SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

5/4/2026

RAFAEL JORGE, Plaintiff, v. Marie Adler, Defendant.	Case Number 25-6531.

RESPECTFULLY, PLAINTIFF'S RAFAEL JORGE REQUESTS PETITION FOR REHEARING:

Plaintiff Rafael Jorge respectfully requests Petition for Rehearing According to Supreme Court Rule 44. This petition is based on intervening circumstances of a substantial and controlling effect, specifically the court's recent decision in *Parrish* V United States, 145 S. Ct. 1664 (2025). In Parrish, this court clarified that when a district court reopens an appeal period for a litigant who missed a deadline due to lack of notice, a notice of appeal filed before the formal reopening order can 'relate forward' and be considered timely. This new ruling directly impacts my case because I was similarly told my appeal was late, and *Parrish* establishes that such procedural defaults should be viewed with more flexibility to protect a litigant's right to appeal.

Thank you.

Rafael Jorge.

RECEIVED
MAY - 7 2026
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.