

No. 25-6639

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

IN RE: DEON D. COLVIN —PETITIONER

**ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS TO THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT**

PROPOSED SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF

DEON D. COLVIN

(Your Name)

743 FAIRMONT STREET NW #211

(Address)

WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, 20001

(City, State, Zip Code)

216-396-8512

(Phone Number)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....2

I. ADDITIONAL PROCEEDINGS.....2

II. SUPPLEMENTAL ARGUMENTS REGARDING THE
IMPACT OF THE ADDITIONAL PROCEEDINGS
ON THE PETITION.....3

CONCLUSION & PRAYER FOR RELIEF.....4

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Deon Colvin v. D.C. Court of Appeals et al., Docket No. [2158562], Unpublished
(D.C. Cir. February 11, 2026) (order denying motion to stay the mandate).....2,3,4

Deon D. Colvin v. D.C. Court of Appeals et al., Docket No. [2155726], Unpublished
(D.C. Cir. January 23, 2026)(order denying motion to stay proceedings).....2,3

Deon D. Colvin v. D.C. Court of Appeals et al., Docket No. [2155728], Unpublished
(D.C. Cir. January 23, 2026)(order denying motion for rehearing en banc).....2,3

Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 41.....4

I. ADDITIONAL PROCEEDINGS

On January 23, 2026, the D.C. Circuit denied Petitioner’s Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending the Filing and Disposition of a Petition for Writ of Mandamus, and Petitioner’s Motion for Rehearing En Banc of the Special Panel’s November 26th Order with no reasons provided.

On January 29, 2026, Petitioner filed Appellant’s Motion to Stay the Mandate pending the disposition of this petition, or in the event of its denial, pending the filing and disposition of a petition for writ of certiorari. On February 11, 2026, the D.C. Circuit denied the motion.

II. SUPPLEMENTAL ARGUMENTS REGARDING THE IMPACT OF THE ADDITIONAL PROCEEDINGS ON THE PETITION

The D.C. Circuit's January 23, 2026 denial of Petitioner's motion to stay proceedings confirms the D.C. Circuit will not delay proceedings pending this court's disposition of the instant petition. Thus, the issuance of a mandate to the district court to dismiss the underlying matter with prejudice is imminent, unless this Court issues the writ.

The D.C. Circuit's January 23, 2026 denial of Petitioners' motion for rehearing en banc was the last procedural opportunity petitioner had for a reversal in the D.C. Circuit of the Special Panel's unlawful dismissal. With respect to the instant petition, the D.C. Circuit's denial confirms the following: (1) Petitioner has no other means to obtain the relief he is seeking; (2) the D.C. Circuit has usurped power from the District Court and will issue a mandate that the District Court dismiss the case without prejudice without the district court ruling on all Petitioner's Rule 59 (e) motions; (3) Petitioner will be denied his Fifth Amendment right to due process on his complaint in the district court; (4) Petitioner will be denied due process on his motion for clarification; (5) the D.C. Circuit court will have issued an order for Petitioner to file an appellate brief that it never provided the legal basis for; (6) the D.C. Circuit will dismiss a case based on an order that the Special Panel did not have the authority to issue; (7) the D.C. Circuit will have dismissed a case based on want of prosecution that it did not have subject matter jurisdiction over, and that was impossible to prosecute because the district court never issued a final judgment.

The D.C. Circuit's February 11, 2026 denial of Petitioner's motion to stay the mandate means that the D.C. Circuit will issue the mandate within seven calendar days, or by **February**

18, 2026, unless the D.C. Circuit shortens or extends the time by order. Fed. R. App. P. 41. On February 11, 2026, Petitioner submitted an emergency motion to stay the mandate and for an immediate administrative stay to Chief Justice Roberts that could prevent this occurrence.

In short, the additional proceedings are further confirmation that the instant petition was absolutely necessary, and that unless the writ is granted Petitioner will suffer irreparable harm to his Fifth Amendment rights to procedural due process and an unjust and clearly premature dismissal of his federal case.

V. CONCLUSION & PRAYER FOR RELIEF

For the additional reasons noted above, Petitioner prays his Petition for Writ of Mandamus is **GRANTED**.

Respectfully Submitted,

DEON D. COLVIN

DATE

Deon D. Colvin

2-17-26

Petitioner (*Pro Se*)

743 Fairmont Street, N.W. #211

Washington, D.C. 20001

T: 216-396-8512

E-mail: DeonColvin@aol.com