

Case No. 24-1661

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**

ORDER

TERRENCE TERRELL MOORE

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

CORRECTIONS OFFICER PIAZZA; CORRECTIONS OFFICER SALGATE; DYLIN
GODFREY, Deputy Warden; ADAM DOUGLAS, Warden

Defendants - Appellees

Appellant having previously been advised that failure to satisfy certain specified obligations would result in dismissal of the case for want of prosecution and it appearing that the appellant has failed to satisfy the following obligation(s):

The proper fee was not paid by August 7, 2025.

It is therefore **ORDERED** that this cause be, and it hereby is, dismissed for want of prosecution.

**ENTERED PURSUANT TO RULE 45(a),
RULES OF THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**
Kelly L. Stephens, Clerk

Issued: August 12, 2025

Kelly L. Stephens

United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit

U.S. Mail Notice of Docket Activity

The following transaction was filed on 08/12/2025.

Case Name: Terrence Moore v. Piazza, et al

Case Number: 24-1661

Docket Text:

ORDER filed to dismiss for want of prosecution for failure to pay filing fee. No mandate to issue.

The following documents(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document Description: Order

Notice will be sent to:

Mr. Terrence Terrell Moore
Macomb Correctional Facility
34625 26 Mile Road
Lenox Township, MI 48048-3000

A copy of this notice will be issued to:

Ms. Kinikia D. Essix

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

TERRENCE TERRELL MOORE,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 24-11768
Honorable Nancy G. Edmunds

v.

PIAZZA, ET AL.,

Defendants.

OPINION AND ORDER SUMMARILY DISMISSING CASE

Terrence Terrell Moore is in the custody of the Michigan Department of Corrections, currently incarcerated at the Saginaw Correctional Facility in Freeland, Michigan. He has filed a *pro se* civil rights complaint alleging that defendants have stolen items from several mail packages intended for him. Because Plaintiff has been enjoined from filing lawsuits in this Court without first obtaining leave of the Chief Judge, and such leave has not been granted, the Court dismisses the complaint.

On March 23, 2015, United States District Judge Arthur J. Tarnow enjoined Plaintiff “from filing any new actions in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan without first applying for and receiving a court order from the Chief Judge authorizing the filing of such lawsuit.” *See Moore v. Hartley, et al.*, No. 2:09-cv-13536 (E. D. Mich. Mar. 23, 2015). Plaintiff has not alleged or demonstrated that he received permission from the Chief Judge of this District to file this lawsuit. Therefore, the Court finds that Plaintiff is barred from initiating this action and DISMISSES the complaint.

SO ORDERED.

s/Nancy G. Edmunds
NANCY G. EDMUNDS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED: July 25, 2024