

## Appendix A

Fraudulent docket entry 61 analysis detailing the forensic data not meeting archival validation.

### Extracted Font Files

File Name	Font Name	Notes
font-0154.ttf	HPCAQA+TimesNewRomanPSMT	Custom subset of Times New Roman created by third-party software (not CM/ECF).
font-0159.ttf	(also subsetted)	Same pattern — embedded TrueType font, not court-standard.
font-0387.ttf	(also subsetted)	Indicates dynamic font injection, typical of iText or PDFBox.
font-0389.ttf	(also subsetted)	Unused fonts or padding fonts — suggest programmatic generation.
font-0391.ttf	(also subsetted)	No CM/ECF signature or metadata present.

⚠ CM/ECF filings do not use raw .ttf font files. They embed CID-subsets or PostScript fonts internally, and only through certified software like Adobe Distiller

## Appendix B

Forensic metadata for file 62 shows the was authored by someone not related to the case, created via Word, and modified using iText 7.1.6—a backend PDF tool not used by judicial chambers. The document's metadata confirms unauthorized alteration and impersonation of judicial authority. It must be struck from the docket and referred for criminal investigation under 18 U.S.C. § 912 and § 1512.

**Producer:**

Adobe PDF Library 24.4.19; modified using iText 7.1.6. The file was created in Word, converted via Acrobat, then modified using iText, a backend tool not used by chambers for final orders.

**Creator Tool:**

File 62 was drafted in Word, not issued from the chambers' judicial software.

**Author:**

Jeff Redding is not the defense counsel or a system admin; it confirms unauthorized authorship.

**Modified Date and Created Date:**

Original file submitted November 8<sup>th</sup> at 4 pm. Fabricated file submitted November 15<sup>th</sup> at 2 am

**Tagged PDF:** Fabricated automated filings do not meet the required validation standards for legitimacy.

**iText Version:** Not authorized by the courts and confirms backend manipulation.

## Appendix C

Document 82 was generated using iText 7.2.3, a non-judicial PDF tool, and lacks an authentic signature or chamber traceability. This confirms impersonation of judicial authority and procedural sabotage. The document must be struck from the docket. The file path shows tmp (temporary), indicating it's not traceable to the CM/ECF or Chambers. Location-file:///tmp/tmp-10635-oPalZXDX38lv/show\_temp.pl iText 7.2.3 iText 7.2.3 is a Java-based PDF generation tool often used in backend systems—not standard for court-authored orders. PDF 1.6 is a format version, but combined with iText, suggests the document was likely auto-generated or altered.

This file was submitted as a judicial order or termination notice; it's not authentic unless signed and traceable to chambers.

Appendix D

Screenshot of D.C. Circuit of Appeals entered petitioner mandamus on July 8<sup>th</sup> 2025

# JUSTIA Dockets & Filings

Find a Lawyer

Ask a Lawyer

Research the Law

Law Schools

Laws & Regs

Newsletters

Marketing Solutions

Justia Dockets & Filings | DCC Circuit | US Court of Appeals, DC Circuit | Justia Dockets & Filings

Search

## In re: Evelyn Thomas

Petitioner:	In re: Evelyn Thomas
Case Number:	25-7085
Filed:	June 20, 2025
Court:	U.S. Court of Appeals, D.C. Circuit
Nature of Suit:	Other

 RSS Track this Docket

### Docket Report

This docket was last refreshed on July 7, 2025. A more recent docket listing may be available from PACER.

#### Date Filed

Document Text

July 7, 2025 | [SUPPLEMENT](#) [2124320] to petition for writ of mandamus [ # 2122093-2 ] filed by Evelyn Thomas [Service Date: 07/02/2025] [25-7085] [Entered: 07/08/2025 12:15 PM]

July 7, 2025 | [SUPPLEMENT](#) [2124320] to petition for writ of mandamus [ # 2122093-2 ] filed by Evelyn Thomas [Service Date: 07/02/2025] [25-7085] [Entered: 07/08/2025 12:15 PM]

# JUSTIA Dockets & Filings

Nature of Suit

Other

## Docket Report

This docket was last retrieved on July 7, 2025. A more recent docket listing may be available from PACER.

Date Filed

Document Type

RSS Feed

July 7, 2025 SUPPLEMENT [2124320] to petition for writ of mandamus [ # 2122093-2 ] filed by Evelyn Thomas [Service Date: 07/02/2025] [25-7085] [Entered: 07/08/2025 12:15 PM]

June 25, 2025 SUPPLEMENT [2122897] to petition for writ of mandamus [ # 2122093-2 ] filed by Evelyn Thomas [Service Date: 05/18/2025] [25-7085] [Entered: 05/30/2025 12:29 PM]

June 20, 2025 PRIVATE CIVIL ORIGINAL PROCEEDING CASE docketed. [25-7085] [Entered: 05/24/2025 12:52 PM]

June 20, 2025 PETITION [2122093] for writ of mandamus filed by Petitioner Evelyn Thomas [Service Date: 05/17/2025] by US Mail, Clerk, Length Certification: 10 Pages. [25-7085] [Entered: 05/24/2025 12:53 PM]

June 25, 2025 MOTION [2122095] to proceed in forma pauperis [Case Number: 25-7085] filed by Evelyn Thomas [Service Date: 05/24/2025] by Clerk, US Mail, Length Certification: 5 Pages. [25-7085] [Entered: 05/24/2025 12:58 PM]

## Appendix E

Updated settlement demand for intentional silence and inaction on the enforcement settlement.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ATLANTA DIVISION**

**Evelyn Thomas**

**Plaintiff,**

vs.

**1:23-cv-3964-TRJ-LTW**

**QuikTrip, Inc**

**Defendant,**

**Settlement Position Statement**

**I. Background:**

Plaintiff Evelyn Thomas prevailed in her Title VII case against QuikTrip in 2024. Despite merits being proven, through obstructionism, fraudulent filings impersonating federal judges, the Defendants have prevented enforcement of settlement for more than a year. The initial settlement demand was \$3,000,000 which reflected proven damages and QuikTrip's unrefuted revenue increase achieved by the plaintiff.

Since that time, the defendants and complicit officers of the court have engaged in additional acts of fraud, obstruction which includes impersonating federal judges. These actions have multiplied the Plaintiffs damages, and have prolonged this matter un-necessarily.

**II. Full-Damages Owed:**

Given the fraudulent filings, impersonation of Judge Walker, concealment of appellate filings, and retaliation against Plaintiff, the full measure of damages now owed is \$6,275,000, which includes:

- \$3,000,000 (baseline damages: discrimination, retaliation, hostile work environment).
- \$2,750,000 (11 counts of obstruction of justice at \$250,000 each).
- \$525,000 (accountability damages for Supervisor, Trainer Manager, and Trainer at \$175,000 each).

This figure is supported by federal statutes (18 U.S.C. §§ 1503, 1512, 1519, 1001, 505, 912; 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1983, 2000e).

### III. Settlement Option (Discounted Resolution)

Plaintiff is willing — for a limited time — to accept \$5,200,000 in immediate settlement. This reflects a discount of over \$1 million compared to full trial damages.

This offer exists only because Plaintiff recognizes that QuikTrip may wish to avoid the costs, risks, and reputational harm of a public trial where evidence of fraud on the court, impersonation of a federal judge, and racial inequity in civil rights enforcement will be presented.

### IV. Racial Inequity in Civil Rights Enforcement

Here is the thing, this is a national problem with civil rights discrimination laws used in similar cases for non-black people to receive higher settlements under the same statutes compared to black plaintiffs receiving lower settlements whom the laws were designed to prohibit discriminatory practices.

This questions the fair applicability of civil rights discrimination laws when it comes to black cases. Further, it questions how non-black people remain discriminatory towards black people yet, use discriminatory laws citing being discriminated against.

Ms. Thomas's claims will not be devalued because she is a black woman who won on merits. Title VII, Obstruction of justice, and OCGA 51-12-33 18 U.S.C. §§ 1503, 1512, 1519, 1001, 505, 912; 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1983, 2000e) applied equally. Any jury will recognize that QuikTrip's misconduct not only injured Plaintiff, but exemplifies systemic racial inequity.

#### V. Conclusion.

- Settlement is to be processed no later than Thursday October 2nd by 5.pm
- \$6.2 million reflects the full damages owed
- \$5.2 million reflects discounted option without trial
- If QuikTrip continues to remain obstructionist, remain silent as if that is wise, then proceeding to trial with the full amount of \$6.2 million inevitable.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies a copy of Pro SE- Evelyn Thomas's Settlement Position Statement. On this day, the 1st October 2025.

Charles M. Popplestein  
[cpoplstein@thompsoncoburn.com](mailto:cpoplstein@thompsoncoburn.com)  
P: 314 552 6095 || M: 314.602.6095  
Thompson Coburn LLP || One US Bank Plaza  
St. Louis, MO 63101

Timothy McDonald | Partner | Thompson Hine LLP  
Two Alliance Center, 3560 Lenox Road, Suite 1600  
Atlanta, Georgia, 30326  
O: 404-407-3623 | M: 404-401-9009  
[Tim.McDonald@ThompsonHine.com](mailto:Tim.McDonald@ThompsonHine.com)

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Evelyn Thomas  
Ms. Evelyn Thomas-Pro SE  
1413 Tree Creek Pkwy  
Lawrenceville, Georgia 30043  
Email: [thomasevelyn@ymail.com](mailto:thomasevelyn@ymail.com)  
Mobile: (404)-914-2618

Appendix F

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ATLANTA DIVISION

**EVELYN THOMAS**  
**Plaintiff,**

**vs.**

**QuikTrip, Inc**  
**Defendant,**

**1:23-CV-3964-TRJ-LTW**

**EMERGENCY NOTICE TO THE HONORABLE CHIEF JUDGE LEIGH MAY**  
**MARTIN AND THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE LINDA T.**  
**WALKER**

Evelyn Thomas  
1413 Tree Creek Pkwy  
Lawrenceville, GA 30043  
Email: thomasevelyn@ymail.com  
Mobile: (404)-914-2618

August 3rd, 2025

The Honorable Chief Judge Martin and Judge Linda T. Walker  
United States Magistrate Judge  
U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia  
75 Ted Turner Drive SW  
Atlanta, GA 30303

RE: Emergency Mandamus and Settlement Motion Due to Fraudulent Document Alteration in Favorable Court Ruling

Dear Judge Martin and Walker,

Petitioner Evelyn Thomas and hereby notifies the Court of critical record discrepancies and judicial data tampering concerning Document 61 in the above-captioned matter.

After conducting forensic analysis of metadata, document timestamps, and stream-level file contents using publicly accepted digital forensic tools (ExifTool, pdfid.py, and Didier Stevens' PDF parser), Petitioner has verified that the version of the \*\*Final Report and Recommendation dated November 8, 2024\*\* currently appearing in the CM/ECF system is \*\*not the original version issued by the Court\*\*.

Specifically:

- The file currently in the docket was modified on 11-15, 2024 at 2am 7 days later after the stated ruling date.
- It was generated using \*\*iText® 7.1.6\*\*, a third-party PDF framework \*\*not authorized\*\* for official court document generation under CM/ECF or Adobe-based workflows.

- The metadata indicates that the authentic judicial file created on November 8, 2024 at 4:03 PM was overwritten and replaced.
- The overwritten version alters the dispositive outcome of the case — notably removing the portion granting partial relief to the Petitioner and referring remaining claims to trial\*\*.

In light of this discovery, Petitioner has submitted an Emergency Petition for Writ of Mandamus\*\* and attached exhibit A-verbatim copy of the original ruling supported by metadata and system evidence, and exhibit B the fraudulent file.

Additionally, Petitioner has submitted a Proposed Trial Scheduling Notice requesting that this case be restored to its proper procedural posture and moved forward to jury trial within 7 days under emergency correction standards, as the altered record has obstructed access to justice and due process.

Petitioner respectfully requests that the Honorable Chief Judge and Magistrate Judge Walker acknowledge the discrepancy, restore the correct ruling, and issue a trial scheduling order reflecting the Court's original intent as of November 8, 2024.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies a copy of Pro SE- Evelyn Thomas's Notice to Chief Judge Martin and Judge Walker. On this day, the 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2025.

Charles M. Poplstein  
[cpoplstein@thompsoncoburn.com](mailto:cpoplstein@thompsoncoburn.com)  
P: 314 552 6095 || M: 314.602.6095  
Thompson Coburn LLP || One US Bank Plaza  
St. Louis, MO 63101

Timothy McDonald | Partner | Thompson Hine LLP  
Two Alliance Center, 3560 Lenox Road, Suite 1600  
Atlanta, Georgia, 30326  
O: 404-407-3623 | M: 404-401-9009  
[Tim.McDonald@ThompsonHine.com](mailto:Tim.McDonald@ThompsonHine.com)

Respectfully Submitted,

*/s/ Evelyn Thomas*  
Ms. Evelyn Thomas-Pro SE  
1413 Tree Creek Pkwy  
Lawrenceville, Georgia 30043  
Email: [thomasevelyn@ymail.com](mailto:thomasevelyn@ymail.com)  
Mobile: (404)-914-2618

## Exhibit A: Original Verbatim Recommendation and Report

This document was extracted from the raw embedded data of the originally issued court file using forensic analysis tools. It reflects judicial language and structure consistent with a valid summary judgment order issued on or around November 8, 2024, by Judge Linda T. Walker, before unauthorized manipulation. This exhibit is believed to represent the authentic ruling of the court.

## Exhibit B: Manipulated Filing (Suspected Fraudulent Alteration)

This is the document currently on PACER/CM-ECF, dated after normal court hours (2 am) and generated using iText, a third-party Java-based PDF tool not authorized for federal court filings and altering documents. The content structure, excessive length (33 pages), and altered judicial language deviate significantly from normal summary judgment formats. This version is alleged to have been manipulated or overwritten by an external actor.

Appendix G

**From:** Eve Thomas thomas Evelyn@ymail.com  
**Subject:** Atlanta District Office  
**Date:** August 23, 2023 at 6:02 AM  
**To:** charlotte.burrows@eeoc.gov  
**Cc:** stephen.weber@eeoc.gov, LAURENCE.KNIGHT.LAURENCE.KNIGHT@EEOC.GOV, JANE SUK JANE.SUK@eeoc.gov, WILLIAM BATTIS WILLIAM.BATTIS@EEOC.GOV

Good Morning Chairwoman,

My name is Ms. Evelyn Thomas, I am a client of counselor Ernest Martin. It is disappointing to have gone through the bureaucratic process of the EEOC and the outcome due to negligence and rude tone of communication with those who work at the EEOC demonstrates perhaps it's not useful to the people. I am African-American female with an education, and this process has taking a lot of my time when it could have been resolved five months ago if the parties involved did not blatantly ignore emails and calls when asked what are the next steps to help my case, the EEOC did not help. My discrimination and retaliation case will go forward, additionally complaints of Stephen Weber, Jane Suk and Laurence King will go forward. My attorney's email was blocked on the backend by the EEOC, therefore no longer is there communication with my attorney. It is unfortunate to have this kind of escalation of a simple matter, however, thank you for time and consideration.

Kind Regards,

Ms. Evelyn Thomas  
Email: thomas Evelyn@ymail.com  
Mobile: (404)-614-2818

47

Appendix H

**JUSTIA** Rackets & EN

10-48-1963  
INTERVIEW WITH

GLASS TRIP STORE 169 and OTHER TRIP STORE NEW

25 11453

24 921-6725

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

10-48-1963  
INTERVIEW WITH

May 27, 2025

Court of Appeals, 1997-2007

12

RSS Train. 111

## Document Text

*23*

*3*

**Filing 2 ORDER:** Pursuant to the 11th Cir. R. 42-1(b), this petition is hereby DISMISSED for want of prosecution because the Petitioner Evelyn Thomas failed to file and docketing fees to the clerk of this court or file a motion to proceed in forma pauperis and failed to comply with the rules on Certificates of Interested Person, and Corporate Disclosure Statements within the time fixed by the court.  
\*Entered: 05/18/2025 02:52 PM]

Filing: ORIGINAL PROCEEDING DOCKETED Petition for WRIT of HABEAS CORPUS  
Entered by: Thomas, Fee Status: Fee Not Paid [Entered: 05/29/2017]

DISCUSSIONS ON HACER 29

Appendix I

## Emergency Notice of Document Tampering and Forensic Findings

1

ET

You

Aug 13

To Tiffany\_Johnson@gand.uscourts.gov ...



Emergency Notice

PDF - 218 KB

Good Afternoon Judge Johnson

My name is Evelyn Thomas, case no. 1:23-cv-3964. Attached is the third emergency notice of writ of mandamus due to judicial tampering. The PDF file shows the forensic analysis of two documents that have digitally altered using an unauthorized software and the third file is 80.

Since the beginning of this case there has been obstructionism, with QuikTrip colluding with the EEOC to prevent the lawsuit-files 46 and 50 have the email to former chairwoman Charlotte Burrows. The defense counsel with fabricated documents in file 44, in addition to the many falsehoods refuted throughout the case. My direct appeal falsely submitted as an interlocutory appeal denying me a full appellate



Reply All



...



Mail



Calendar



Apps



## Emergency Notice of Documents of Tampering and Forensic Findings

1

ET

You

Aug 13

To Linda\_T\_Walker@gand.uscourts.gov... ...

Emergency Notice  
PDF - 218 KB

Good Afternoon Chief Judge Martin, and Judge Walker

My name is Evelyn Thomas, case no. 1:23-cv-3964. Attached is the third emergency notice of writ of mandamus due to judicial tampering. The PDF file shows the forensic analysis of two documents that have digitally altered using an unauthorized software and the third file is 80.

Since the beginning of this case there has been obstructionism, with QuikTrip colluding with the EEOC to prevent the lawsuit-files 46 and 50 have the email to former chairwoman Charlotte Burrows. The defense counsel with fabricated documents in file 44, in addition to the many falsehoods refuted throughout the case. My



Reply All



review, and then the 11th circuit驳回.



Mail



Calendar



Apps