

December 12, 2025

Hon. Scott S. Harris  
Clerk of the Court  
Supreme Court of the United States  
One First Street, NE  
Washington, DC 20543-0001

Re: *Family Federation for World Peace and Unification International, et al. v. Hyun Jin Moon, et al.*, No. 25-634

Dear Mr. Harris:

I am counsel of record for Respondent Unification Church International (“UCI”) in the above-captioned case.<sup>1</sup> The petition for a writ of certiorari was filed on November 26, 2025, and docketed on December 3, 2025. Per the online docket and Rule 15.3, the due date for any response is January 2, 2026. UCI and other Respondents intend to file a brief in opposition, which will significantly aid the Court’s consideration of this case. Pursuant to Rule 30.4, UCI respectfully requests a 30-day extension to and including February 2, 2026 (the 30th calendar day, February 1, 2026, is a Sunday not counted under Rule 30.1) for counsel to prepare a response.<sup>2</sup> Petitioners consent to UCI’s extension request.

Good cause for the requested extension exists because of the intervening holidays and because I have been undertaking and will continue to undertake substantial briefing obligations in other cases. Those obligations include a brief in opposition to certiorari in *Citigroup Inc. v. Otto Candies, LLC, et al.*, No. 25-391 (U.S.), filed on December 3, 2025, a response brief on the merits in *Havana Docks Corporation v. Royal Caribbean Cruises, Ltd., et al.*, No. 24-983 (U.S.), due on December 17, 2025, and multiple other briefs due in lower federal courts and state courts across the country. Amidst these briefing deadlines and court commitments are counsel’s plans for the upcoming year-end holidays; various colleagues assisting me likewise have competing professional and personal obligations in the coming weeks and over the holiday season.

In light of the fact that Respondent Dr. Hyun Jin (Preston) Moon is seeking a corresponding extension, UCI respectfully requests that the time for any and all Respondents to file a brief in opposition to the petition for a writ of certiorari be extended to and including February 2, 2026.

---

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to Rule 29.6, UCI states that it is a nonprofit corporation. It has no parent corporation and does not issue stock.

<sup>2</sup> Petitioners previously requested and received a 60-day extension under Rule 13.5.

Pursuant to Rules 29 and 30.4, I have served a copy of this letter on all other parties and have enclosed proof of service. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Derek L. Shaffer  
DEREK L. SHAFFER

*Counsel for Respondent UCI*

cc: Gene C. Schaerr  
Schaerr|Jaffe LLP  
1717 K Street NW, Suite 900  
Washington, DC 20006  
gschaerr@schaerr-jaffe.com  
Counsel of Record for Petitioners

Noel J. Francisco  
William G. Laxton, Jr.  
Jones Day  
51 Louisiana Avenue NW  
Washington, DC 20001  
njfrancisco@jonesday.com  
wglaxton@jonesday.com  
Counsel for Respondent Dr. Hyun Jin (Preston) Moon

Michael Weitzner  
Michael Weitzner Attorney at Law  
4916 Brandywine Street NW  
Washington, DC 20016  
mweitzner@weitznerlaw.com  
Counsel for Respondents JinMan Kwak, Youngjun Kim, and Richard Perea

Christopher B. Mead  
London & Mead  
1225 19th Street NW, Suite 320  
Washington, DC 20036  
cmead@londonandmead.com  
Counsel for Respondent Michael Sommer