

4) 25-6290

No. 25-cv-00201-DJH ✓

SPIN # 25-cv-00563-TWP-TAB

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

JUL 29 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

In Re Jerry E. Robertson — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS

dead case from 2008 a active civil case

PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

Jerry Eugene Robertson
(Your Name)

3122 Virginia Ave.
(Address)

Louisville, Ky. 40211-3454
(City, State, Zip Code)

(502) 500-6589
(Phone Number)

65.
I've a civil/case petitioned since 20-21 taken apart attempted to
force a change Cover up their's 8 page's e-filed by Southern Dist.
of Indiana to Paducah Ky. I'm denied my copy prevent my using
the three docket no's on file code from this ct. given to me back
In 2008 leaving only my original/sic claim from 2008

my Case
25-cv-00563-TWP-TAB

Jerry E. Robertson
plaintiff/appellate

vs

Jeff. Co. atty's office's
defendants/appellee's
dead case used by
Kentucky from 2008
25-cv-00201-DJA

Jerry E. Robertson
plaintiff

vs

Comm'n of Kentucky et al.
defendants'

"both civil/case's taken apart to prevent prosecution"

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows: *but added to an I.R. proceedings.*

RELATED CASES

u.s.dist,ct,district for columbia an u.s.ct.of apps district of columbia
also supreme ct. of u.s. trial set for u.s.ct.of apps seventh cir. of chic-
ago, illinois.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A - affidavit or declaration

APPENDIX B - petition for writ of Mandamus

APPENDIX C - question(s) presented

APPENDIX D - reasons for granting petition

APPENDIX E - statement of the case

APPENDIX F - constitutional & statutory provisions involved

Appendix G - jurisdiction

Appendix H - table of authorities cited

Appendix I - conclusion

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
U.S. dist. ct. Western Dist. of (25-cv-00201-DJH)	8 pages
U.S. Ct. of App's Seventh Cir. (25-cv-00563-TWP-TAB)	25-30 pages
entry-judge Code-4415, transaction-id.109871	25-30 pages

STATUTES AND RULES

- 28 USC § 1915-proceedings *In forma pauperis*
- 42 USC § 1983-civil action for deprivation of rights
- 42 USC § 1985-conspiracy to interfere with civil rights-(2+3)
- 42 USC § 1986-action for neglect to prevent

OTHER

- Petition writ of Mandamus
- Petition writ of Certiorari to U.S. Ct. of App's
- Petitions 42 USC § 300aa-12(F)
- Appeal-28 USC § 2522
- Ct. of Supreme Ct. No. 21-779 (1) 5745.6, 5585, 05
- part XXI. 1-780 R.S. CC 34, 5714
- 42 USC 374.96 set 2119, 48 Led. 2d 725 (1976) 28 USC § 1291-1615a

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of mandamus issue.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is
[] reported at hasn't had a chance to get case; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is
[] reported at tryed to just dispose of case; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is
[] reported at State ct. litigation's removed; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the nothing said or done court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is
[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was (5-30-23) Took my Mandate

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case. *don't remember*

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix ____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. __ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).
*Moved on to Seventh Cir. dist. asked to see old papers
from 2008 then e-filed to Paducah, Ky.*

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was denied.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix ____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix ____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. __ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).
*State of litigations taken out of ct. house by Jeff Co. attys'
office's nothing said or done period.*

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

[Computer fraud & Conspiracy to commit Computer fraud].
[Falsification & falsifying of its & trial records].

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

8 pages involved Clerk of the Ct. Southern Dist. of Indiana requested to see my papers from 2008 I've let two other Cts a/c together three the Seventh Cir Ct. of App's even stamped them but was e-filed to paducah, Ky. (4-9-25) I'm sent transfer order (4-9-25) the U.S. Southern Dist. of Indiana, Indianapolis, Div.

first order (4-20-25) U.S. Dist. Ct. Western Dist.

of Ky. my transfer order taken at law library I kept it in envelope from paducah, Ky. It's my copy of e-file paper was the perfect response to Order (4-20-25) using entry judge code transaction-id received in office (5-19-25) no response.

Second order (6-2-25) Western Dist. of Ky. I'm to petition

my original 551 claim from 2008 to U.S. Ct. of App's Sixth Cir. a/c CIR. nothing not my case a response to Order (4-20-25) due by (6-20-25) nothing charge of venue notarized (6-20-25) submitted to U.S. dist. Ct. paducah, Ky. But a word still.

Third order two of them one (7-25-25) they've assigned a new judge, no judge code or transaction-id, one (7-29-25) my change of venue denied both addressed to Western Dist. of Ky. but from U.S. dist. Ct. Owensboro, Ky. I'm to repetition proceedings to a so-called different judge one submission to each Ct. I'm denied copy of 8 pages preventing the three dockets no's a/n file codes.

proceedings forwarded to U.S. Ct. of App's Seventh Cir. a/c Chicago, Ill.

two case's

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

one being petitioned and one being forced through
Cover up the wrong doing's period

91.
civil case restored trial at U.S. Ct. of App's Seventh Cir. at
Chicago, Illinois.
their way of refusing the three docket Reg. and file codes,
nothing else petitioned to Sixth Cir. Since 2008,

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of mandamus should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Jerry E. Robertson

Date: November 6, 2025