

No.

---

**In the Supreme Court of the United States**

---

ANTHONY ROLAND, Petitioner

v.

NBC SUBSIDIARY (WMAQ-TV) LLC,

---

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI  
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

---

**APPENDIX TO THE  
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

*(App 1 – App 31.)*

---

ANTHONY ROLAND  
PRO SE  
5642 S. WELLS, ST.  
CHICAGO, IL. 60621  
anthonyroland385@gmail.com  
312.292.8142

---

## **APPENDIX**

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
Appendix A – Court of Appeals Final Judgment (Oct. 24, 2025).....	1a
Appendix B – Court of Appeals Order (Oct. 24, 2025).....	2a
Appendix C – District Court Statement (Nov. 07, 2024).....	4a
Appendix D – District Court Order (Nov. 07, 2024).....	6a
Appendix E – First Amended Complaint.....	7a

## APPENDIX A

### UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Everett McKinley Dirksen  
United States Courthouse  
Room 2722 - 219 S. Dearborn Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60604



Office of the Clerk  
Phone: (312) 435-5850  
[www.ca7.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca7.uscourts.gov)

#### FINAL JUDGMENT

October 24, 2025

Before

CANDACE JACKSON-AKIWUMI, *Circuit Judge*  
JOSHUA P. KOLAR, *Circuit Judge*  
NANCY L. MALDONADO, *Circuit Judge*

No. 24-3102	ANTHONY ROLAND, Plaintiff - Appellant  v.  NBC SUBSIDIARY (WMAQ-TV) LLC, Defendant - Appellee
<b>Originating Case Information:</b>	
District Court No: 1:24-cv-04500 Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division District Judge Jeremy C. Daniel	

The judgment of the District Court is **AFFIRMED**, with costs, in accordance with the decision of this court entered on this date.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Christopher Conway".

Clerk of Court

form name: c7\_FinalJudgment (form ID: 132)

**United States Court of Appeals**

**For the Seventh Circuit  
Chicago, Illinois 60604**

Submitted October 21, 2025\*  
Decided October 24, 2025

**Before**

**CANDACE JACKSON-AKIWUMI, Circuit Judge**

**JOSHUA P. KOLAR, Circuit Judge**

**NANCY L. MALDONADO, Circuit Judge**

**CERTIFIED COPY**

A True Copy

Teste:

*[Signature]*  
Deputy Clerk  
of the United States  
Court of Appeals for the  
Seventh Circuit

No. 24-3102

**ANTHONY ROLAND,**  
*Plaintiff-Appellant,*

*v.*

**NBC SUBSIDIARY (WMAQ-TV) LLC,**  
*Defendant-Appellee.*

Appeal from the United States District  
Court for the Northern District of  
Illinois, Eastern Division.

No. 24-CV-4500

**Jeremy C. Daniel,**  
*Judge.*

**ORDER**

Anthony Roland filed a complaint against NBC Subsidiary (WMAQ-TV) LLC for conducting “unauthorized zoom” calls through his television, then broadcasting recordings of him, commenting on his appearance, and imitating his hand gestures back

---

\* We have agreed to decide the case without oral argument because the briefs and record adequately present the facts and legal arguments, and oral argument would not significantly aid the court. FED. R. APP. P. 34(a)(2)(C).

No. 24-3102

Page 2

at him. The district court dismissed the suit as frivolous, reflecting paranoid and delusional fears.

On appeal, Roland asserts that the court disregarded certain evidence that he attached to his amended complaint—(1) a digital recording of him waving and gesturing at the television while watching the Today Show and (2) affidavits from several witnesses attesting that they saw a “Zoom experience” violate Roland’s right to privacy. But these materials add nothing to his implausible allegations that WMAQ-TV committed the Illinois tort of “intrusion on seclusion,” *W. Bend Mut. Ins. Co. v. Krishna Schaumburg Tan, Inc.*, 183 N.E.3d 47, 58 (Ill. 2021), or violated 19 U.S.C. § 1592, the civil penalty provision under the Tariff Act of 1930 for fraud, gross negligence, or negligence in importing merchandise. And to the extent Roland believes that the district court deprived him of due process by dismissing the case without a hearing, no evidentiary hearing is required when the factual allegations—as here—are incredible. *Gladney v. Pendleton Corr. Facility*, 302 F.3d 773, 774 (7th Cir. 2002). The district court rightly dismissed this case as frivolous. *See, e.g., Lee v. Clinton*, 209 F.3d 1025 (7th Cir. 2000).

We close with a word about sanctions. Since 2018, Roland has filed five frivolous cases in the Northern District of Illinois asserting unauthorized surveillance via television. He has appealed four of them, and all were dismissed. We now warn Roland that further repetitive and frivolous filings may result in sanctions, including fines that, if unpaid, may result in a bar on filing papers in civil lawsuits in any court within this circuit. *See Support Sys. Int’l, Inc. v. Mack*, 45 F.3d 185, 186 (7th Cir. 1995).

AFFIRMED

**APPENDIX C**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION**

ANTHONY ROLAND,  
Plaintiff

v.

NBC SUBSIDIARY (WMAQ-TV) LLC,  
Defendant

No. 24 CV 4500

Judge Jeremy C. Daniel

**ORDER**

The defendant's Motion to Dismiss [23] is granted. Civil case terminated.

**STATEMENT**

The plaintiff, Anthony Roland, *pro se*, sues the defendant NBC Subsidiary (WMAQ-TV) LLC ("NBC") for "intrusion on seclusion" (Count I) and negligence under 19 U.S.C. § 1592 (Count II). (R. 21 ((First Amended Complaint ("FAC"))) ¶¶ 23–49.) NBC moves to dismiss for failing to state a claim. (R. 23.)

To survive a motion to dismiss, a complaint only needs to contain factual allegations that, when accepted as true, are sufficient to "state a claim that is plausible on its face." *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009) (citing *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 554–57 (2007)). Because the plaintiff initiated this action *pro se*, his complaint is construed liberally. *Perez v. Fenoglio*, 792 F.3d 768, 776 (7th Cir. 2015). The court may read the complaint "broadly" and make necessary assumptions where the facts do not "definitely identify" the plaintiff's challenges. *Obrieht v. Raemisch*, 517 F.3d 489, 492 n.2 (7th Cir. 2008). The court, however, "need not accept as true statements of law or unsupported conclusory factual allegations." *Bilek v. Fed. Ins. Co.*, 8 F.4th 581, 586 (7th Cir. 2021). Moreover, the Court may dismiss fantastic or delusional allegations. *Lee v. Clinton*, 209 F.3d 1025, 1026 (7th Cir. 2000); *see also* *Dix v. Unknown TSA Agent No. 1*, 588 F. App'x 499, 499 (7th Cir. 2015) (nonprecedential).

The plaintiff asserts that NBC is conducting "unauthorized zoom" calls through his television, then broadcasting footage of the plaintiff, commenting on his activities, and repeating his hand gestures back at him. (FAC ¶¶ 8–11, 25–28.) The plaintiff asserts that strangers have acknowledged his appearance on television. (*Id.* ¶ 14). He also reports being stalked and attacked by strangers who were tired of seeing him on NBC. (*Id.* ¶¶ 33–36.)

The Court begins with Count II, the plaintiff's negligence claim under 19 U.S.C. § 1592. (*Id.* ¶ 40.) This statute concerns "penalties for fraud, gross negligence, and negligence" regarding imports and custom duties. 19 U.S.C. § 1592. The plaintiff does not allege any facts concerning imports or customs, and therefore Count II is dismissed.

Turning to Count I, the plaintiff's claim for "intrusion on seclusion," is a recognized cause of action in Illinois. *Lawlor v. N. Am. Corp. of Illinois*, 983 N.E.2d 414, 425 (Ill. 2012). However, even a liberal reading of the complaint reveals that the allegations are not plausible, but rather "reflects paranoid and delusional fears and is frivolous." *Dix*, 588 F. App'x. at 499 (affirming dismissal of a complaint alleging "a vast, nationwide conspiracy to rig the security equipment used at airports"). Count I is therefore dismissed. *Lee*, 209 F.3d at 1026.

Accordingly, the defendant's Motion to Dismiss is granted. This is the fourth time Mr. Roland has presented his claims of unauthorized surveillance via television to a federal court, and the fourth time they have been rejected. *See Roland v. U.S. Department of Justice*, No. 22 CV 1066, R. 76 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 15, 2023); *Roland v. Pai*, No. 19 CV 3128, R. 10 (N.D. Ill. June 11, 2019); *Roland v. Fed. Gov't*, No. 18 CV 5363, R. 11 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 28, 2018). Leave to amend is unjustified in these circumstances. *Foman v. Davis*, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962) (granting leave to amend is properly denied where amendment would be futile).

Date: November 7, 2024

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JEREMY C. DANIEL  
United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

APPENDIX D

ANTHONY ROLAND,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

NBC SUBSIDIARY (WMAQ-TV) LLC,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 24 CV 4500  
Judge Jeremy C. Daniel

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

Judgment is hereby entered (check appropriate box):

☐ in favor of plaintiff(s)  
and against defendant(s)  
in the amount of \$ ,

which ☐ includes pre-judgment interest.  
☐ does not include pre-judgment interest.

Post-judgment interest accrues on that amount at the rate provided by law from the date of this judgment.

Plaintiff(s) shall recover costs from defendant(s).

---

☐ in favor of defendant(s)  
and against plaintiff(s)

Defendant(s) shall recover costs from plaintiff(s).

---

☒ other: Judgment entered in favor of defendant and against plaintiff.

---

This action was (check one):

- ☐ tried by a jury with Judge            presiding, and the jury has rendered a verdict.  
☐ tried by Judge            without a jury and the above decision was reached.  
☒ decided by Judge Jeremy C. Daniel on a motion to dismiss.

Date: 11/7/2024

Thomas G. Bruton, Clerk of Court

Vettina Franklin, Deputy Clerk



**APPENDIX E****IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS****ANTHONY ROLAND****Plaintiff,****Vs.****NBC SUBSIDIARY  
(WMAQ-TV) LLC.,****Defendants.****Case No. 1:24-cv-04500****Judge: Hon. Jeremy C. Daniel****RECEIVED****AUG 29 2024** *VCM***THOMAS G. BRUTON  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT****FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT****GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

Plaintiff Anthony Roland *Pro se* brings this lawsuit against Defendant NBC Subsidiary (WMAQ-TV) LLC, under 19 U.S.C. § 1592 and *Restatement of the Second of Torts Section 652: Intrusion on Seclusion*. I would respectfully explain the following.

In or around 2019 whistleblowers, associates, and family members started informing me that my expectation of privacy was/is being violated by NBC Subsidiary (WMAQ-TV), as if I am a host on their show through my home Television. A similar example would be during the COVID epidemic when game show guest would appear on the game shows using their TV or computer or when courts take Hearings via Zoom video.<sup>1</sup>

I started recording my interaction with the TV commentator using my cellphone for video evidence to show the court or the defendant during a future deposition. I used several methods of notifying the defendant before taking legal action. I made phone calls to the network station none of the calls were returned, I wrote countless letters to their parent company and their registered

---

<sup>1</sup> Zoom is a video conferencing platform that can be used through a computer desktop or mobile app, and allows users to connect online for video conference meeting, webinars, and live chat.

agent C T Corporation. I even made complaints to both outside government agencies FCC (*Federal Communications Commission*) and FTC (*Federal Trade Commission*) to resolve this unauthorized Zoom experience but never received any response.

This peculiar invasion of my privacy loosely calling it a Zoom hack has caused both physical and cognizable harm. I have been assaulted by strangers because they say that they're tired of my appearance on their NBC Subsidiary (WMAQ-TV) screen. I often have to take different routes to work and home because I am being stalked and threatened. Whenever given an opportunity I take written testimony of this cause of action some witnesses mention that they will even testify in court on my behalf.

Plaintiff *Pro se* Roland has now turned to this Honorable Court for injunctive relief and to find out the cause of this peculiar invasion of my expectation of privacy done with the Fairness of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure due process of *Discovery*.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Complaint seeks remedies pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1592 and alleging violations under Restatement of the Second of Torts Section 652.
2. Jurisdiction is conferred upon this Honorable Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 1343, and 1367.
3. Venue is proper before this Honorable Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

## **PARTIES**

4. Plaintiff Anthony Roland is the *Pro Se* in this case also an American Citizen and a native of Chicago.
5. Defendant NBC Subsidiary (WMAQ-TV) LLC is an owned subsidiary of NBCUniversal Media, LLC located at 454 North Columbus Drive, Chicago IL. 60611.
6. NBCUniversal Media, LLC is a wholly owned and indirect subsidiary of Comcast Corporation.

## **FACTS**

### **Invasion of Privacy by Subsidiary NBC (WMAQ-TV) LLC.**

7. **Notification** I the Plaintiff do not have a background in Computer Engineering or know any Technical Jargon to explain the unauthorized Zoom I've experienced with (WMAQ-TV). I just used my phone to record my interactions and collect written testimonies. Furthermore, as a *Pro se*, I may show a disability with legal terminology.
8. In 2018 I noticed watching WMAQ-TV (channel 5) that the TV host commentator would make direct comments about what I was eating or wearing, at first I thought it was just a coincidence until it became repetitious.
9. On or about October 2018 I was at home watching channel 5 and while I was imitating a Golf swing the TV commentator lucidly said "*Look Look he's taking another swing of the Golf club*" I sat down confused, and then he said "*now he's sitting down*" after that day I started using my cellphone to record the unauthorized Zoom experience.
10. From 2018 to 2019 I started to get creative, I began alternating my strategy on capturing video evidence by using hand gestures and drawing pictures to get the corroboration from the TV host.

11. I would put a peace sign at the commentator then the TV host would respond with their peace sign all while recording with my cell phone
12. I drew a hatchback car colored it blue and held it to show the TV host then on a commercial break there was an advertisement of the exact blue hatchback Toyota I drew and again recorded using my phone.
13. I used those two alternative strategies hand gestures and picture drawings capturing over 12 video evidence.
14. From 2018 - 2019 on my way to work or running errands, I would ask people if I was appearing on their Channel 5 television and occasionally they'd answer yes or they would assume I was involved, as if I was a part of the show.
15. I started typing my declaration explaining I was a victim of privacy violation done by (WMAQ-TV) Television station then asked Witnesses (*strangers, associates, and family members*) to sign my documents.
16. From 2018 - 2019 I began studying Civil Litigation.

#### **Pro se Related Previous Fourth Amendment Lawsuit**

17. From 2018 -- 2022 I've written about three related lawsuits against the Government on my Fourth Amendment rights but because of being an amateur in litigation I never survived to *Discovery*.
18. On or around August 07, 2018, I filed my first lawsuit (*Roland v. Federal Government No. 18-c-5363*) the complaint didn't survive *Discovery* because I never issued a summons so the case was dismissed on Sua Sponte on August 28, 2018.

19. On or around May 09, 2019, my second *Pro se* Lawsuit (*Roland v. Pai et, al No. 19-cv-03128*) again for the same reasons as before the complaint did not survive *Discovery* and dismissed Sua Sponte on June 11, 2019.
20. The year 2021 was my worst year I lost my phone at work which had all of the video evidence I worked so hard and strategized for. That same year I also mishandled and misplaced my witness Affidavits.
21. Towards the end of 2021 I rush to acquire fresh evidence while studying to enhance my litigation skills.
22. On or around March 01, 2022, I filed my third lawsuit this time with both evidence and summons but my case (*Roland v. U.S. Department of Justice No. 22-cv-01066*) did not survive *Discovery* because I was denied due Process, I wasn't allowed a Meet and Confer.

#### COUNT ONE

##### **Restatement of the Second of Tort Section 652: Intrusion on Seclusion**

23. The Plaintiff realleges as through fully set forth at length, and included herein by reference, all of the allegation and statements contained in paragraphs 7 through 22 of the Facts, above and including.
24. Plaintiff Roland has Article III standing of this Intrusion on Seclusion claim because it evolves both Physical and Mental harm *See Trans Union LLC. vs. Ramirez, 594 U.S. 413 (2021)*.
25. The Defendant NBC Subsidiary (WMAQ-TV) LLC., without authorization intentionally invaded Roland's expectation of privacy through a Zoom-like experience where I can be

exposed to the viewer and Host (*See quoting Robbins vs. Lower Merion School District* No. 2:11-cv-07495-JP the suit alleged that in what was dubbed the "Webcam Gate" scandal the schools secretly spied on the students while they were in the privacy of their homes).

26. Plaintiff's violation of Intrusion on Seclusion by Defendant has been carried out via Zoom-like experience. Roland has noticed the privacy violations since on or around January 01, 2018- Present.
27. On August 22, 2024, Plaintiff Roland uploaded a dated video clip in the same context as the past/current videos to this Honorable Court.
28. The video evidence shows the plaintiff watching The Today show on WMAQ-TV and waving to the TV commentators and receiving a wave back similar to via Zoom (*fresh evidence is available upon the court's request*). **Exhibit A.**
29. Plaintiff presumption video evidence can be proven by Laying a Foundation.
30. The recording device was capable of recording the video; A competent person operated the device; The recording can be authenticated by a subpoena; There have been no modifications to the recordings by both Plaintiff and Defendant's Technical experts; The speakers in the video can testify; and the conversation was made voluntary, in good faith.
31. Along with video evidence Plaintiff has resent collected an Affidavit and witness sign testaments **Exhibit B - C.**
32. The Intrusion on Seclusion has caused Plaintiff physical harm and mental anguish.
33. Since 2018 Plaintiff Roland has been involved in 8 physical altercations linked to the Defendant.

34. While running errands or doing leisure activities Plaintiff would run into enraged strangers and they would start attacking I would ask why? They'd yelled, "TIRED OF YOU APPERING ON MY CHANNEL 5."
35. I often have to change my routes from home to work and back because of stalkers again linked to the Defendant.
36. On Several occasions I would see some of the same entity going back and forth from my house to work and out of curiosity, I asked why are you stalking me? They'd respond "I see you on my Television."
37. The Combination of physical altercation and stalking has caused both mental anguish and suffering.
38. As a direct and proximate result of Invasion of Privacy: Intrusion on Seclusion by Defendant, Plaintiff Anthony Roland has suffered and continues to suffer from, including but not limited to being forced to live in isolation, suffering both mental and physical harm, public humiliation, anxiety, and loss of appetite. *Pro se* Roland is entitled to damages, including presumed economic damages, as a consequence of NBC Subsidiary (WMAQ-TV) LLC., above allegations.
39. Wherefore, Plaintiff Anthony Roland prays for Injunctive Relief against Invasion of Privacy and punitive damages to be \$40,000.00 (Forty Thousand Dollars) for the first time Defendant violated my expectation of privacy and \$20,000.00 (Twenty Thousand Dollars) thereafter and such other relief as this court deems just.

**COUNT TWO**

**19 U.S.C. § 1592: Negligence against NBC Subsidiary (WMAQ-TV) LLC.**

40. Plaintiff realleges as through fully set forth at length and incorporates herein by reference, all the allegations and statements contained in paragraphs 7 through 22 of the Facts, paragraphs 23 through 39 of Count One above and including.
41. The Defendant ignored their Legal Duty of Care by not taking reasonable actions before and after Plaintiff's several repetitive alternative methods of notifications.
42. *First*, the plaintiff made several calls to the Defendant Offices to stop the actions, but none of their administrative returned Roland's calls. *Second*, Plaintiff sent e-mails with attached video evidence for an explanation or a remedy of the cause. *Third*, Plaintiff frequently wrote detailed letters eventually followed by Cease and Desist to both NBC Subsidiary company and the parent company Comcast Corporation and all actions were neglected **Exhibit D**.
43. *Finally*, after being neglected Roland turned to two Government agencies to end the Intrusion on seclusion allegations, both are FCC (*Federal Communications Commission*) and FTC (*Federal Trade Commission*) again still no response **Exhibit F**.
44. Defendant had Foreseeable knowledge that the exposure from the Zoom-like circumstance would cause Plaintiff to have a barrage of physical attacks, mental anguish, and substantial risk.
45. If Plaintiff Roland had never been exposed to the Zoom-like circumstance by the defendant, no physical altercation, mental anguish, and Substantial risk would/will happen.
46. Both physical altercation and mental attack that occurred by the entity from the exposure resulted in extreme back aches, a sprained ankle, several bruises, and severe headaches. All entities pointed to the Defendant's platform for the Cause-In-Fact.



47. If however, the Defendant's Tech. Expert investigation shows that the Zoom activity is done by a hacker by some sort of malware the Plaintiff can still file a Negligence claim against WMAQ-TV by Subrogation, *See M.S. vs. Amazon.com, Inc.*, No. 3:23-cv-0046 (S.D.W.Va. Nov. 30, 2023).<sup>2</sup>
48. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Negligence, Plaintiff Roland has suffered and continues to suffer from, including but not limited to being forced to live in isolation, suffered both mental and physical harm, public humiliation, anxiety, and loss of appetite.
49. Because of the Defendant's negligence to establish a reasonable action, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages appropriate to the fullest extent permitted by law.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, The *Pro Se* Anthony Roland, respectfully demands judgment against the Defendant for:

- I. Permanent injunctive relief from a violation of privacy;
- II. General damages for all past and future physical pain, and mental anguish suffered by the Plaintiff;
- III. Punitive damages to the fullest extent permitted by law;
- IV. Order the Defendant to award whistleblower of any similar issue;
- V. Order the Defendant a mandatory 100-hour class on Human Rights, each staff member must complete the course with a 20-page essay on Privacy Rights.
- VI. Such other further specific and general relief as may become apparent from discovery.

---

<sup>2</sup> *M.S. vs. Amazon.com, Inc.*, No. 3:23-cv-0046 A Federal Court in West Virginia recently ruled that a negligence claim could proceed against Amazon related to a spy camera. The negligence claim is specifically interesting for Subrogation professionals as it potentially provide an additional avenue for recovery against Amazon in addition to a product liability claim.

**THE PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A BENCH TRIAL**

***Pro se* Plaintiff Requests Adjudication by an Article III Judge.**

Respectfully submitted,

*s/ Anthony Roland*

By: *Pro se* Anthony Roland  
5642 S. Wells St.  
Chicago, IL. 60621  
Anthonyroland385@gmail.com

***Dated: 8/30/2024***

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The Plaintiff certifies that on this day a true and correct copy of the foregoing  
**PLAINTIFF FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT** was served on August 30, 2024, to the  
Defendant NBC Subsidiary (WMAQ-TV) LLC by certified mail at the address provided to the  
U.S. District Court for Northern District of Illinois.

*s/Anthony Roland*  
Anthony Roland

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION

ANTHONY ROLAND

Plaintiff,

vs.

NBC SUBSIDIARY (WMAQ-TV) LLC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:24-cv-04500

Judge: Hon. Jeremy C. Daniel

***EXHIBITS***  
***TO THE PLAINTIFF AMENDED COMPLAINT***

ANTHONY ROLAND  
PRO SE  
5642 S. WELLS ST.  
CHICAGO, IL. 60621  
(312) 292-8142

## **EXHIBITS**

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<i>Page</i>
<b>Exhibit A – Digital Evidence</b> .....	1 ex
<b>Exhibit B – Affidavit</b> .....	2 ex
<b>Exhibit C – Witness Signatures</b> .....	3 ex
<b>Exhibit D – Comcast Corporation Certified mail</b> .....	8 ex
<b>Exhibit E – Register Agent</b> .....	9 ex
<b>Exhibit F – Government agencies</b> .....	10 ex

**EXHIBIT A**



**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
Northern District of Illinois  
219 South Dearborn Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60604**

**Thomas G. Bruton  
Clerk**

**312-435-5670**

**Date: 8/22/2024**

**Case Number: 1:24-cv-04500**

**Case Title: Roland v. NBC Subsidiary  
(WMAQ-TV) LLC**

**Judge: Jeremy C. Daniel**

**DIGITAL EXHIBIT INFORMATION FORM - PUBLIC**

**Filing Party: Anthony Roland**

**Exhibit is linked to entry: N/A**

**NOTE: This form is for Non-Sealed Documents only. For instructions regarding Sealed Documents, please see Local Rule 26.2.**

**If you wish to download a digital exhibit, please send your request to the Certified Copy Desk (CCD) inbox at [CCD\\_ILND@ilnd.uscourts.gov](mailto:CCD_ILND@ilnd.uscourts.gov). You will be provided with an invoice and charged according to the Fee Schedule. Once your payment has been processed, you will receive a URL via email to download the exhibit.**

**Thomas G. Bruton, Clerk**

**By: /s/BI  
Deputy Clerk**

# AFFIDAVIT

(SWORN STATEMENT)

Date: 08/21/2024

Felix D. Roland in the presence of the undersigned notary, under oath

I am a witness to the violation of ANTHONY ROLAND invasion of privacy done on behalf of the platform of NBC Subsidiary (WMAQ-TV) LLC how by witnessing him live through the transparent of the station platform that is viewed throughout the United States  
Under penalty of perjury, I hereby declare and affirm that the above-mentioned statement is, to the best of my knowledge, true and correct.

This is an original document

Felix D. Roland Date: 08-21-2024  
 Signature of Affiant

State of Illinois - County of Cook

This statement was acknowledged before me on 08-21-2024 (Date)

By FELIX D ROLAND  
ANTHONY ROLAND

## NOTARY ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached; and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

State of [Illinois]


County of [Cook]

Signed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on AUGUST 21<sup>st</sup> 2024  
 Date

By FELIX D ROLAND  
 Printed name of individual making statement

Yuridy Zamora  
 (signature of notary public)

Notary Public, State of Illinois



**EXHIBIT C**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

**ANTHONY ROLAND**

**Vs.**

**NBC Subsidiary (WMAQ-TV) LLC**

**Re: Witness Signature**

I witness Anthony Roland transparent violation of privacy by the platform of NBC Subsidiary (WMAQ-TV) through an example of a Zoom experience. I hereby declare and affirm that the statement is to the best of my knowledge, true and correct.

  
Witness Signature

Dated: August 23, 2024

By 

The printed name of the person who type the statement.



**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**


**ANTHONY ROLAND**

**Vs.**

**NBC Subsidiary (WMAQ-TV) LLC**

**Re: Witness Signature**

I witness Anthony Roland transparent violation of privacy by the platform of NBC Subsidiary (WMAQ-TV) through an example of a Zoom experience. I hereby declare and affirm that the statement is to the best of my knowledge, true and correct.



Dated: August 23, 2024

Witness Signature

By Anthony Roland

The printed name of the person who type the statement.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**


ANTHONY ROLAND

Vs.

NBC Subsidiary (WMAQ-TV) LLC


**Re: Witness Signature**

I witness Anthony Roland transparent violation of privacy by the platform of NBC Subsidiary (WMAQ-TV) through an example of a Zoom experience. I hereby declare and affirm that the statement is to the best of my knowledge, true and correct.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Witness Signature

Dated: August 23, 2024

By



\_\_\_\_\_  
The printed name of the person who type the statement.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

ANTHONY ROLAND

Vs.

NBC Subsidiary (WMAQ-TV) LLC

**Re: Witness Signature**


I witness Anthony Roland transparent violation of privacy by the platform of NBC Subsidiary (WMAQ-TV) through an example of a Zoom experience. I hereby declare and affirm that the statement is to the best of my knowledge, true and correct.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Witness Signature

Dated: August 23, 2024

By



\_\_\_\_\_  
The printed name of the person who type the statement.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

**ANTHONY ROLAND**

**Vs.**

**NBC Subsidiary (WMAQ-TV) LLC**

**Re: Witness Signature**

I witness Anthony Roland transparent violation of privacy by the platform of NBC Subsidiary (WMAQ-TV) through an example of a Zoom experience. I hereby declare and affirm that the statement is to the best of my knowledge, true and correct.


*Danna Mendez*  
Witness Signature

Dated: August 23, 2024

By *Anthony Roland*

The printed name of the person who type the statement.


**EXHIBIT D**

<b>USPS TRACKING#</b>  <b>9590 9402 5372 9189 1515 48</b>		<b>First-Class Mail</b> <b>Postage &amp; Fees Paid</b> <b>USPS</b> <b>Permit No. G-10</b>
<b>United States</b> <b>Postal Service</b>	<b>• Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4® in this box •</b> Anthony Roland 7640 S. Michigan Chicago IL 60619	

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.</li> <li>■ Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.</li> </ul>		<b>A. Signature</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Addressee <b>CONCAST CORPORATION</b> <b>ONE CONCAST CENTER</b> <b>PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2838</b> <b>Received by (Print Name)</b> <b>Date of Delivery</b> <b>Is delivery restricted to the address above?</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
<b>1. Article Addressed to:</b> Con Cast One Comcast Center PHILA DELPHIA, PA 19103-2838		<b>3. Service Type</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail® <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express® <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™ <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™ <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery	
<b>2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)</b> <b>7019 2970 0000 7939 0782</b>		<b>Domestic Return Receipt</b>	

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

**APP 27**



May 22, 2024

**Notice of Rejected Service of Process – Log# S46484151**

Agent Served: C T Corporation

Party Served: NBC (WMAQ-TV)

Jurisdiction Served: Illinois

Title of Action: Re: Anthony T. Roland // To: NBC (WMAQ-TV)

Case No.

Dear Sir/Madam:

We have received documents from you in the above-referenced matter, but we are not able to forward the documents to any party due to the reason indicated below.

☐ Not Agent: According to our records and/or the records of the Secretary of State, we are not the registered agent for the party you are attempting to serve.

☒ Documents Do Not Correctly Identify the Intended Recipient: The name of the party that you are trying to serve appears to be incomplete and/or there are other errors in how you have identified the party that you are trying to serve. Our company is the registered agent for service of process for hundreds of thousands of entities, and because of your errors in identifying the party that you are trying to serve, we are unable to determine the identity of the party that you are attempting to serve.

☐ Inactive Entity: The entity that you are attempting to serve is either discontinued on our records and/or inactive with the Secretary of State. Accordingly, we no longer have any active agreement with the entity to operate as its registered agent for service of process, and we no longer have current delivery instructions on file.


To be clear, for the aforementioned reason(s), we have not been able to forward the documents that you are attempting to serve to any party. We are writing this letter to you so that you can take action to address the problems that we have identified. If you do not correct the errors identified herein, the party you are trying to serve will not receive notice of these documents.

Please email [SOPInquiries@wolterskluwer.com](mailto:SOPInquiries@wolterskluwer.com) if you believe that you have received this letter in error or if you need further assistance.

Very truly yours,  
C T Corporation System

(Returned To)

Anthony Roland  
5642 S. Wells St.,  
Chicago, IL 60621

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>CLAIM FOR DAMAGE, INJURY, OR DEATH</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>INSTRUCTIONS:</b> Please read carefully the instructions on the reverse side and supply information requested on both sides of this form. Use additional sheet(s) if necessary. See reverse side for additional instructions.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>FORM APPROVED</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>OMB NO. 1105-0008</b>
<b>1. Submit to Appropriate Federal Agency:</b> <b>Federal Communication Commission</b> <b>Office of General Counsel</b> <b>45 L Street NE</b> <b>Washington, DC 20554</b>	<b>2. Name, address of claimant, and claimant's personal representative if any.</b> (See instructions on reverse). Number, Street, City, State and Zip code. <b>Anthony Roland</b> <b>5642 S. Wells St.</b> <b>Chicago, IL 60621</b>	
<b>3. TYPE OF EMPLOYMENT</b> <input type="checkbox"/> MILITARY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>CIVILIAN</b>	<b>4. DATE OF BIRTH</b> <b>04/20/1980</b>	<b>5. MARITAL STATUS</b> <b>Single</b>
<b>6. DATE AND DAY OF ACCIDENT</b> <b>Jan. 01, 2022 - Present</b>		<b>7. TIME (A.M. OR P.M.)</b> <b>N/A</b>
<b>8. BASIS OF CLAIM</b> (State in detail the known facts and circumstances attending the damage, injury, or death, identifying persons and property involved, the place of occurrence and the cause thereof. Use additional pages if necessary). <b>Willful conduct of Invasion of Privacy done by the Telecommunication and Broadband network causing Article III Standing as in <i>Spokeo, INC v. Robins</i>, 578 U.S. 330 (2016). I have live video evidence of interacting with the TV host through my TV causing transparency (I wave and host wave). Since the TV Stations are aired around the states not only is it causing gross negligence and Substantial risk it causing Injury as in impairment to my well-being.</b>		
<b>9. PROPERTY DAMAGE</b>		
<b>NAME AND ADDRESS OF OWNER, IF OTHER THAN CLAIMANT</b> (Number, Street, City, State, and Zip Code). <b>Same (Anthony Roland)</b>		
<b>BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE PROPERTY, NATURE AND EXTENT OF THE DAMAGE AND THE LOCATION OF WHERE THE PROPERTY MAY BE INSPECTED.</b> (See instructions on reverse side). <b>Negligent infliction of emotional distress and a risk of physical attacks.</b>		
<b>10. PERSONAL INJURY/WRONGFUL DEATH</b>		
<b>STATE THE NATURE AND EXTENT OF EACH INJURY OR CAUSE OF DEATH, WHICH FORMS THE BASIS OF THE CLAIM. IF OTHER THAN CLAIMANT, STATE THE NAME OF THE INJURED PERSON OR DECEDENT.</b> <b>Impairment to my Well-being because of the TV webcam causing me (Anthony Roland) to be under electronic surveillance. 28 U.S.C. §§ 2671-2680 The FCC breached its duty by <u>not</u> protecting my privacy rights from the Communication Bandwidth.</b>		
<b>11. WITNESSES</b>		
<b>NAME</b>	<b>ADDRESS (Number, Street, City, State, and Zip Code)</b>	
<b>TV Host:</b> <b>Hoda Kotb, Al Roker and Dylan Dreyer.</b>	<b>Today:</b> <b>35 West 48<sup>th</sup> Street (Between 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> Avenues) overlooking Rockefeller Plaza. New York, NY 10112</b>	
<b>12. (See instructions on reverse). AMOUNT OF CLAIM (in dollars)</b>		
<b>12a. PROPERTY DAMAGE</b> <b>NONE</b>	<b>12b. PERSONAL INJURY</b> <b>[REDACTED]</b>	<b>12c. WRONGFUL DEATH</b> <b>NONE</b>
<b>12d. TOTAL (Failure to specify may cause forfeiture of your rights).</b> <b>[REDACTED]</b>		
<b>I CERTIFY THAT THE AMOUNT OF CLAIM COVERS ONLY DAMAGES AND INJURIES CAUSED BY THE INCIDENT ABOVE AND AGREE TO ACCEPT SAID AMOUNT IN FULL SATISFACTION AND FINAL SETTLEMENT OF THIS CLAIM.</b>		
<b>13a. SIGNATURE OF CLAIMANT</b> (See instructions on reverse side). 	<b>13b. PHONE NUMBER OF PERSON SIGNING FORM</b> <b>312-292-8142</b>	<b>14. DATE OF SIGNATURE</b> <b>July 01, 2024</b>

USPS TRACKING#




First-Class Mail  
Postage & Fees Paid  
USPS  
Permit No. G-10

United States  
Postal Service

\* Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4® in this box\*

Anthony Roland  
5642 S. Wells St.  
Chicago IL 60621

1-405142



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

FTC  
Consumer Response Center  
600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW  
Washington, DC 20580



9590 9402 7168 1251 5013 84

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7001 2510 0002 3701 3815

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X FTC

☐ Agent

☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail  
Mail Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt



**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE Northern District of Illinois – CM/ECF NextGen 1.7.1.1  
Eastern Division**

Anthony Roland

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No.: 1:24-cv-04500

Honorable Jeremy C. Daniel

NBC Subsidiary (WMAQ-TV) LLC

Defendant.

**NOTIFICATION OF DOCKET ENTRY**

This docket entry was made by the Clerk on Monday, September 16, 2024:

MINUTE entry before the Honorable Jeremy C. Daniel: Motion to dismiss plaintiff's amended complaint [23] is entered and briefed as follows: response is due on or before 10/7/2024; any reply is due by 10/15/2024. Status hearing set for 10/30/2024 is reset to 11/26/2024 at 9:30 a.m. The motion hearing is stricken. Mailed notice. (vcf, )

**ATTENTION:** This notice is being sent pursuant to Rule 77(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Rule 49(c) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. It was generated by CM/ECF, the automated docketing system used to maintain the civil and criminal dockets of this District. If a minute order or other document is enclosed, please refer to it for additional information.

For scheduled events, motion practices, recent opinions and other information, visit our web site at [www.ilnd.uscourts.gov](http://www.ilnd.uscourts.gov).