

No. 25-663

In the
Supreme Court of the United States

ALAN HEADMAN,

Petitioner,

v.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION ET AL.,

Respondents.

**On Petition for Rehearing over Decision
Denying Petition for Writ of Certiorari to Appeal
for the Fifth Circuit**

PETITION FOR REHEARING

ALAN HEADMAN
1225 FM 1002 S
BIG SANDY, TX 75755
(801)703-5422
Afam51@yahoo.com

Petitioner Pro Se

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTSi
TABLE OF AUTHORITIESii
GROUNDS FOR REHEARING 1
Supreme Court Rules..... 1
Substantial Grounds Not Previously Presented 1
 The Blood of The Enslaved Will Be on the Hands of
 Those Who Fail to Honor Their Oath 1
 The Supreme Court Recently Set a Jurisdictional
 Precedent in 2025 Effecting This Case..... 2
 The Destruction of the Life, Liberty and Property of
 Men and the Impact on this Nation Represents a
 Material Fact this Court Failed to Correct 3
 Overlooked Controlling Precedent - Case Law Was
 Never Meant to Override Rights Preserving the
 People’s Power..... 4
AMPLIFYING ARGUMENT6
 The Thirteenth Amendment was not Established as a
 One-Time-Use Amendment..... 6
 The Powers Instilled in the People are Key For
 Preserving Freedom 6
 Leaders of a “Nation Under God” are Entitled to
 Inspiration From God for Preserving Our Freedoms . 7
 If the Supreme Court Fails to Hold the Judicial
 Branch Accountable They Will Lose Credibility and
 Power 8
CONCLUSION AND PLEA 9
CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL AND COMPLIANCE 10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. 137 (1803)..... 8, 9
Orr v. Orr, 440 U.S. 268 (1979)4
Trump v. Casa, Inc., 606 U.S. 831 (2025).....2

STATUTES AND RULES

18 U.S.C. §1584 Sale into involuntary servitude.....2
Sup. Ct. R. 44 (Rehearing).....1

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Thirteenth Amendment to the United States
Constitution 1, 2, 6

GROUNDS FOR REHEARING

Supreme Court Rules

Sup. Ct. R. 44 (Rehearing) allows for a petition for rehearing on the merits when filed within 25 days after the entry of a decision to deny certiorari. Rule 44 paragraph 2 specifically allows for a petition for rehearing over “an order denying a petition for a writ of certiorari” for grounds limited to intervening circumstances of a substantial or controlling effect or to other grounds not previously presented.

Presented below are other grounds not previously presented that support this Court overturning the order denying certiorari for this Case 25-663.

Substantial Grounds Not Previously Presented

The Blood of The Enslaved Will Be on the Hands of Those Who Fail to Honor Their Oath

If no action is taken by this Supreme Court, the blood of millions of men will be upon the hands of each Supreme Court Justice, Supreme Court Clerk or any other officer who, after having taken an oath to uphold the United States Constitution, withholds or obstructs the protection guaranteed by the *Thirteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution*. For too long, this Court has turned a blind eye to the death and damage to life, liberty and property inflicted upon men in the United States.

The Supreme Court Recently Set a Jurisdictional Precedent in 2025 Effecting This Case

On June 27, 2025, this Court set a clear precedent that no court other than the Supreme Court has the power to issue nationwide injunctions. Within the Case ***Trump v. Casa, Inc.*, 606 U.S. (2025)**, the ruling drafted by Justice Amy Coney Barrett clearly stipulated that the Supreme Court is the only court who can issue injunctions with nationwide impact.

Within this ruling, Justice Barrett declared, the President is without authority to thwart congressional will or decline to follow a statutory mandates or prohibitions simply because of “policy objections”. She further stated, the “same principles apply to the President’s agents”. The Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) is composed of such agents of the President in enacting the laws set forth to protect the public from involuntary servitude under **18 U.S.C. §1584 Sale into involuntary servitude**.

In accordance with the Supreme Courts own declarations, the FBI does not have authority to refuse to execute the enactment of the laws protecting citizens from involuntary servitude just because it may have a policy against it. This Supreme Court has historically forced adjudication of *Thirteenth Amendment* protection down to the states or to Federal Courts that by this Court’s own decree do not have authority to issue the nationwide injunctions needed to end the existence of the involuntary servitude - - which servitude has been tolerated to “exist within the United States” for decades.

The Destruction of the Life, Liberty and Property of Men and the Impact on this Nation Represents a Material Fact this Court Failed to Correct

The founding principles of this country are under attack and one crucial attack is being directed at men, husbands and fathers who are essential for preserving the freedoms of this nation established under God. The death and damage to men in this nation represents a error in the court's understanding of a material fact and the consequences of a failure to correct this crisis.

It is imperative AT THIS SPECIFIC MOMENT in history the Justices of THIS Supreme Court step up to the oath each has taken to preserve freedoms, obtained by blood shed by men who came before us, that we are currently at risk of losing.

Our country is clearly under a "divide-and-conquer" attack that is seeking to inject hate and enmity into our free society to destroy it. Although the origins of this attack may have begun earlier, over the last 12-15 years the divide-and-conquer attacks have become more aggressive and violent. Some of the issues that have divided us over this recent period are Trump supporters v. Anti-Trump citizens, BLM Supporters v. Assumed Racists, Vaxed v. Unvaxed, Trans v. Anti-trans, Israel v. Palestine, Pro-life v. Pro-choice, Tesla Supporters v. Tesla Hater, Open-immigrant Advocates v. Open-immigration Opponents and most applicable to this case Masculinity Attackers v. Men's Rights Advocates.

Within the history of the Men's Rights front, what started as a movement to protect women in divorce

through alimony, during periods of inequality of employment opportunities etc., has now turned into a blatant disregard of the life, liberty, property, due process and freedom from involuntary servitude of men. The Supreme Court case *Orr v. Orr*, 440 U.S. 268 (1979), which would reasonably have been expected to established the equality of alimony oppression, did not resolve the root bias and lack of equal protection against men when it comes to the holding of one person in servitude to another.

It is estimated that Women are the primary bread-earners in 45% of households yet men are still paying alimony in approximately 90% of cases. The time is ripe for this Court to look beyond just the gender-equality issue and consider the grounds that the United States of America are under attack and a major strategy of this attack is directed at weakening the power of men who will protect this nation.

Overlooked Controlling Precedent - Case Law Was
Never Meant to Override Rights Preserving the People's
Power

Despite misconceptions that Old English principles of law can override the basic rights protected by the United States Constitution, the founding fathers and constitutional stewards deliberately set the precedent that declarations of certain rights should be stated so clearly and simply that no mistake could hamper their protection and preservation of these rights.

The God-given right to be free from involuntary servitude had, by design through the use of the *expressio*

unius est exclusio alterius canon of construction, specified only one exception to involuntary servitude which was “as punishment of a crime”.

The God-given right to trial by jury has been declared by this very Court as having the upmost importance, yet no preservation of this right, has been protected by this Court in matters where men are being forced into involuntary servitude.

The right to trial by jury is a “right” designed to preserve the freedoms of the people when the people don’t hold confidence in an officer of the judicial branch’s ability, or judicial branch as a whole, to impartially protect the freedoms the Constitution guarantees. The right to trial by jury is exercised by demand, not by motion, and was never intended to be denied at the discretion of a Judge or Government Branch who may be promoting conflicting interests.

Further, the executive-branch agency designated to protect citizens from involuntary servitude (the FBI) will not even assign a case number to individuals seeking the very protection that their tax dollars are being taken to provide. The right to place questions before a jury for the determination of whether a citizen is entitled to such protection from the executive agency must be upheld. By failing to protect the right to trial by jury, which can only be declared on a nationwide basis by this Court, this court is acting as a participant in the denial of all remedies promised by the Constitution over a class of citizens.

AMPLIFYING ARGUMENT

The Thirteenth Amendment was not Established as a One-Time-Use Amendment

Despite the increase in recent organized attacks attempting to paint the founding fathers as degenerate, racist, or elite enslavers, this nation was established by righteous men who forfeited power they could have taken for themselves in order to preserve it for the people. The genius of the system established by the founding fathers is that it's design would both free those who had been or who later would be oppressed.

Although the *Thirteenth Amendment* is most typically viewed as a tool to end slavery, the inspired nature of its design clearly demonstrates that it was not meant to be a one-time-use amendment and was intended to be as equally protective over involuntary servitude. The involuntary servitude being imposed on men today requires an application of this inspired amendment.

The Powers Instilled in the People are Key For Preserving Freedom

The Powers which the Constitution assigns to the people (i.e. juries, free speech, freedom of press, the right to bear arms, etc.) were set in place to prevent Government overreach and failures to provide the protection tax dollars purchase. These powers could not have been put in place in any other existing society or nation of the world. The oath each Supreme Court Justice has taken was issued and sworn before God. Such oath before God has been established as a requirement of judi-

cial service by the nation established and designated as one nation “under God”.

Leaders of a “Nation Under God” are Entitled to Inspiration From God for Preserving Our Freedoms

The oath taken by the Supreme Court Justices holds the highest degree of judicial responsibility possible and each Supreme Court Justice should seriously consider whether they will be held accountable before the judgment seat of God for their performance in preserving (or being responsible for losing) the God-given nature of rights of life, liberty and property. Although the responsibility on the shoulders of this Supreme Court is great, the same inspiration that empowered our founding fathers to establish this free land is available to those responsible for preserving it.

The events that led to the creation of this great nation, and the freedoms that are preserved through the creation of the United States Constitution, were not random. This nation was founded by men inspired by God to create a nation that would serve as the model for world peace and freedom. The creation and preservation of this nation has and will receive the greatest adversity by the enemies of freedom both within and without our borders.

If the individuals and power structures, having evil intentions seeking to destroy the liberties this great nation has provided to the world, are able to divide and conquer the United States and set aside the God-given nature of the rights instilled in the Constitution, the

entire world will not restore these freedoms without massive bloodshed and tears.

The war for our freedom did not end at the signing of the Constitution but the principles within the Constitution, when applied, will save us from losing that war now. Society may not know what will be the next issue our enemies will raise to divide and conquer us but if we as a nation stick to the principles that have kept us free, our enemies will not prevail. Continuing to allow the destruction of men in society through the targeted denial of constitutional rights is potentially the biggest mistake this Court could make.

If the Supreme Court Fails to Hold the Judicial Branch Accountable They Will Lose Credibility and Power

The people of this nation are desperately waiting for one branch to step up and put an end to the madness that has plagued our nation over the past two decades. Our Legislative Branch is being bought and sold by the highest bidder, our Executive Branch is being used as a political tool procure more political or economic power and the Judicial Branch is failing to adjudicate the important issues impacting the well-being of this nation.

The nation is growing tired of public servants hiding behind the immunity that preserves their failure to honor the Constitutional Oaths they have taken. *Marbury v. Madison*, 5 U.S. 137 (1803) has been held as the case that gave the Supreme Court its true power. The Supreme Court's failure to remove the immunity bad actors are using to infringe upon the rights of the citi-

zens may just be the element that makes THIS Supreme Court lose the credibility and power that the people of this nation have allowed it to enjoy. It does not matter how much power the Supreme Court tries to give itself if the people continue to witness the Supreme Courts failure to correct the abuse of power by those acting under color of law the power Marbury secured will be lost.

CONCLUSION AND PLEA

Based on the above, the Petitioner respectfully requests each Justice of this Supreme Court of the United States prayerfully consider their vote to overturning the denial of certiorari for this respective case which seeks the restoration of a man's right to be free from involuntary servitude and the restoration of the people's right to trial by jury taken away through the error of unconstitutional avoidance.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ ALAN HEADMAN
Petitioner Pro Se
1225 FM 1002 S
BIG SANDY, TX 75755
(801)703-5422
afam51@yahoo.com

In the Supreme Court of the United States

ALAN HEADMAN,

Petitioner,

v.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION ET AL.,

Respondents.

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL AND COMPLIANCE

As required by Supreme Court Rule 44.2, I certify that the Petition for Rehearing is restricted to grounds of intervening circumstances of a substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented and is presented in good faith and not for delay. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. As required by Supreme Court Rule 33.1(h), I certify that the Formatted Petition for Rehearing for case 25-663 contains 1,744 words, excluding the parts of the Petition that are exempted by Supreme Court Rule 33.1(d).

/s/ ALAN HEADMAN
Petitioner Pro Se
1225 FM 1002 S
BIG SANDY, TX 75755
afam51@yahoo.com

In the
Supreme Court of the United States

ALAN HEADMAN,
Petitioner,

v.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION ET AL.,
Respondents.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that in accordance with Rule 29 a true and correct copy of the foregoing Petition for Rehearing was sent by third-party commercial carrier for delivery on the 16th day of March 2026:

James Gillingham

U.S. Attorney's Office Tyler, TX

110 North College, Suite 700

Tyler, TX 75702

903-510-9346

Email: james.gillingham@usdoj.gov

General John D. Sauer

Solicitor General of the United States

Room 5616 Department of Justice

950 Pennsylvania Ave

N.W. Washington DC 20530-0001

Counsel for Respondents Federal Bureau of Investigation and United States of America.

Scot Macdonald Graydon,

Assistant Attorney General

Office of Attorney General State of Texas

300 W. 15th Street

William P. Clements Building

Austin, TX 78701

scot.graydon@aog.texas.gov
(512)463-2120

Counsel Jerald Dean Fowler, II, Judge

J. Clifford Peterson and Kyle Kaiser
Assistant Attorneys General
Office of Attorney General State of Utah
160 E. 300 S.
Salt Lake City, UT 84114
cliffpetersen@utah.gov
kkaiser@agutah.gov
(801)366-0100

Counsel for Teresa Welch, Judge

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct



Plaintiff Alan Headman(s) Authorized Signature

2/12/26

(Executed on Date)

This instrument was acknowledged by me on the 12th day of February, 2026, by ALAN HEADMAN.



NOTARY PUBLIC



