.S. 343, 350 n. 7 (1988)). Thus, Plaintiff's state law claims are dismissed without prejudice to refiling in state court.

V. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set out above, the Court GRANTS Plaintiff's motion to proceed IFP [Dkt. 2] and DISMISSES Plaintiff's complaint in full under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) [Dkt. 1]. The Clerk is instructed to close the case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 9, 2023

Hon. Jinsook Ohta
United States District Judge

APPENDIX B

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

M. NORMAN HAMMERLORD,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

MARA W. ELLIOTT, San Diego City Attorney and TODD GLORIA, San Diego City Mayor,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 24-1095

D.C. No.
3:23-cv-00663-JO-KSC
Southern District of California,
San Diego

ORDER

On June 26, 2024, the district court granted appellant's February 22, 2024 motion. This appeal will move forward based on appellant's February 22, 2024, notice of appeal.

The opening brief was filed on March 18, 2024. Because there is no appearance by appellees, briefing is complete. Appellant's motion for appointment of counsel and all other pending motions will be addressed by separate order.

FOR THE COURT:

MOLLY C. DWYER
CLERK OF COURT

DOCKET 9

APPENDIX C

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

JUL 17 2025

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

M. NORMAN HAMMERLORD,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

MARA W. ELLIOTT, San Diego City Attorney; TODD GLORIA, San Diego City Mayor,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 24-1095

D.C. No. 3:23-cv-00663-JO-KSC

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of California
Jinsook Ohta, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted July 15, 2025**

Before: SILVERMAN, TALLMAN, and BUMATAY, Circuit Judges.

M. Norman Hammerlord appeals pro se from the district court's order striking post-judgment filings in his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging various claims. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review for an abuse of

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

discretion. *Ready Transp., Inc. v. AAR Mfg., Inc.*, 627 F.3d 402, 403-04 (9th Cir. 2010). We affirm.

The district court did not abuse its discretion in striking Hammerlord's post-judgment filings, which were filed months after the district court dismissed the complaint with prejudice, closed the case, and denied Hammerlord's motion for reconsideration of the dismissal. *See id.* at 404 (holding that district courts have the inherent power to control their dockets, including the power to strike filings from the docket).

To the extent that Hammerlord seeks to challenge the district court's orders dismissing the complaint without leave to amend or denying the motion for reconsideration, we lack jurisdiction because Hammerlord failed to file a timely notice of appeal as to those orders. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A), 4(a)(4)(vi); *United States v. Sadler*, 480 F.3d 932, 937 (9th Cir. 2007) (requirement of timely notice of appeal is jurisdictional).

All pending motions are denied.

AFFIRMED.

APPENDIX D

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

M. NORMAN HAMMERLORD,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

MARA W. ELLIOTT, San Diego City Attorney and TODD GLORIA, San Diego City Mayor,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 24-1095

D.C. No. 3:23-cv-00663-JO-KSC
Southern District of California,
San Diego

ORDER

Before: SILVERMAN, TALLMAN, and BUMATAY, Circuit Judges.

The petition (Docket Entry No. 13) for panel rehearing is denied.

The motion (Docket Entry No. 13) to stay the mandate is denied. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 41(b). The mandate will issue in due course.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

DOCKET 14

APPENDIX E

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

M. NORMAN HAMMERLORD,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

MARA W. ELLIOTT, San Diego City Attorney and TODD GLORIA, San Diego City Mayor,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 24-1095

D.C. No.
3:23-cv-00663-JO-KSC

Southern District of California,
San Diego

MANDATE

The judgment of this Court, entered July 17, 2025, takes effect this date.

This constitutes the formal mandate of this Court issued pursuant to Rule 41(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

FOR THE COURT:

MOLLY C. DWYER
CLERK OF COURT

APPENDIX F

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
County of SAN DIEGO

Register of Actions Notice

Case Number:	37-2020-00027757-CL-CR-CTL	Filing Date:	08/07/2020
Case Title:	HAMMERLORD vs ELLIOT [IMAGED]	Case Age:	1360 days
Case Status:	Pending	Location:	Central
Case Category:	Civil - Limited	Judicial Officer:	Carolyn Caietti
Case Type:	Civil Rights	Department:	C-70

Future Events

Date	Time	Department	Event
No future events			

Participants

Name	Role	Representation
ELLIOT, MARA W	Defendant, Respondent on Appeal	ATKINS, ELIZABETH L
HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN	Petitioner, Plaintiff, Appellant	Self-Represented

Representation

Name	Address	Phone Number
ATKINS, ELIZABETH L	OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY 1200 THIRD AVENUE SUITE 1100 SAN DIEGO CA 92101 4100	(619) 533-5800
HAMMERLORD, M N	3955 PARK Boulevard 303 SAN DIEGO CA 92103	(619) 807-4218

ROA#	Entry Date	Short/Long Entry	Filed By
1	08/07/2020	Complaint Demanding Less than $10,000 filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN. Refers to: ELLIOT, MARA	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
2	08/07/2020	Civil Case Cover Sheet filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN. Refers to: ELLIOT, MARA	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
3	08/07/2020	Request to Waive Court Fees filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN. Refers to: ELLIOT, MARA	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
4	08/07/2020	Case assigned to Judicial Officer Trapp, Randa.	
5	08/10/2020	Civil Case Management Conference scheduled for 04/02/2021 at 09:50:00 AM at Central in C-70 Randa Trapp.	
6	08/10/2020	Case initiation form printed.	
7	08/10/2020	Proof of Service by Mail submitted by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN rejected on 08/10/2020.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
8	08/13/2020	Order on Court Fee Waiver (Granted) filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
9	08/07/2020	Original Summons filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN. Refers to: ELLIOT, MARA	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
10	08/14/2020	Summons issued.	
11	10/22/2020	Demurrer / Motion to Strike scheduled for 03/26/2021 at 11:00:00 AM at Central in C-70 Randa Trapp.	
12	10/22/2020	Demurrer filed by ELLIOT, MARA W.	ELLIOT, MARA W (Defendant)
13	10/22/2020	Memorandum of Points and Authorities (in Support of Demurrer) filed by ELLIOT, MARA W.	ELLIOT, MARA W (Defendant)
14	10/22/2020	Declaration - Other (of Elizabeth L Atkins in Support of Demurrer) filed by ELLIOT, MARA W.	ELLIOT, MARA W (Defendant)
15	10/22/2020	Proof of Service filed by ELLIOT, MARA W.	ELLIOT, MARA W (Defendant)

	16	11/10/2020	Opposition to Noticed Motion and Supporting Declarations (to demurrer (hearing 3/26/2021)) filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
	17	11/27/2020	Civil Case Management Conference reassigned to Caietti, Carolyn for 04/02/2021 at 09:50:00 AM in C-70 at Central.	
	18	11/27/2020	Demurrer / Motion to Strike reassigned to Caietti, Carolyn for 03/26/2021 at 11:00:00 AM in C-70 at Central.	
	19	11/27/2020	Case reassigned from Trapp,Randa to Caietti,Carolyn effective 11/27/2020	
	20	11/27/2020	Demurrer / Motion to Strike rescheduled to 03/26/2021 at 10:30:00 AM in C-70 before Carolyn Caietti at Central.	
	21	11/27/2020	Civil Case Management Conference rescheduled to 04/02/2021 at 09:30:00 AM in C-70 before Carolyn Caietti at Central.	
	22	03/18/2021	Reply to Opposition of Noticed Motion and Supporting Declarations filed by ELLIOT, MARA W.	ELLIOT, MARA W (Defendant)
	23	03/18/2021	Case Management Statement filed by ELLIOT, MARA W.	ELLIOT, MARA W (Defendant)
	24	03/18/2021	Proof of Service by Mail filed by ELLIOT, MARA W.	ELLIOT, MARA W (Defendant)
	25	03/24/2021	Tentative Ruling for Demurrer / Motion to Strike published.	
	26	03/24/2021	Case Management Statement filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	
	27	03/26/2021	The Civil Case Management Conference was rescheduled to 03/26/2021 at 10:30:00 AM in C-70 before Carolyn Caietti at Central.	
	28	03/26/2021	Civil Case Management Conference scheduled for 03/26/2021 at 10:30:00 AM at Central in C-70 Carolyn Caietti.	
S	29	03/26/2021	Minutes finalized for Demurrer / Motion to Strike heard 03/26/2021 10:30:00 AM.	
	30	03/26/2021	Clerk's Certificate of Service By Mail (Minutes Only) SD generated.	
	33	03/26/2021	Pursuant to California Rules of Court 3.714, the Court, after having met and conferred with counsel, categorizes this case as one that will be disposed of within 24 months.	
	34	03/26/2021	Trial Readiness Conference (Civil) scheduled for 01/21/2022 at 09:00AM before Judge Carolyn Caietti.	
	35	03/26/2021	Civil Jury Trial scheduled for 02/04/2022 at 08:45AM before Judge Carolyn Caietti.	
	36	03/26/2021	Jury demanded by both. Unpaid jury fees due by 05/21/2021.	
	37	03/26/2021	Minutes finalized for Civil Case Management Conference heard 03/26/2021 10:30:00 AM.	
	38	03/26/2021	Notice of Hearing SD generated.	
	39	03/26/2021	Notice of Hearing SD generated.	
	40	04/02/2021	Notice of Jury Fee Deposit filed by ELLIOT, MARA W.	ELLIOT, MARA W (Defendant)
	41	03/26/2021	Appointment of Official Reporter Pro Tempore (Christina Lother, CSR#8624) filed by The Superior Court of San Diego.	
	42	04/09/2021	Motion Hearing (Civil) scheduled for 07/23/2021 at 10:30:00 AM at Central in C-70 Carolyn Caietti.	
S	43	04/13/2021	Motion to Dismiss filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
	44	04/13/2021	Proof of Service by Mail filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
S	47	04/28/2021	Court ordered entire action dismissed without prejudice.	
	48	04/28/2021	Miscellaneous Minute Order Finalized.	
	49	04/28/2021	Notice of Dismissal SD generated.	

	50	04/28/2021	Civil Jury Trial scheduled for 02/04/2022 at 08:45:00 AM at Central in C-70 Carolyn Caietti was vacated.	
	51	04/28/2021	Trial Readiness Conference (Civil) scheduled for 01/21/2022 at 09:00:00 AM at Central in C-70 Carolyn Caietti was vacated.	
	52	04/28/2021	Motion Hearing (Civil) scheduled for 07/23/2021 at 10:30:00 AM at Central in C-70 Carolyn Caietti was vacated.	
	53	06/28/2021	Order on Court Fee Waiver, filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN, on 08/14/2020, has expired.	
✓	54	09/01/2022	Statement - Other (Memorandum for the Record) filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
	55	05/01/2023	Motion - Other submitted by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN rejected on 05/01/2023.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
	56	05/03/2023	Motion Hearing (Civil) scheduled for 07/28/2023 at 10:30:00 AM at Central in C-70 Carolyn Caietti.	
	57	05/04/2023	Motion Hearing (Civil) scheduled for 09/01/2023 at 10:30:00 AM at Central in C-70 Carolyn Caietti.	
✓	58	05/08/2023	Motion - Other (to Reopen) filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
✓	59	05/08/2023	Motion - Other (for Reconsideration) filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
	60	05/08/2023	Request to Waive Court Fees filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
	61	05/09/2023	Order on Court Fee Waiver (GRANTED IN WHOLE) filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
	62	05/10/2023	Order on Court Fee Waiver, filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN, on 05/09/2023, has expired.	
	63	05/23/2023	Proof of Service by Mail filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
	64	07/13/2023	Opposition - Other (TO PLAINTIFFS MOTION TO REOPEN AND MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION) filed by ELLIOT, MARA W.	ELLIOT, MARA W (Defendant)
	65	07/18/2023	Reply to Opposition of Noticed Motion and Supporting Declarations (Plaintiff's reply to defendants' opposition to motion to reopen) filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
	66	07/21/2023	Proof of Service (proof of hand delivery) filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
	67	07/26/2023	Tentative Ruling for Motion Hearing (Civil) published.	
	68	07/21/2023	Proof of Service filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
✓	69	07/28/2023	Minutes finalized for Motion Hearing (Civil) heard 07/28/2023 10:30:00 AM.	
	70	07/28/2023	Clerk's Certificate of Service By Mail (Minutes Only) SD generated.	
	71	07/28/2023	Motion Hearing (Civil) scheduled for 09/01/2023 at 10:30:00 AM at Central in C-70 Carolyn Caietti was vacated.	
	72	08/01/2023	Motion Hearing (Civil) scheduled for 03/01/2024 at 10:30:00 AM at Central in C-70 Carolyn Caietti.	
	73	08/04/2023	Motion Hearing (Civil) scheduled for 03/01/2024 at 10:30:00 AM at Central in C-70 Carolyn Caietti.	
	74	08/04/2023	Motion Hearing (Civil) scheduled for 03/08/2024 at 10:30:00 AM at Central in C-70 Carolyn Caietti.	
	75	08/04/2023	Motion Hearing (Civil) scheduled for 03/08/2024 at 10:30:00 AM at Central in C-70 Carolyn Caietti.	
✓	76	08/02/2023	Notice - Other (Memorandum for the record, and petition for access to the courts) filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
	77	08/16/2023	Motion - Other (MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES, AND PETITION FOR HEARING) submitted by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN rejected on 08/16/2023.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)

78	08/18/2023	Request to Waive Court Fees submitted by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN rejected on 08/18/2023.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
79	09/06/2023	Motion - Other (FOR WRIT OF MANDATE) filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
80	09/06/2023	Memorandum of Points and Authorities filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
81	09/06/2023	Petition for Hearing filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
82	09/06/2023	Post-Disposition Request to Waive Court Fees filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
83	09/08/2023	Post-Disposition Order on Court Fee Waiver (GRANTED IN WHOLE) filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
84	09/01/2023	Proof of Service filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
85	10/16/2023	Motion to Challenge for Cause (Motion to Recuse: and Statement of Disqualification of Judge with Affidavit and Verification) filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
86	10/16/2023	Motion - Other (MOTION TO RESCUE) filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
87	10/16/2023	Post-Disposition Request to Waive Court Fees filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
88	10/18/2023	Post-Disposition Order on Court Fee Waiver (GRANTED IN WHOLE) filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Plaintiff)
89	10/23/2023	Order - Other (ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF M. NORMAN HAMMERLORDS'S STATEMENT OF DISQUALIFICATION OF JUDGE CAROLYN M. CAIETTI) filed by The Superior Court of San Diego.	
90	10/23/2023	Clerk's Certificate of Service By Mail SD generated.	
91	10/20/2023	Petition for Extraordinary Writ of Mandate was filed with the Appellate Division by M. Norman Hammerlord	
92	10/24/2023	Proof of Service (POS for Petition for Extraordinary Writ of Mandate) filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Petitioner)
93	11/08/2023	Order on Court Fee Waiver, filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN, on 09/08/2023, has expired.	
94	11/09/2023	Motion - Other (Amended Motion to Recuse and Statement of Disqualification of Judge With Affidavit) filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Petitioner)
95	11/08/2023	Request to Waive Court Fees filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Petitioner)
96	11/14/2023	Order on Court Fee Waiver filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Petitioner)
97	11/15/2023	Order on Court Fee Waiver, filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN, on 11/14/2023, has expired.	
98	11/15/2023	Order - Other (Order Striking Plaintiff M. Hammerlord's Statement of Disqualification of Judge Carolyn M. Caietti) filed by The Superior Court of San Diego.	
99	11/15/2023	Clerk's Certificate of Service By Mail SD generated.	
100	11/14/2023	Proof of Service filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Petitioner)
101	11/14/2023	Proof of Service filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Petitioner)
102	11/28/2023	Motion Hearing (Civil) scheduled for 03/01/2024 at 10:30:00 AM at Central in C-70 Carolyn Caietti was vacated.	
103	11/28/2023	Motion Hearing (Civil) scheduled for 03/08/2024 at 10:30:00 AM at Central in C-70 Carolyn Caietti was vacated.	
104	11/28/2023	Motion Hearing (Civil) scheduled for 03/08/2024 at 10:30:00 AM at Central in C-70 Carolyn Caietti was vacated.	
105	11/28/2023	[A document for ROA# 105]	

105	11/28/2023	Request to Waive Court Fees submitted by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN rejected on 11/28/2023.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Petitioner)
106	11/29/2023	Order (FROM COURT OF APPEAL (WRIT OF MANDATE DENIED)) filed by The Superior Court of San Diego.	
107	11/27/2023	Notice of Appeal (APPEALING 11/15/23 ORDER) filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN. Refers to: ELLIOT, MARA	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Appellant)
108	11/30/2023	Notice of Filing SD generated.	
109	11/14/2023	Petition - Subsequent Other (Petition for Extraordinary Writ of Mandate with Affadavit) filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Petitioner)
110	01/09/2024	Remittitur (37-2023-00200163-CL-CR-CTL) filed by The Superior Court of San Diego.	
111	01/09/2024	Notice of Appeal dismissed without prejudice as to ELLIOT, MARA W .	
112	01/09/2024	Notice of Appeal dismissed without prejudice as to HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN .	
113	01/09/2024	Receipt for Record on Appeal (Receipt for Documents/Exhibits) filed by The Superior Court of San Diego.	
115	02/15/2024	Motion Hearing (Civil) set for 3/1/2024 at 10:30 am vacated.	
116	02/15/2024	Miscellaneous Minute Order Finalized.	
117	02/28/2024	Clerk's Certificate of Service By Mail SD generated.	
118	02/29/2024	[Another document for ROA# 118]	
118	02/29/2024	Notice of Appeal filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN. Refers to: ELLIOT, MARA	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Appellant)
119	03/18/2024	Motion (Motion for Ext of Time to File Appellant's Notice of Designation of Record on Appeal) filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Appellant)
121	03/18/2024	Request to Waive Court Fees filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Appellant)
122	03/19/2024	Order on Court Fee Waiver (Granted) filed by The Superior Court of San Diego. Refers to: HAMMERLORD, M	
123	03/20/2024	Application and Order Correcting Court Record (for ROA 120 incorrect filing document name) filed by The Superior Court of San Diego.	
124	03/29/2024	Motion (Appellant's Petition for Expedited Proceedings and Application for Order Correcting Court Records) filed by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Appellant)
125	04/04/2024	Order filed by The Superior Court of San Diego.	
126	04/04/2024	Notice - Other (Petitioner's First Amended Petition for Extraordinary Writ of Mandate--Service copy) submitted by HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN received but not filed on 04/04/2024.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Appellant)
128	04/12/2024	Notice - Other (ADDENDUM TO PETITIONER'S FIRST AMENDED PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT OF MANDATE (SERVICE COPY)) submitted by The Superior Court of San Diego received but not filed on 04/12/2024.	HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN (Appellant)
129	04/17/2024	Remittitur filed by The Superior Court of San Diego.	
130	04/17/2024	Notice of Appeal dismissed without prejudice as to ELLIOT, MARA W .	
131	04/17/2024	Notice of Appeal dismissed without prejudice as to HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN .	

132	04/17/2024	Judgment was entered as follows: Judgment entered for ELLIOT, MARA W and against HAMMERLORD, M NORMAN for $ 0.00, punitive damages: $ 0.00, attorney fees: $ 0.00, interest: $ 0.00, prejudgment costs: $ 0.00, other costs: $ 0.00, amount payable to court: $ .00, for a grand total of $ 0.00.
133	04/17/2024	Order (from DCA D083889 - Writ denied) filed by The Superior Court of San Diego.
134	04/16/2024	Application and Order Correcting Court Record filed by The Superior Court of San Diego.