

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES

DR. DAN I. BELC (PETITIONER)

V.

CASE #: 25-6362

STATE OF FLORIDA

- MOTION FOR REHEARING (RECONSIDERATION) FOR
COURT'S 1/26/26 COURT ORDER -
(WITH CERTIFICATE OF GOOD FAITH)

THE PETITIONER, DAN I. BELC, RESPECTFULLY MOVES THIS HONORABLE FOR A HEARING OR RECONSIDERATION ORDER FOR COURT'S 1/26/26 COURT ORDER WHICH SIMPLY STATED "THE PETITIONER'S WRIT OF CERTIORARI IS DENIED" IN SUPPORT OF THE FOREGOING MOTION THE PETITIONER ("PET") STATES THE FOLLOWING TWO (2) GROUNDS WHICH MAY HAVE NOT BEEN PROPERLY HIGHLIGHTED OR STATED, IN PET'S WRIT OF CERTIORARI, AS MAYBE TOO BRIEF AND CONCISE:

1/2 GROUND 1/2- COUNTY COURT JUSTICE ONLY MATCHED IN
3rd WORLD COUNTRIES, LIKE SOMALIA, YEMEN, OR NIGERIA.

(A) "AS A FOREIGNER AND A DOCTOR IN POOR CALHOUN COUNTY (VICTIM'S TOWN - WIFE OF 25 YEARS), MIDDLE OF NOWHERE, GOOD OLD BOY TOWN, YOU WILL GET LESS JUSTICE THAN A BLACK MAN IN 1950'S MISSISSIPPI ["BY THE HANDS OF KKK"]" TOLD THE

PROSECUTOR TO THE STUNNED AND SHOCKED JURY. HE CONTINUED:

- "SO YOU DON'T THINK YOU CAN GET A FAIR TRIAL IN CALHOUN COUNTY?"

ANS - "NO"

Q - "YOU DON'T?"

ANS - "NO"

AT LEAST TWO MORE SIMILAR INSTANCES FOLLOWED, ALL REFERENCED IN [EXH. 3. WRIT OF CERTIORARI]

(B) "WHY DID NOT CONFESS (i.e. IN 4 YRS 9 MTH BEFORE THE TRIAL)? TO THE PROSECUTION, LAW ENFORCEMENT? WHY GO TO TRIAL, WHY NOT PLEA? WAS THE PET ^{ASKED} BY THE PROSECUTOR BEFORE THE JURY. [EXH. 3. WRIT OF CERTIORARI]

(C) MIRANDA VIOLATION. THE PET CLEARLY AND REPEATEDLY ASKED FOR COUNSEL DURING THE UNSOLICITED INTERROGATION, WHICH TOOK PLACE IN SHERIFF'S OFFICE BUT TOLD BY THE TWO DETECTIVES, "WE DON'T HAVE THE CAPABILITY OF DOING THAT (i.e. CONTACT PET'S COUNSEL) RIGHT NOW" (ALTHOUGH AGAIN, THE INTERROGATION TOOK PLACE IN THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE) WHILE THE OTHER SAID "HE (i.e. YOUR COUNSEL) IS NOT HERE RIGHT NOW". BOTH MAKING NO EFFORT TO CONTACT PET'S COUNSEL AND TOTALLY STREAMROLLING AND IGNORING PET'S REQUEST. [EXH. 2] THE PET WAS NOT ALLOWED TO CONTACT HIS COUNSEL.

(D) 2nd MIRANDA VIOLATION. AFTER REFUSING TO TALK WITH THE OFFICERS (DIFFERENT PEOPLE FROM "C" ABOVE), THE POLICE BROUGHT PET'S 19 YR OLD SON, BERT FOOT, AND USED HIM AS AN AGENT OF SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT TO GATHER INFORMATION THAT THE PET REFUSED TO GIVE TO THE OFFICERS IN THE FIRST PLACE. THE SON WAS COACHED FOR AN HOUR BY THE OFFICERS PRIOR TO

ALLOWING AND COERCING THE SON TO SPEAK WITH THE PET.

ALL OF THE ABOVE (A, B, C, D) WERE MAJOR VIOLATIONS OF PET'S RIGHT TO REMAIN SILENT, DUE PROCESS, FAIR TRIAL (5th AM. U.S. CONSTITUTION); RIGHT TO COUNSEL (6th AM. U.S. CONSTITUTION), IN SUMMARY 5th, 6th AND 14th AM. U.S. CONSTITUTION,

2/2 GROUND 2/2 - REASONS FOR GRANTING REHEARING
(RECONSIDERATION)

(A) THE FLORIDA'S 1st DCA RULING:

- CAUSED CONFLICTS WITHIN THE SAME, MUTUALLY CITED CASELAW
- CAUSED CONFLICTS BETWEEN DIFFERENT DCAS (WITHIN FLORIDA)
- CAUSED CONFLICTS BETWEEN 1st DCA AND FLORIDA SUPREME COURT
- CAUSED CONFLICTS BETWEEN 1st DCA AND U.S. SUPREME COURT

(B) THE PET'S CASE IS UNIQUE, THE TRIAL TAKING PLACE IN VICTIM'S LITTLE TOWN (3000 PEOPLE) WHERE EVERYBODY KNEW THE VICTIM (PET'S WIFE OF 25 YEARS) OR/AND HER FAMILY, WHO HAD LIVED THERE FOR GENERATIONS. THE JUROR'S WERE VICTIM'S SCHOOL CLASSMATES, CHURCH FRIENDS OR FAMILY (EXTENDED) FRIENDS. THE LEVEL OF JUSTICE RENDERED IN THIS LITTLE TOWN (EXAMPLES A-B-C-D ABOVE) IS ONLY MATCHED IN 3rd WORLD COUNTRIES.

(C) THE PET'S CASE MIGHT BE OF A WIDER, NATION-WIDE IMPORTANCE, AS ITS UNIQUE CIRCUMSTANCES APPLY TO SIMILARLY POSITIONED PETITIONERS, MANY OF THEM FOREIGNERS WITH NO FAMILY, FRIENDS, RELATIVES IN THIS COUNTRY, AND ALSO NO KNOWLEDGE OF OWN LEGAL RIGHTS, OF THE LAW IN THIS COUNTRY.

- CONCLUSION -

THE NUMEROUS, COUNTLESS ERRORS OF PROSECUTION MISCONDUCT COMBINED WITH TRIAL COURT'S ABUSE OF DISCRETION, CAUSED SO DAMAGING, IRREPAIRABLE PREJUDICE WITH THE JURY THAT ONLY THIS HONORABLE COURT, AS THE LAST RESORT/CHANCE, COULD CURE THIS UNJUSTICE, LEVEL OF UNJUSTICE ONLY PREVALENT IN 3rd WORLD COUNTRIES.

WHEN THE SMALL TOWN (VICTIM'S TOWN) PROSECUTOR VENTURES IN COMPARING THE PET'S EXPECTED TRIAL RESULT & FAIRNESS TO "1950 MISSISSIPPI JUSTICE" GIVEN TO BLACK PEOPLE BY WHITE JUDGES/JURIES. THERE IS NO OTHER CURE BUT THE U.S. SUPREME COURT CERTIORARI.

THEREFORE, AS SUPPORTED BY FOREGOING EXAMPLES AND ARGUMENTS (MORE DETAILED IN PET'S WRIT OF CERTIORARI PETITION) THE PET RESPECTFULLY MOVES THIS COURT FOR A HEARING (RECONSIDERATION) ON THIS MATTER.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, Dr Dan Belc, 4/1/26

- CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE -

I, DAN I. BELC, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT A COPY OF THE FOREGOING MOTION HAS BEEN MAILED TO THE OFFICE OF THE CLERK (U.S. SUPREME COURT AND ATTORNEY GENERAL/FLORIDA) ON THIS 1st DAY OF APRIL 2026 BY U.S. MAIL SERVICE

Dr. DAN I. BELC
Dr Dan Belc

DATE
4/1/26 (WED)

- CERTIFICATE OF GOOD FAITH, SWORN STATEMENT -

UNDER THE PENALTY OF PERJURY, PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I, DAN I. BELC, CERTIFY AND DECLARE THE FOREGOING STATEMENTS TO HAVE BEEN PRESENTED IN GOOD FAITH, NOT INTENDED TO DELAY ANY PROCEEDINGS, OR ANY MATTERS WITH THIS HONORABLE COURT AND/OR ITS CLERK OFFICE.

ADDITIONALLY THE GROUNDS PRESENTED WERE LIMITED TO INTERVENING CIRCUMSTANCES OF CONTROLLING OR SUBSTANTIAL EFFECT, AND OTHER SUBSTANTIAL GROUNDS NOT PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED.

LASTLY, I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING STATEMENTS ARE TRUE, CORRECT AND ACCURATE, MADE VOLUNTARY, AND TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF.

DR. DAN I. BELC
Dr Dan Belc

DATE
4/1/26 (WED)

TO SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, OFFICE OF THE CLERK,


DEAR MADAM, SIR,

Legal Mail
Provided to
Blackwater River Correctional
and Rehabilitation Facility
on 4-2-26 for mailing.

[CASE #: 25-6362]

- ① AS PER YOUR LETTER OF 3/19/26, PLEASE NOTE THE CONNECTED MOTION FOR RETRAVING WITH ATTACHED CERTIFICATE OF GOOD FAITH.
- ② PLEASE FILE THE ENCLOSED MOTION, AS THIS HONORABLE COURT IS THE COURT OF LAST RESORT, IN A UNIQUE CASE OF SMALL TOWN JUSTICE (VICTIM'S/WIFE OF 25 YEARS TOWN) ONLY MATCHED BY 3rd WORLD COUNTRIES LIKE SOMALIA, YEMEN OR NIGERIA.
- ③ WHEN THE PROSECUTOR, BEFORE THE STUNNED JURY, SAYS "AS A FOREIGNER (ONCOLOGIST-BREAST/PANCREAS CANCER) AND A DOCTOR IN POOR CALHOUN COUNTY, MIDDLE OF NOWHERE SMALL TOWN, YOU WILL GET LESS JUSTICE A BLACKMAN GOT IN 1950'S MISSISSIPPI BY THE HANDS OF KKK..." AND WHERE WHEN I ASKED FOR A LAWYER/COUNSEL I WAS REFUSED, IGNORED AND TOLD BY DETECTIVES "WE DON'T HAVE THE CAPABILITY TO DO THAT (I.E. CALL YOUR COUNSEL-ALTHOUGH INTERROGATION TOOK PLACE IN STAFF'S OFFICE). ONLY THIS COURT COULD CURE THIS 3rd WORLD LIKE, SMALL TOWN INJUSTICE.
- ④ KING THANK YOU IN ADVANCE.

Dr Dan Bore
Dr Dan Bore



DATE
14 APR 2026
(WED)