

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES**

Gregory Ryan Webb
Petitioner

V

Docket No. 25-6238

State Of Tennessee
Respondent

**ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI BEFORE JUDGMENT TO
THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT
SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF**


I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 15.8, pro se Petitioner submits this supplemental brief to call the Court's attention to an intervening matter not available at the time of the last filing: the entry of judgment by the the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit.

II. THE INTERVENING MATTERS

- a. On 12/16/2025, the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit entered a final judgment dismissing Case No. 25-5710.

(A copy of the Order/Opinion is attached as Appendix A)



III. ARGUMENT

1. Jurisdiction: I am pro se petitioner who filed under Rule 11. The entry of judgment should now bring the case within the Court's standard certiorari jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. 1254(1).

2. Mootness: The entry of final judgment by the Court of Appeals does not render the petition moot; rather, it formalizes the legal posture of the case, allowing this Court to exercise its standard certiorari jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. 1254 (1). The Appellant Court was not fully aware of documents that should be relevant in extraordinary circumstances. (See Appendix B)

3. Error:

A. The United States Constitution is interpreted to me as

"SUPREME LAW" in unique and extraordinary cases. This case is unique and extraordinary with related cases available for this Court to consider as a factor.

a. The state's witness appears victim when the opposite is true.

b. This Court should consider an amended complaint for case no.

3:25-cv-00394 that was filed on 12/22/2025 and is sitting before the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee. This related litigation demonstrates the severity and scope of the

alleged misconduct tied to Constitutional Rights violations. I am alleging I was in-part used to “deseat” the only Democratic sitting Judge related to the replacement Judge who purposely allowed obstruction against me. The Board of Judicial Conduct and Speaker of the House for Tennessee are defendants.

- c. Cumberland County and the 13th District of Tennessee abused power manipulated into appearing legal when it is not. This Court has more power when I am alleging Cumberland County and the 13th District of Tennessee should be corrected.

B. State Court Jurisdiction and Official Misconduct:

- a. Cumberland County and the 13th District of Tennessee lacked jurisdiction and the allegations of “stalking” me.
- b. Obstruction that occurred against me that included my phone, my evidence, and contacting my previous friend in Spring City, TN to purposely obstruct was not allowed in their official or individual capacity scope. I view the obstruction as tampering.

C. Denial of Fundamental Rights to a Fair Trial, Equal Protection, and Effective Assistance of Counsel, (Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments):

- a. My previous Attorney was paid in full for retainers she stole after post bonuses were added as an incentive. My “sub-conscious awareness” was telling me something was extremely wrong. I manipulated financial bonuses to persuade the Attorney to “do the right thing”. The opposite occurred.
- b. Paid Attorney Ivy Mayberry openly blamed me for a Judge’s death in her alleged violent election conspiracy.
- c. My previous paid Attorney Ivy Mayberry and “friends” were openly allowed to stalk me after Mayberry was removed from my cases. Admission to Mrs. Mayberry stalking me is in writing with her signature in a response letter to the Board of Professional Responsibility I received a copy of. The same group who committed violence, excessive force, and election conspiracies stalked me through a beginning of involuntary tracking, attempts to intimidate, and harassment that included the State’s witness or my “ex-wife”. The act was a tool that Mrs. Mayberry and friends used to take the attention off of herself including the excessive force against me allowing the kidnappings of my twelve (12) year old son to appear legal.

- d. I was purposely denied equal protection related to past incarceration. Mayberry and “friends” used this tactic taking attention off of their corruption. I have no history of violence or sexual behavior. I was above average citizen and above average employee during the entire beginning of this “lunatic ball”. I was pushed to move forward in life as a male who could rebuild and start a new life after total loss. I was viewed as a male who could respond to harassment and intimidation. I responded to all without violence while suffering my son's kidnapping. I was purposely placed in “awkward” locations and circumstances to push me into failure.
- e. A memory amnesia or “lapse” was restored by the Tennessee Appellant and Supreme Court through a January 25, 2022 Board of Professional Responsibility document remailed to me from a Knoxville, TN Appellant Court address during 2025. I have remembered more and “connected more dots”, throughout 2025 after the certiorari was produced for this case.
- f. My Fundamental Right to a fair trial was purposely denied. I was forced to continuously confront the trial attorney who wouldn't acknowledge the obvious obstruction against me. I

reported the trial attorney. It was too late to correct. The damage was done. I am alleging everyone who was involved with the Cumberland County, TN trial for case no. CR-22-130 knew I was being denied a fair trial.

- g. This denial of my Constitutional rights should be more public than just a post conviction judgment. I do not plan on filing anything to Tennessee that especially includes the 13th District. Their corruption has reached the news media and is all over social media with no relation to me or this docket no.

Tennessee characters involved are too humiliated to correct.

- h. The memory amnesia or "lapse" is true when I have purposely pushed this fact to prevent further humiliation against the Tennessee Appellant and Supreme Court.

D. Obstruction of Justice and Due Process Violations:

- a. I was purposely blamed for a Judge's death with evidence supporting my previous paid attorney's actions being deleted from my Iphone 11. Other evidence was deleted. The Board of Judicial Conduct received and held knowledge of this evidence.
- b. Aggravated Perjury and Perjury on paper is continuous against me with no relief or accountability.

- c. Characters I have named in this docket no. and related cases, that include the non-credible State's witness and Attorney Ivy Mayberry and "friends" have no remorse.
- d. The replacement Judge participated and/or has knowledge of obstruction against me that includes physical evidence hidden or destroyed related to the aggravated perjuries he was and is aware of.
- e. The 13 District Assistant District Attorney held evidence I submitted, in her hands at the preliminary hearing that later "disappeared". I submitted evidence over and over. I own a video of submitting evidence to the 13th District DA's Crossville, TN office when copies were submitted to all persons and entities involved. Characters and defendants named in related cases have purposely turned a blind eye to violence against me. The 13th District has a public and repetitive history of ignoring violence against victims.
- f. The Board of Judicial Conduct participated purposely allowing obstruction against me to be suppressed and privately reprimanded preventing relief for me or the State cases.

- g. I am denied Due Process after this misdemeanor domestic assault has possibly reached a record level of obstruction preventing correction.

E. Public Importance and Election Conspiracy:

- a. I was purposely denied my Fundamental Right to a fair trial to obstruct a violent election conspiracy. The premeditation of election conspiracy occurred on 09/16/21 when my active order of protection was "set aside". Making me appear guilty in all ways possible was fabricated to make me appear desperate and delusional allowing my allegations to be ignored.
- b. I discovered I was expected to file a complaint against a sitting Republican Judge by Attorney Ivy Mayberry related to the 09/16/21 Order of Protection me and my son owned being set aside. When I asked Mayberry "what should I write", on 11/18/21, Mrs. Mayberry looked at me like I was disabled or a two year old child. I did not know her plan.
- c. I have alleged an attempted entrapment against a sitting Republican Judge during an election timeline. My witness account of the Judge not knowing my Order of Protection was unlawfully set aside, is supported by the cumulative effect that

includes how opposing Attorney Kevin Bryant was acting directly before the proceeding and Attorney Ivy Mayberry refusing to attend that proceeding. My witnessing the Judge not knowing through fraud to the court is part of the memory “lapse” and restoration.

- d. In the event of the attempted entrapment against the Judge being challenged as not true, there is a secondary “catch all”. I have considered assuming the Judge was possibly a “good actor” and fraud to the court was not occurring. It is irrelevant. Attorney Ivy Mayberry and “friends” were aware of my evidence before the October 6-ish, 2021 court proceeding and the manipulated complaint initiated on 11/18/21. The Judge did not receive any warning and was purposely “blindsided”, that includes my domestic violence documents and other evidence.
- e. Manipulation and fraud to the Courts has continued without correction proving that it is allowed in this United States without consequence.
- f. The sitting Republican Judge's death was blamed on me through a Court document that is newly discovered evidence after the certiorari filing. (See Appendix B). This conspiracy

was the motive for specific acts of obstruction and Constitutional rights violations against me and the misdemeanor criminal case against me.

- g. The 13th District of Tennessee has received publicity that includes television media for corruption that is not related to my cases and circumstances. A national attention is needed related to this docket no. and related cases. I am alleging the unsolved murders and missing persons for the district's population or "per capita", does not match the corruption with no public correction. (NOTE: "13th District of Tennessee Murder Billboard"). (See Appendix C)
- h. The Constitutional issues to this case are extreme and are not a "loophole".
- i. Cumberland County and the 13th District of Tennessee assumed jurisdiction over me when they did not have jurisdiction.
- j. Related to newly amended related case no. 3:25-cv-00394 I caught and stopped the replacement Judge from obstructing the first proceeding before him and the court. I am alleging the replacement Judge was supposed to be locked from my cases.

I continued to file complaints to the BJC when I could not get the replacement Judge away from me. The replacement Judge was allowed to participate in a "game" or character test with local public opinion to obstruct the first court proceeding from existence. I was not allowed to win the "game". The Replacement Judge used me and these circumstances to make himself appear a "good christian" while he was concurrently an electoral candidate running for a Circuit Court Judge position. The Board of Judicial Conduct along with Mrs. Mayberry and "friends" helped the replacement Judge defeat a Democratic sitting Judge. Motives include but are not limited to the replacement Judge having a possibly ruined career over the first and obstructed court proceeding staged to obstruct the first and violent election conspiracy. Another motive was to "defeat" the only sitting Democratic Judge in the 13th District of Tennessee during the election timeline allowing the replacement Judge to appear a "hero". Removing the Judge through the Board of Judicial Conduct due process would have made the removal appear "publicly wrong" during the election timeline. Mrs. Mayberry and "friends" assisted in making the


replacement Judge the best choice after he agreed to obstruct a first court proceeding for them.

IV. CONCLUSION

The cumulative constitutional errors alleged, including the extreme denial of effective assistance of counsel, the purposeful obstruction and hiding exculpatory evidence, and the resulting deprivation of Due Process, are supported by a possible record level of State of Tennessee misconduct and pattern of alleged electoral conspiracy. Granting this Writ of Certiorari is imperative to resolve these grave constitutional issues, which have led to a restructuring of the Tennessee Board of Judicial Conduct, and to prevent the depreciation of related federal cases.

Relief Requested: For the reasons stated above and the original petition, I Request this Court to grant the Writ for Certiorari, to review the Judgement of the Court of Appeals.

Respectfully Requested and Submitted,


Gregory Ryan Webb

% 329 East Tanner Street

Waverly, IL 62692

865-297-6641

ryan190023@gmail.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the (pleading) Pro Se Supplemental Brief
has been served on:

(Name) Supreme Court for the United States
(Address) _____
(Address) Washington, DC 20543-0001

(Name) Ms. Sarah Stone, Office of The Attorney General
(Address) 500 Dr. Martin Luther King Boulevard, Suite 3214
(Address) Nashville, TN 37219

(Name) _____
(Address) _____
(Address) _____

(Name) _____
(Address) _____
(Address) _____

(Name) _____
(Address) _____
(Address) _____

(Name) _____
(Address) _____
(Address) _____

(Name) _____
(Address) _____
(Address) _____

(Name) _____
(Address) _____
(Address) _____

(Name) _____
(Address) _____
(Address) _____

on the 12th day of January, 2025.

Gregory Ryan Webb
Signature

*Exhibit A***NOT RECOMMENDED FOR PUBLICATION**

No. 25-5710

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**FILED**Dec 16, 2025
KELLY L. STEPHENS, Clerk

In re: GREGORY RYAN WEBB,

Movant.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)**ORDER**

Before: SUTTON, Chief Judge; CLAY and McKEAGUE, Circuit Judges.

Gregory Ryan Webb, proceeding pro se, moves for an order authorizing the district court to consider a second or successive petition for a writ of habeas corpus, filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3). He also moves for the appointment of counsel and to strike the State of Tennessee's response in opposition to his motion for authorization. For the reasons that follow, Webb's motion for authorization is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

In 2023, a Tennessee jury convicted Webb of domestic assault, a Class A misdemeanor, and the trial court sentenced him to 11 months and 29 days of confinement in the county jail with no post-release supervision to follow. The Tennessee Court of Criminal Appeals affirmed Webb's conviction and sentence on direct appeal. *State v. Webb*, No. E2023-00464-CCA-R3-CD, 2023 WL 8233126, at *8 (Tenn. Crim. App. Nov. 28, 2023), *appeal denied*, (Tenn. Feb. 13, 2024). Webb was released from state custody on July 16, 2023.

In May 2024, Webb filed a § 2254 petition, which the district court dismissed for lack of jurisdiction because Webb had completed his sentence and was no longer in custody. Webb did not appeal.

In December 2024, Webb filed another § 2254 petition. The district court noted that Webb had previously filed a § 2254 petition attacking the same conviction and had not obtained this court's authorization to file a second or successive § 2254 petition, as required by § 2244(b)(3)(A).

No. 25-5710

- 2 -

Rather than transferring Webb's petition to this court, the district court dismissed the petition, finding, as before, that it lacked jurisdiction to consider the petition because Webb was no longer in custody. The district court declined to issue a certificate of appealability, as did this court. *Webb v. Tennessee*, No. 25-5602, 2025 U.S. App. LX 29424 (6th Cir. Nov. 7, 2025).

Webb now moves for authorization to file a second or successive § 2254 petition, seeking to claim that the State violated his due process and equal protection rights and that he received ineffective assistance of trial counsel. But the district courts' jurisdiction to entertain state prisoners' habeas petitions is expressly limited to petitions filed by persons "*in custody* pursuant to the judgment of a State court." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a) (emphasis added). Thus, a district court may consider a prisoner's § 2254 petition only if he files it while "'in custody' under the conviction or sentence under attack." *Maleng v. Cook*, 490 U.S. 488, 490, 492 (1989) (per curiam). Webb is no longer in custody for § 2254 purposes because he has been released from prison and is not subject to post-release supervision. *See id.* at 491 (explaining that a habeas petitioner is not "in custody" when the sentence for the challenged conviction "has fully expired" (emphasis omitted)); *In re Stansell*, 828 F.3d 412, 416 (6th Cir. 2016) (explaining that post-release restraints can count as "custody" under the habeas statutes). Because Webb does not satisfy the in-custody requirement, we lack jurisdiction to consider his motion for authorization. *See In re Lee*, 880 F.3d 242, 243 (6th Cir. 2018) (per curiam).

For these reasons, we **DENY** Webb's motion to strike the warden's response and his motion for the appointment of counsel and **DISMISS** his motion for authorization to file a second or successive § 2254 petition for lack of jurisdiction.

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT


Kelly L. Stephens, Clerk

United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit

U.S. Mail Notice of Docket Activity

The following transaction was filed on 12/16/2025.

Case Name: In Re: Gregory Webb

Case Number: 25-5710

Docket Text:

ORDER we DENY Webb's motion to strike the warden's response and his motion for the appointment of counsel and DISMISS his motion for authorization to file a second or successive § 2254 petition for lack of jurisdiction. . No mandate to issue; dismissing motion second successive case [7391231-2]; denying motion appointment of counsel [7420422-3], decision not for publication. Jeffrey S. Sutton, Chief Circuit Judge; Eric L. Clay and David W. McKeague, Circuit Judges.

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document Description: Order

Notice will be sent to:

Mr. Gregory Ryan Webb
329 East Tanner Street
Waverly, IL 62692

A copy of this notice will be issued to:

Ms. Lynda M. Hill
Ms. Sarah J. Stone

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**