

Supreme Court of Florida

TUESDAY, APRIL 1, 2025

Jesse Alan Walker,
Petitioner(s)

v.
William D. Snyder, Sheriff of
Martin County,
Respondent(s)

CORRECTED ORDER¹
SC2025-0441

Lower Tribunal No(s):
4D2025-0646;
432009CF000440CFAXMX;
432008CF000308CFCXMX

Petitioner's Notice to Invoke Discretionary Jurisdiction, seeking review of the order or opinion issued by the 4th District Court of Appeal on March 14, 2025, is hereby dismissed. This Court lacks jurisdiction to review an unelaborated decision from a district court of appeal that is issued without opinion or explanation or that merely cites to an authority that is not a case pending review in, or reversed or quashed by, this Court. *See Wheeler v. State*, 296 So. 3d 895 (Fla. 2020); *Wells v. State*, 132 So. 3d 1110 (Fla. 2014); *Jackson v. State*, 926 So. 2d 1262 (Fla. 2006); *Gandy v. State*, 846 So. 2d 1141 (Fla. 2003); *Stallworth v. Moore*, 827 So. 2d 974 (Fla. 2002); *Harrison v. Hyster Co.*, 515 So. 2d 1279 (Fla. 1987); *Dodi Publ'g Co. v. Editorial Am. S.A.*, 385 So. 2d 1369 (Fla. 1980); *Jenkins v. State*, 385 So. 2d 1356 (Fla. 1980).

No motion for rehearing or reinstatement will be entertained by the Court.

Petitioner's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis is hereby denied as moot.

¹ Circuit Court and Lower Tribunal case numbers corrected 04/01/2025

CASE NO.: SC2025-0441


Page Two

A True Copy

Test:

SC2025-0441 4/1/2025

John A. Tomasino
Clerk, Supreme Court
SC2025-0441 4/1/2025



TD

Served:

CRIM APP WPB ATTORNEY GENERAL
4DCA CLERK
MARTIN CLERK
HON. WILLIAM LOY ROBY
JESSE A. WALKER

Supreme Court of Florida

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA
FOURTH DISTRICT, 110 SOUTH TAMARIND AVENUE, WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33401

March 14, 2025

JESSE ALAN WALKER,
Petitioner(s)

v.

WILLIAM D. SNYDER, Sheriff of Martin County,
Respondent(s).

CASE NO. - 4D2025-0646

L.T. No. - 432009CF000440AXXX;
432008CF000308CXXX

BY ORDER OF THE COURT:

ORDERED that case numbers 4D2025-0536 and 4D2025-0646 are consolidated for purposes of resolution by the same panel. The petitions are dismissed as they state no basis for extraordinary writ relief. Additionally, the docket reflects that petitioner is represented by counsel below, and these pro se filings are unauthorized. *Logan v. State*, 846 So. 2d 472 (Fla. 2003).

KLINGENSMITH, C.J., MAY and FORST, JJ., concur.

Served:

Crim App WPB Attorney General

Martin Clerk


Martin State Attorney

Jesse A. Walker

RA

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true copy of the court's order.

Lonn Weissblum
4D2025-0646
LONN WEISSBLUM, Clerk
Fourth District Court of Appeal
4D2025-0646 March 14, 2025



**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**