


No. 25-6170



---

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

---

Rev. Errol Victor, Sr. — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

State of Louisiana — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Louisiana Supreme Court  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Errol Victor, Sr. Rev. L.S.  
(Your Name)

D.W.C.C. 613100 defacto, H-1-A  
(Address)

670 Bell Hill Rd., Homer, La. 71040  
(City, State, Zip Code)

(318) 927-0400  
(Phone Number)

---

QUESTION(s) FOR REVIEW

---

1.

WHETHER THE STATE APPELLATE COURT COMMITTED PLAIN JURIS-  
DICTIONAL ERROR WHEN GRANTING STATE APPELLEE'S MOTION FOR  
EXTENTION AFTER FILING DEADLINES HAD PASSED FOR CONSIDER-  
ATIONS ?

2.

WHETHER THIS CASE INVOLVES THE DEPREVIATION OF APPELLANT  
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO SELF REPRESENTATION AND RIGHT  
TO EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL ON DIRECT APPEAL  
REVIEWS UNDER THE U.S. CONST. XIV. VI. AMENDMENTS ?

3.

WHETHER STATE OF LOUISIANA APPELLATE COURT CIRCUMVENTED  
THE LEGAL PROCESS FOR ALLOWING THE AGGRIEVED APPELLANT  
ON REMAND, " ONE FULL ROUND " OF STATE COURT'S DIRECT  
APPEAL REVIEW AND CHALLENGE TO IT'S UNCONSTITUTIONALLY  
BARRED/PROHIBITED RE-TRIAL ?

---

QUESTION(s) FOR REVIEW

---

4.

WHETHER CONSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURAL DEFECTS AND THE SERIOUS SUBSTANTIAL ISSUES REQUIRING THIS COURT'S JUDGMENT/ORDER/ MANDATE TO "VACATE" THE LOUISIANA APPELLATE FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT'S JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION AND SENTENCE IN VICTOR vs . LOUISIANA, 140 S. Ct. 2715 (2020 ) , AND REMANDED BACK WITH INSTRUCTIONS TO GRANT RELIEF AS ORDERED, APPLICANT AS A MATTER OF LAW AND JUSTICE IS ENTITLED TO THE TIME-LIMITATION OF ANY ATTEMPT TO RE-INSTATE RE-INSTITUTION OF RE-PROSECUTION WITHIN 90 DAYS AFTER THE "AUTOMATIC" RULING OF THE STATE'S APPELLATE COURT'S JUDGMENT TO "VACATE " OR BE IMMEDIATELY RELEASE FROM CUSTODY ?

5.

WHETHER LOUISIANA FIFTH CIRCUIT APPELLATE COURT'S ORDER TO RE-SENTENCE AFTER TWO (2) YEARS ON DIRECT APPEAL REVIEW, THE APPELLANT DIRECT APPEAL "BRIEF PENDING ", AND AFTER AGGRIEVED APPELLANT ON REMAND PRO-SE OBJECTIONS ON RECORD TO THE STATE'S TWICE DEFAULTED/FAILURE TO FILE APPELLEE'S BRIEF DEFAULT JUDGMENT UNANSWERED , IS NOT ~~AT REEHERRING~~ ORDER TO IMPROPERLY DIVEST JURISDICTION TO ALLOW THE STATE A PROCEDURALLY BARRED RE-START, IN VIOLATION OF APPELLANT'S FEDERAL DUE PROCESS ABSOLUTE RIGHT UNDER STATE LAWS TO THE DIRECT APPEAL REVIEW AND LIBERTY INTEREST ?

---

QUESTION(s) FOR REVIEW

---

6.

WHETHER STATE OFFICER OF THE COURT IN COMMITTING FRAUD UPON THE COURT, RECORDS IN A SHAM AND DECEPTIVE MANNER CREATING JUDICIAL IMPRESSIONS FOR THE RECORD THAT "APPLICANT" WAS AFFORDED " ONE FULL ROUND OF OF DIRECT APPEALS " IN STATE COURT PROCESS AFFORDING CRIMINAL DEFENDENT DIRECT REVIEWS AFTER CONVICTION AND SENTENCING PHASES PROVIDES PROOF EVIDENT OF THE STATE COURT'S LEGAL SCHEMES FOR APPELLATE REVIEW ?

7.

WHETHER THE LOUISIANA FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE COURT OF OF APPEALS OFFICERS OF THE COURT AND MEMBERS OF THE CLERK OF COURT OFFICE ACTING IN SHAM AND DECEPTIVE MANNER/ COLLUSION, CONSPIRING TO PREVENT APPLICANT REV. VICTOR, FROM MEANINGFULLY ACCESSING THE STATE APPELLATE COURT FOR ONE FULL ROUND OF DIRECT APPELLATE REVIEWS IS NOT " MANIFEST OBVIOUS " AND PLAIN CONSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURAL ERRORS IN THE DIRECT APPELLATE COURT REVIEWS NOT SUBJECT TO HARMLESS ERROR ANALYSIS ?

iii.

---

QUESTION(s) FOR REVIEW

---

8.

WHETHER APPELLANT CAN BE COMPELLED TO ARGUE OVER 20 MOTIONS BEFORE THE DISTRICT COURT PRE-TRIAL PRO-SE, WITHOUT A FARETTA HEARING PREVIOUSLY HELD, IS NOT IN SCOPE OF REVIEW, PRIMA FACIE IN VIOLATION OF THE U.S. C. SIXTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO COUNSEL, STRUCTURE ERROR, GROSS PROCEDURE DEPARTURE ON THE FACE OF THE RECORD THAT REQUIRES APPELLANT ENTITLEMENT TO REVERSAL ?

9.

WHETHER AN APPELLANT HELD IN STATE PRISON WITHOUT A CONVICTION, WITHOUT SENTENCE, WITHOUT BOND, WITHOUT A D.O.C. NUMBER, HELD ON 23/1 LOCKDOWN WHILE DENIED SELF-REPRESENTATION WITHOUT A FARETTA HEARING IS IN VIOLATION PRIMA FACIE OF THE 1st, U.S.C. AMENDMENT, THE 4TH, 8th AND THE 14th U.S.C. AMENDMENTS ENTITLEMENT TO REVERSAL AND RELEASE ? NOTWITHSTANDING THE SIXTH AMENDMENT OF THE U.S.C.

---

QUESTION(s) FOR REVIEW

---

10.

WHETHER THIS CASE RAISES CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES INVOLVING APPELLANTS LACKING ACCESS TO THE STATE COURT APPELLATE RECORDS FOR PROPERLY SUBMITTING A PRO-SE DIRECT APPEAL WITHOUT ACCESS TO THE REVIEWING OF THE FULL RECORDS FOR FILING AN PRO-SE INCARCERATED APPELLANT BRIEF RENDERING DIRECT APPELLATE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT AS MATTER OF THE PRECEDENTS OF THIS COURT'S REASONING/RATIONAL RESULT IN FARETTA vs CALIFORNIA, 422 U.S. 806 (1975), IN LIGHT OF THE UNITED STATES FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS BINDING EFFECTS SET FORTH IN ANAYLSIS MYERS vs. JOHNSON, 76 F.3d 1330 (5th. CIR. 1996) ?

11.

WHETHER THIS CASE PRESENTS SERIOUS GROSS MISCARRIAGE OF JUSTICE RESULTING FROM REFUSING TO GRANT THE RELIEF THAT APPELLANT SOUGHT FOR STAY AND PRO-SE MOTION FOR A COPY OF THE APPELLATE COURT RECORD ON DIRECT APPEAL REVIEWS/ IN CASE NO. 23-KA-0235 DURING MID-LEVEL OF THE DIRECT APPEAL LEGAL PROCESS SEEKING REVIEWS DENYING APPELLANT MOTIONS,  
i. MOTION FOR STAY ON THE FACTUAL AND LEGAL BASIS OF NO ACCESS TO THE COURT RECORDS AND] ii. MOTION FOR COPY OF APPELLATE RECORD DOCKETED IN THE LOUISIANA SUPREME COURT CASE NO. 2024-KH-00021? SEE: APPENDIX(F)

v.

---

QUESTION(s) FOR REVIEW

---

12.

WHETHER THIS CASE PRESENTS EXTRAORDINARY "COMPLICATED"  
[ COMPLEXITY ] IN THE TRAJECTORY OF THE APPEAL PROCEDURAL  
HISTORY OF DIRECT APPEAL AND THE SUPERVISORY WRIT OF RE-  
VIEW TO THE LOUISIANA SUPREME COURT WHILE PENDING IN THE  
LOUISIANA FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS THAT CAUSE A VERY  
SERIOUS [SUBSTANTIAL] DISADVANTAGE THAT WORKED AGAINST THE  
LEGAL FEDERAL DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION FOR RIGHT  
OF DIRECT REVIEW ?

---

PARTIES LISTED AND RELATED CASES INVOLVED  
IN DEPRIVATION'S OF RIGHTS TO SELF-REPRESENTATION ON DIRECT APPEAL  
IN VIOLATIONS OF FEDERAL DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAW

---

In accord with the instant case on direct appeal from the Louisiana  
Supreme court Writ of Certiorari In case 24-KH-00975 Denying Writ Application  
on Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal Case no. 23-KA-235 Direct Appeal on  
Louisiana District Court Docket No. 2010-CR-172 Parish of St. John the Baptist  
In State of Louisiana V. Errol Victor Sr. SEE: APPENDIX(A)v.

\*\*\*\*\*

---

RELATED CASES INVOLVED WITH THE LEGAL CHALLENGES  
IN STATE COURT PROCEEDINGS GOVERNING THE PROPER DIRECT APPELLATE PROCESS  
SCOPE OF THE STATE COURT DETERMINATIONS BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

\*\*\*\*\*

1. In this U.S. SUPREME COURT, case no. 25-5827, VICTOR vs LA.
2. Applicant Mr. Victor Pro-se Application filed on the Louisiana Fifth  
Circuit Court of Appeal "Writ of Mandamus/Supervisory Reviews" of the  
Trial Court refusing to allow the Applicant to proceed pro-se on Post  
Trial Motions and Mandatory Hearing in accord with Faretta v. California  
422 U.S. 806 (1975) Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal Denied on-/  
OCTOBER 19th. 2022, [ CASE NO(s): 22-KH-458 CAN BE FOUND AT The Pro-se  
APPENDIX(A)vii
3. Applicant Mr. Victor Pro-se Applications Filed on the Louisiana Fifth  
Circuit Court of Appeal Case No(s): 23-KH-513 Found at Appendix(C)i.  
Supervisory Writ of Reviews on two of trial court ruling refusing  
Self-Representation of the Direct Appellate Reviews relying on Faretta....



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE NO</u>
OPINIONS BELOW.....	1-4
JURISDICTION.....	3-4
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....	5-6
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	7-29
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	30-35
CONCLUSION.....	36-37
PRAYER FOR RELIEF.....	38-39
PROOF OF SERVICE.....	40

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX(A).i	THE U.S.SUPREME COURT, <u>19-5989 " VACATE "</u>
APPENDIX(A).ii	THE U.S.SUPREME COURT " <u>MANDATE</u> "
APPENDIX(A).iii	THE LA.FIFTH CIRCUIT " <u>VACATE</u> " CONVICTION/SENTENCE
APPENDIX(A).iv	THE LA.FIFTH CIRCUIT DIRECT APPEAL, <u>23-235/24-144</u>
APPENDIX(A).v	THE LA.SUPREME COURT, NO. <u>2024-KH-00975</u>
APPENDIX(A).vi	COPY OF APPEAL JUDGMENT <u>CASE NO. 23-KA-235 FIFTH CIRCUIT</u> <u>ON THE PRO-SE WRIT OF MANDAMUS/STAY ORDER TO CONDUCT LEGAL-</u> <u>PROCESS IN ACCORD WITH <u>FARETTA V. CALIFORNIA</u>, 422 U.S. 806</u> <u>(1975).</u>
APPENDIX(A).vii	COPY OF APPEAL JUDGMNENT 22-KH-458 ON PRO-SE SUPERVISORY WRIT OF REVIEWS ON WRIT OF MANDAMUS IN TRIAL COURT DENYING MOTIONS FOR PROCEEDING PRO-SE ON POST-TRIAL MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL.,AND MOTION FOR POST-VERDICT JUDGMENT OF ACQUITTAL.
APPENDIX(B).i	ORDER OF TRIAL COURT JUDGE DENNIS J. WALDRON ON MOTION FOR WITHDRAW AND MOTION TO TERMINATE/REQUEST FOR RIGHT OF REVIEW LOWER CRIMINAL DOCKET NO. 2010-CR-172(1) DIVISION B. FILE ON <u>FEBRUARY 8th. 2023</u>

RELEVANT - PAGES 1-21 TRANSCRIPTS OF RE-SENTENCING ORDERS

APPENDIX(B).ii. TRIAL COURT SENTENCING TRANSCRIPTS AND HEARING HELD 2/14/2024  
IN THE RE-SENTENCE ORDER FROM THE COURT OF APPEAL SUBMISSION-/  
ORDER FILE ON JANUARY 22nd. 2024 FOUND AT APPENDIX(F). iv.

APPENDIX(B).iii COPY OF THE APPELLATE COUNSEL PRENTICE L. WHITE "MOTION FOR AN-  
WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL ON THE APPELLATE RECORD BEFORE THE FIFTH-  
CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEAL CASE NO. 23-KA-235 OF LOUISIANA.

APPENDIX(C).i COPY OF THE LOUISIANA COURT OF APPEAL CASE NO. 23-KH-513 ORDER/  
JUDGMENT OF THE COURT[ON PRO-SE SUPERVISORY WRITS OF REVIEWS ]  
IN TWO TRIAL COURT JUDGMENT REGARDING THE RIGHTS TO FEDERAL  
FOR STATE CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS RIGHTS TO SELF-REPRESENTATION  
ON STATE COURT DIRECT APPELLATE REVIEWS UNDER FARETTA.

APPENDIX(C).ii. ORDER/JUDGMENT OF STATE TRIAL COURT JUDGE DENNIS J. WALDRON  
GRANTING PRO-SE MOTION TO SELF REPRESENTATIONS ON DIRECT APPEAL  
SIGNED ON JULY 31th. 2023 ON ORDERS FROM THE LOUISIANA FIFTH-/  
CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEAL JUDGMENT FOUND AT APPENDIX(A).i.

APPENDIX(C).iii ORDER FROM THE LOUISIANA FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEAL CASE  
NUMBER(s): 23-KM-370 ON AUGUST 1st. 2023 DENYING PRO-SE WRIT  
OF PROHIBITION FOR WRIT OF RIGHT MANDAMUS/STAY JULY 31st.2023  
HEARING.

APPENDIX(D).i. ORDER FROM THE LOUISIANA FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEAL CASE NO.  
23-KA-235 DIRECT APPEAL DOCKET NUMBERS/APPELLATE COUNSEL MOTION  
TO STAY APPELLATE PROCEEDINGS AND TO SUSPEND BRIEFING SCHEDULES  
PENDING FARETTA HEARING FILE BY ERROL VICTOR SR. FILE ON 7/13/23

APPENDIX(D).ii. COPY OF THE APPELLATE COUNSEL DEIDRE K. PETERSON MOTION FOR STAY APPELLATE PROCEEDING AND TO SUSPEND BRIEFING SCHEDULES PENDING FARETTA HEARINGS FILE INTO THE COURT OF APPEAL CASE NUMBERS ON DIRECT APPEAL 23-KA-235 ON JULY 11th. 2023.

APPENDIX(D).iii. COPY OF APPELLATE COUNSEL ORIGINAL BRIEF FILE ON DIRECT APPEAL ON JULY 28th. 2023 BY ORDER OF THE LOUISIANA FIFTH CIRCUIT ON JULY 13th. 2023 ORDERING APPELLATE COUNSEL TO FILE BRIEF SEE: APPENDIX(D).i.

APPENDIX(D).iv. COPY OF THE LOUISIANA FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEAL BRIEFING NOTICE TO THE PRO-SE DEFENDANT TO FILE BRIEF AFTER COUNSELOR DEIDRE K. PETERSON FILE HER BRIEF ON JULY 28th. 2023 IN THE DIRECT APPELLATE CASE NO(s): 23-KA-235.

APPENDIX(E).i. ORDERS FROM THE LOUISIANA FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NUMBERS 23-KA-235 ON DIRECT APPELLATE REVIEWS GRANTING RELIEF FOR THE PURPOSES OF[MR. Errol Victor, Sr. FILING HIS PRO-SE ] APPELLATE BRIEF ON THE COURT OF APPEALS ALLOWING THE APPELLANT TO REVIEWS THE ORIGINAL COPY OF THE APPELLATE RECORDS THROUGH- THE LEGAL PROGRAMS AT DAVID WADE CORRECTIONAL CENTER.

APPENDIX(E).ii ORDERS FROM THE LOUISIANA FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEAL CASE NO. 23-KA-235 DENYING PRO-SE APPELLANT MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDING'S ON THE FACTUAL AND LEGAL BASIS OF LACK OF ACCESS TO THE COURT OF RECORDS FROM APPELLATE COURT FOR ADEQUATELY BRIEFING THE FACTUAL AND LEGAL STRUCTURAL APPARENT ERRORS IN VIOLATIONS OF U.S.C FARETTA SELF-REPRESENTATIONS 6TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS.

APPENDIX(E).iii. ORDERS FROM THE LOUISIANA FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO.(s) 23-KA-235 GRANTING MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF THE APPELLATE COURT RECORDS ON THE BASIS OF FARETTA RIGHT INVOKED.

APPENDIX(E).iv COPY OF THE APPELLATE COUNSEL DEIDRE K. PETERSON MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORDS FILE ON AUGUST 10th. 2023/RULING ON AUGUST 11th. 2023 IN SCOPE OF REVIEWS IN APPENDIX(E).iii.

APPENDIX(E).v. ORDERS FROM THE LOUISIANA FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO 23-KA-235 ON THE DIRECT APPELLATE REVIEWS "ORDERS TO APPELLE'S" STATE OF LOUISIANA/ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE TO FILE ORIGINAL BRIEF 20 DAYS AFTER THE APPELLANT PRO-SE BRIEF IN HIS DIRECT APPEAL COURT REVIEWS.

APPENDIX(E).vi ORDERS FROM THE LOUISIANA FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEAL CASE NO. 23-KA-235 ON DIRECT APPELLATE REVIEWS GRANTING THE PRO-SE MOTION OF EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PRO-SE BRIEF ON DIRECT APPEAL FILE ON AUGUST 29th. 2023 EXTENDING TIME TO FILE/-BRIEF FOR APPELLANT AND RETURN THE RECORDS ON OR BEFORE "OCTOBER 9th. 2023," VIEWING THE STATE/APPELLE'S BRIEFING DEADLINES 20 DAYS AFTER OCTOBER 9th 2023.

APPENDIX(E).vii ORDERS FROM THE LOUISIANA FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEAL CASE NO 23-KA-235 DENYING THE PRO-SE MOTION FOR REQUESTING COPY OF THE ORIGINAL DIRECT-APPELLATE-COURT RECORDS-FROM THE FIFTH CIRCUIT.

APPENDIX(F)i LA. SUPREME COURT CASE NO. 2024-KH-00021

APPENDIX(F).ii ORDERS FROM THE LOUISIANA FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS CASE  
NO.(s): 23-KA-235 GRANTING THE APPELLE'S/STATE OF LOUISIANA-/  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE MOTION AND PROPOSED ORDER EXTENDING  
THE TIME TO FILE ORIGINAL APPELLE'S BRIEFS FILE BY THE COURT  
ON DECEMBER 14th. 2023.

APPENDIX(F).iii. COPY OF THE ORIGINAL STATE-APPELLEE'S[MOTION AND PROPOSED-]  
ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ORIGIANL APPELLEE BRIEF  
FILE ASSISTANCE ATTORNEY GENERAL [CHRISTOPHER N. WALTERS AND  
GRANT L. WILLIS]FILING INTO THE LOUISIANA FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT  
OF APPEAL DIRECT APPELLATE ACTION/CASE NO.(s) 23-KA-235 DATE  
RUBBER STAMP FILE BY THE CLERK OF LOUISIANA FIFTH CIRCUIT ON  
DECEMBER 13th. 2023.

APPENDIX(F).iv

The Circuit Court . Judges in connection with  
Order Found at Appendix(F).iv In Scope of  
Reviews ORDER OF SUBMISSION.

APPENDIX(F).v UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT CLERK OF COURT OFFICE LEGAL NOTICE  
IN THE PRO-SE DEFICIENCY FILING: REPLY CORRESPONDENCE FROM THE  
U.S. SUPREME COURT CLERK "RASHONDA GARNER" DATE FOR AUGUST  
15,2025 / AFFIXING THE RETURN DATES FOR RE-SUBMITTING PRO-SE  
PLEADINGS , RECIEVED DATE, 09-03-25

LEAVE TO FILE ADDITIONAL RELEVANT NECESSARY APPENDIX(S)....

\*\*\*\*\*  
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED  
 \*\*\*\*\*

CASES

PAGE NC

<u>Faretta V. California</u> , 422 U.S. 806, 95 S.Ct. 2525 (1975).....	8,9,12,3,
<u>Evitts V. Lucey</u> , 469 U.S. 387, 105 S. Ct. 830 (1985).....	13,33
<u>Anders V. California</u> , 386 U.S. 738, S. Ct. 1396 (1967).....	13
<u>Byrd V. Smith</u> , 407 F.2d 363 (5th.Cir. 1969).....	33
<u>U.S. V. Cong Van Pham</u> , 722 F.3d 320 (5th.Cir. 2013).....	33
<u>Lombard V. Lynaugh</u> , 868 F.2d 1475 (5th.Cir. 1989).....	33
<u>Browder V. Director, Dept. of Correction of Illinois</u> , 434 U.S. 257, 98 S. Ct. 556, 54 L.Ed. 2d 521 (1978).....	6,19,29
<u>Flowers V. Roy</u> , 603 Fed. Appx. 510 (8th. Cir.2015).....	35
<u>Dorman V. Wainwright</u> , 798 F.2d 1358 (11th.Cir. 1986).....	32
<u>Hughes V. Booker</u> , 220 F.3d 346 (5th.Cir. 2000).....	13,33
<u>Thomas V. Beto</u> , 423 F.2d 642 (5th.Cir.1970).....	33
<u>Wainwright V. Simpson</u> , 360 F.2d 307 (5th.Cir. 1966).....	33
<u>U.S. V. Davis</u> , 269 F.3d 514 (5th.Cir. 2001).....	8
<u>Amadeo V. Zant</u> , 486 U.S. 214, 108 S.Ct. 1771, L.Ed.2d 249 (1988) [.....	16
<u>Critchley V. Thaler</u> , 586 F.3d 318 (2009).....	16
<u>Thames V. Wilson</u> , 179 Fed. Appx. 241 (5th.Cir.2006).....	16
<u>Hable V. Pairolero</u> , 915 F.2d 394 (8th.Cir.1990).....	35
<u>Bracy V. Gramley</u> , 520 U.S. 899, 117 S.Ct. 1793 (1997).....	28
<u>Nelson V. Peyton</u> , 415 F.2d 1154 (4th.Cir. 1969).....	33
<u>Dorman V. Wainwright</u> , 798 F.2d 1358 (11th.Ci. 1986).....	32
<u>Myers V. Johnson</u> , 76 F.2d 1330 (5th.Cir. 1996).....	8,10,32,3
<u>Smith V. Barry</u> , 502 U.S. 244, 112 S.Ct. 678 (1992).....	31
<u>U.S. V. Cano</u> , 519 F.3d 512 (5th.Cir.2008).....	8

\*\*\*\*\*

TABLE OF CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY  
STATE OF LOUISIANA  
INVOLVED IN ABSOLUTE RIGHTS ACCESS THE COURT  
CONSIDERATIONS GOVERNING REVIEWS ON CERTIORARI  
TO UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

\*\*\*\*\*

	<u>PAGE NO</u>
LA. CONST. ART. I. § 2,.....	32
LA. CONST. ART. I. § 3,.....	32
LA. CONST. ART. I. § 4,.....	32
LA. CONST. ART. I. § 7,.....	32
LA. CONST. ART. I. § 9,.....	32
LA. CONST. ART. I. § 12,.....	32
LA. CONST. ART. I. § 19,.....	32
LA. CONST. ART. I. § 20,.....	32
LA. CONST. ART. I. § 21,.....	32
LA. CONST. ART. I. § 22. ACCESS TO THE COURTS.....	32
....	
LA. CONST. ART. I. § 24.....	32

APPEAL

STATUTORY

\*\*\*\*\*

LA. C. Cr. P. Art. 911,.....	7, 36
LA. C. Cr. P. Art. 912.1.(B)(1)(C)(1)(2).....	7, 36
LA. C. Cr. P. Art. 914.(A)(B)(1).....	8, 10, 36
LA. C. Cr. P. Art. 914.1.(A)(C)(1).....	8, 10, 36
LA. C. Cr. P. Art. 915.(A)(B).....	8, 10, 36
LA. C. Cr. P. Art. 915.1.(A)(B).....	8, 10, 36
LA. C. Cr. P. Art. 916.(1).....	8, 10, 36
LA. C. Cr. P. Art. 919.1.(A).....	8, 10, 36
LA. C. Cr. P. Art. 920.(1)(2).....	8
LA. C. Cr. P. Art. 921.1.(A)(B).....	8
LA. C. Cr. P. Art. 922.(A)(B)(C)(D).....	8

\*\*\*\*\*

TABLE OF FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUORY AUTHORITY

\*\*\*\*\*

	<u>PAGE NO.</u>
U.S. Const. Art. III., § 2.....	38
U.S. Const. Art. IV., § 1. § 2.....	38
U.S. Const. Art. VII.....	3
U.S. Const. XIV. Amendment.....	3, 5, 6, 30, 35
U.S. Const. V. Amendment.....	3, 5, 6, 7, 13
U.S. Const. VI. Amendment.....	3, 5, 6, 7, 30

\*\*\*\*\*

STATUTORY

\*\*\*\*\*

28 U.S.C. § 1257.....	3
28 U.S.C. § 2104.....	3
28 U.S.C. § 2106.....	3
28 U.S.C. § 2102.....	3
28 U.S.C. § 1443.....	29



IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner Respectfully Prays that a Writ of Certiorari Issues to .  
Review the Judgments below

\*\*\*\*\*

---

OPINIONS BELOW

---

\*\*\*\*\*

The Applicant Mr. Victor Prays for this Court to Review of the Opinion  
Below in accord with the provisions set forth in Sup. Crt. R. 10(b)(c) Views In  
Reference to the State Court Judgment of the Last resort of Louisiana Supreme-  
Court denial of Writ of Certiorari and Supervisory Writ of Reviews from Court  
of Appeals Fifth Circuit of Louisiana Under Sup. Crt. R. 47 for Procedures on  
Petition for Extraordinary Writ of Certiorari on Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals  
In Louisiana "Flagrant Abuse of the Legal Process" Acting "Egregiously" with/  
total disregards of Applicant Mr. Victor Constitutional Rights Direct Appeals  
Due process and Equal Protection of Federal Laws governing State Courts Review  
Appellate Legal process showing the Extrajudicial Functioning of "Intermediate"  
Court of Appeal Fifth Circuit of Louisiana, In accord with Sup. Crt. R. 20.(1)

\*\*\*\*\*

---

IN SCOPE OF REVIEWS OF OPINIONS FROM STATE COURTS  
GOVERNING THE ANALYSIS OF DEPRIVATIONS OF RIGHTS TO DIRECT APPEAL  
INCONJUNCTION WITH RIGHTS TO SELF-REPRESENTATION ON DIRECT APPEAL  
TOGETHER WITH INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF APPELLATE COUNSEL  
RESULTING IN SUBSTANTIAL PREJUDICE TO RIGHTS TO DIRECT APPEAL REVIEWS

\*\*\*\*\*

For Cases From State Courts:

The opinions of the Highest State Court to Review  
On the Merits of Meaningless Rights to Self-Representation  
During the Direct Appellate Reviews on the Consideration in  
Governing the Scope of Certiorari on Louisiana Fifth Circuit  
Court of Appeals Case No.(s): 23-KA-235, Viewing "Emergency"  
Supervisory Writ of Reviews file to Louisiana Supreme Court-  
on the Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal Denying Motion  
for "Stay Appellate Proceedings" on the factual/Legal Basis  
of the Pro-se Self-Represented Litigant Mr. Victor on Direct  
Appellate Reviews Lacked["Access to the Appellate Records" ]  
FOR PROPERLY FRAMING AND EFFECTIVELY MARSHALING PRO-SE BRIEF  
ON DIRECT APPELLATE REVIEWS.

Appearing at Appendix(F) i To the Petition and is Reported  
on the internet: www.lasc.org by the louisiana Chief Clerk of  
Court John Tarlton Olivier under LA. Sup Crt Case Number(s):  
2024-KH-00021

The opinion of the Highest State Court to Review on the Merits  
of the Actual Direct Appellate Reviews after Conviction and the  
State Court "SECOND RE-SENTENCING" whileas the Divesting State  
Court Jurisdiction Attaching upon the Louisiana Fifth Circuit -  
Court of Appeal[Assigned Case No. 23-KA-235 , Direct Appeal..]  
Appealing to the[Highest State Court of Louisiana Last Resort ]  
In the Louisiana Supreme Court assigned Case No. 2024-KH-00975

Appearing at Appendix (A) v , To the Petition and Reported  
on the internet: www.lasc.org by the Louisiana Chief Clerk John  
Tarlton Olivier .

---

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT

---

The United States Supreme Court Jurisdiction is Invoked Under Provision 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a), Incorporated with 28 U.S.C. § 2104 Reviews of the States Court Determinations in Criminal Cases this court takes Jurisdiction on Appeal <sup>Direct</sup> from State Court which relates to final [Judgments Determination on Certioari ] 28 U.S.C. § 2102 Priority of Criminal Case on Appeal From State Court Invoking 28 U.S.C. § 2106 Determination by the United States Supreme Court.

- i. The Louisiana Supreme Court of the Last Resort for Louisiana Decided the Pro-se Supervisory Writ of Reviews of Louisiana 5th. Circuit Court of Appeal Denying Writ of Mandamus Stay Appellate-Direct Appeals Proceedings on the Factual and Legal Basis of the Applicant Mr. Errol Victor, Sr. Lacked all Access to the Appeal Records for "Adequately Framing and Effectively Marshaling" The [ Factual and Legal substances of the core in structural Defects ] During the courses of trial and Appellate Court Level of Reviews. In violations of U.S. CONST. VI. XIV. Amendment Absolute Right to self-representation drawing into question of Constitutionality in binding and controlling Precedents set forth "Faretta Court" View of Congressional Intent 28 U.S.C. § 1654 Appearance personally or by Counsel. SEE JUDGMENT OF THE LOUISIANA SUPREME COURT FOUND AT-Appendix(F).i

ii. The Louisiana Supreme Court of the Last Resort for Louisiana  
Denying the Pro-se Writ of Certiorari on Direct Appellate Court  
Reviews after the conviction and the second re-sentencing while  
on Direct Appeal from the 40th. Judicial District Case Number(s)  
2010-CR- 172 Parish of St. John the Baptist, Court of Appeal Dkt  
NO(s): 23-KA-235 ON Direct to the United States Supreme Court ]  
Appealing from the Louisiana Highest State Court of Last Resort  
Denying Relief on NOVEMBER 20, 2024, SEE JUDGMENT OF Rulings  
Louisiana Supreme Court Case No.(s):2024-KH-00975 Found at the  
Appendix (A). v. [Judgment File on September 4th. 2024]

\*\*\*\*\*

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY INVOLVED  
RIGHTS IN WAIVER OF COUNSEL AND PRO-SE SELF REPRESENTATION  
ON STATE COURT DIRECT APPEALS

\*\*\*\*\*

This case involves Amendment XIV. to the United States Constitution

Which the following provision :

Section 1. all persons born or naturalized in the united states, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are u.s.-citizens of the UNITED STATES, [and of the State wherein ] they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of "citizens" of the UNITED STATES; nor shall any state deprive any u.s. citizens/person of life, liberty, or property, without the due process of law; nor deny to any person within "state" jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.

SECTION 5. THE CONGRESS SHALL HAVE POWER TO ENFORCE; by-/ appropriate legislation, the provision of this article....

U.S. CONST. XIV. VI. I. Amendments [Considerations Governing Court Ananlysis Reviews on Writ of Certiorari] Under the SUP. Crt. R. 10. (b)(c) "State of louisiana supreme court" and State of louisiana 5th. Circuit court of appeals has [decided a Fundamental Absolute federal-] Constitutional Rights of criminal defendant waiver of Counsel and the absolute rights to self- representation on direct appeal.

This case involves the Federal Due Process for Criminal Defendants in State Court Constitutional Rights to Direct Appeals

After being Convicted in state trial proceeding...

This case involves the Federal Due Process and Equal Protections Views In State:Appellate Court Legal Process for Compliance of Federal Constitutiona provision set forth in U.S. Const. I. XIV. AMENDMENTS Clauses Governing State' Direct Appellate policy and the "UNIFORM RULES OF COURT OF APPEALS" and local rules of the Jurisdictions within the Intermediate Court of Appeal, "LOUISIANA" Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal Rules of the Courts.

\*\*\*\*\*

LOUISIANA UNIFORM RULES-COURT OF APPEAL

\*\*\*\*\*

**RULE 4-3. Time to File; Extension of Time:**

The judge who has been given notice of intention as provided by rule 4-2 shall immediately set a reasonable return date within which the application shall return in civil cases shall not exceed 30 days from the date of notice, as provided in La. C.C.P. art. 1914, Relevant and pertinent relating to the CRIMINAL DIRECT APPELLATE ISSUES BEFORE THIS UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT CONSIDERATIONS UNDER Sup. Crt. R. 10(b)(c), Louisiana Legislative Prongs view's subsections in RULE 4-3 in analysis of the CRIMINAL Appellate [unless the judge orders the return date Shall Not Exceed "30 Days" From the date ruling Signed by the judge in accord with the Local Rules of the Louisiana Fifth circuit for Court of Appeals..

In Scope of Reviews in the Louisiana Circumventing Louisiana Uniform

Rules of the Court of Appeal by Granting Jurisdictionally and Views of Direct Contempt of Court by the Failure to timely Submitting Motion For an Extension before the timelines for Appellee to file State Brief Relying/Views Reasoning/Rational Result Holding "Browder Court Decision" 434 U.S. 257, 98 S. Ct. 556, 54 L.Ed. 2d 521 (1978), Applying the LA. 5th. RULE 4 UNTIMELY FILE

\*\*\*\*\*

STATEMENT OF THE FACTS IN CASE  
INVOLVING THE DEPRIVATIONS OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO DIRECT APPEAL  
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO SELF REPRESENTATIONS ON DIRECT APPELLATE REVIEWS  
UNDER U.S. CONST. XIV. VI. AMENDMENTS FARETTA V. CALIFORNIA, 422 U.S. 806(1975)

\*\*\*\*\*

The Applicant Mr. Victor Presents to the court Scope of Reviews for relevant procedural history in the state court proceeding after this court had granted the pro-se writ of Certiorari in Light of court decision set forth in-/reasoning/rational result holding rendered in Ramos V. Louisiana, U.S. Supreme Court Chief JUSTICE ROBERTS, Remanded the Criminal Matter of the Wrongful murder conviction back to the Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal Citing : Victor V. Louisiana, 140 S. Ct. 2715, 206 L. Ed. 2d 851 (2020).

RELEVANT PROCEDURAL HISTORY

\*\*\*\*\*

On July 20th. 2022 in a 2nd illegal Trial the Applicant Mr. Victor was found guilty by unanimous Jury Panel From the 40th. Judicial District Court Docket No.(s) 2010-CR-172 In St. John the Baptist in/for the State of Louisiana whenas appellant's pre-trial pro-se motions remand unexhausted.

On September 20th. 2022 Prior to the sentencing phases of trial Applicant Mr. Victor file into [the 40th. Judicial District Court in Docket No. 2010-CR-/172 parish of St. John the Baptist the following Post-Trial Motions :

i. Motion For Direct Appeal from the Jury Trial Conviction

In accord with the Louisiana State Procedural Laws for an Criminal Defendant to Properly File ACTIONS for Appellate

Reviews Under the Statutory Provision set forth:

La. C. Cr. P. Art. 911. Right to Appeal From Judgment

La. C. Cr. P. Art. 912.1.(C).(1) Right of Appeal and Application  
for Reviews; Defendants.

La. C. Cr. P. Art. 914.(A)(B)(C). Method and time of appeal

La.C. Cr. P. Art. 914.1.(A)(C)(1) Designation of Records

La.C. Cr. P. Art. 915.(A)(B) Action on a Motion for Appeal;

[ Return Notice In Scope Pro-se Criminal ]

La.C. Cr. P. Art. 915.1.(A)(B) Appeals; extension of return date; Notice

La.C. Cr. P. Art. 916.(1) Divesting of Jurisdictions of trial court

La.C. Cr. P. Art. 919.1.(A) Record on Appeal; Contempt

La.C. Cr. P. Art. 920.(1)(2) Scope of Appellate Reviews

La.C. Cr. P. Art. 921.1.(A)(B) Matter not grounds for reversal

La.C. Cr. P. Art. 922.(A)(B)(C)(D) Finality of Judgment on Appeal

Demonstrating the unusual Exceptional Circumstances of the State Court Judge Dennis Waldron "Granting" the Applicant Mr. Victor Motion on Direct Appeal Prior to the Sentencing Phases of State Court Trial Proceeding including Trial Judge Dennis Waldron committed Manifest Federal Constitutional Apparent Errors of the State Court Judgment Denying the " Motion to proceed propria persona POST TRIAL "without hearing [Post Trial Motions filed] Under the provisions of Louisiana Legislative Intent La. C. Cr. P. Art. 851(A)-(B)(1)(2)(3)(4)(5), La.C. Cr. P. Art. 852, La.C. Cr. P. Art. 853(A)(B)(C) Also applying the State Criminal Procedural Laws for granting criminal defendants a New trial in analysis La.C. Cr. P. Art. 854.(1)(2)(3)(4) Newly Discovered Evid La. C. Cr. P. Art. 855.(1)(2) Errors discovered after verdict or Judgment of an Guilty; necessary allegations, La.C. Cr. P. Art. 856 Motion urging available... Grounds.

Relying on the Controlling Legal Precedents set forth by the Court Faretta V. California, 422 U.S. 806, 95 S.Ct. 2525 (1975), In Scope of Reviews Myers V. Johnson, 76 F.3d 1330 (5th.Cir. 1996), SEE ALSO U.S. V. Cano, 519 F. 3d 512 (5th.Cir. 2008), U.S. V. DAVIS, 285 F.3d 378 (5th.Cir. 2002).



\*\*\*\*\*

---

DISCUSSION

State Criminal Defendant Invoking Constitutional Rights to Self Representation  
During the Post-Trial Level and on Direct Appellate Reviews

---

\*\*\*\*\*

In Scope of Reviews by the United States Justices Considerations

Ⓒ Governing Reviews of the Applicant Mr. Victor right to the U.S. Const. XIV,  
VI. I. Amendments constitutional rights to self-representation

In the Sentencing Phases and on Direct Appellate Reviews Relying/Rational View  
Faretta V. California, 422 U.S. 806, 95 S. Ct. 2525 (1975) Applying Precedents  
from The United States Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals Binding Effects Citing :  
Myers V. Johnson, 76 F.3d 1330 (5th.Cir.1996).

\*\*\*\*\*

---

In Scope Reviews In Relevant Procedural History

---

\*\*\*\*\*

On 9/21/2022 The State Court Judge Honorable Dennis J. Waldron Granted  
The Applicant Mr. Victor Pro-se Motion For Direct Appeal, the next Day After  
the Mover File the Direct Appeal into the 40th. Judicial District court Parish  
of St. John the Baptist in and for the State of Louisiana In Docket# 2010-CR-  
172 and In Scope of Reviews Trial Court Judge Sentence the Applicant Mr. Victor  
to Life imprisonment in the Louisiana Department of Correction After Granting-  
Motion for Direct Appeal In accord with the State Criminal Procedural Laws.  
SEE: APPENDIX(A) vii. Supervisory Writ of Reviews to Louisiana 5th. Cir. Case  
No. 22-KH-458 Appealing Trial Court Judgment Denying Right to File Pro-se.....  
Post-Trial Motion and Mandatory Hearing Relying on Faretta Legal Standing.

On 9/21/2022, State Court District Judge Honorable Dennis J. Waldron as apart of the State Criminal Justice Appellate Systems in Granting Criminal Defendant "Direct Appellate Reviews" Under La.C. Cr. P. Art. 914, La.C. Cr. P. - Art. 914.1.(A)(C)(1), La.C. Cr. P. Art. 915.(A)(B) Action on the Pro-se Motions File into the Court on the Court "Sua Sponte" Issues Orders by the Courts to the Louisiana Appellate Projects.

On 9/22/2022 The Louisiana Appellate Project assigned Counselor to Case on the Direct Appeal from the 40th. Judicial District Court St. John the Baptist Docket No. 2010-CR-172 Cross Index to the Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court Docket; 23-KA-235 Controlling Case numbers on Scope of the United States Supreme Court Tracing the procedural history of the Direct Appellate Reviews under Sup.Crt.R 10(b)(c) In analysis of Constitutional Structural Errors in Rights of Access to the Court self-representation Drawing into question congressional Intention 28 U.S.C. § 1654 Appearance Personally or by Counsel in which draws into Court questions of the Constitutionality of this Court Fundamental Interpretation in light of Faretta V. California, 422 U.S. 806 (1975).

On January 3rd. 2023 The Applicant Mr. Victor File Pro-se Motion into 40th. Judicial District Court OF St. John the Baptist Docket No.(s): 2010-CR- 172 Cross Index into the Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals Case Numbers 23-KA-235 on Direct Appellate Reviews from Jury Trial Convictions Titled Motion to Proceed Pro-se on Direct Appeal and Rejections of Court Appointment from the Louisiana Appellate Project Counselor Prentice L. White La. Bar. Roll No.2425 Relying on the United States Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal Binding Precedential effects set forth in "Myers V. Johnson"; 76 F.3d 1330 (5th.Cir. 1996).

On February 8th. 2023 Trial Court Judge Dennis J. Waldron Granted  
the Request to proceed pro-se reserving all rights to review court records  
under the conditions by the court orders defendant is not entitled to Court  
appointment of counsel legal representations and to [self-representations]  
simultaneously In Scope of Reviews Trial Court opinions and orders found at  
APPENDIX(B).i.

Governing the Scope of Reviews before the Bar of the United States  
Supreme Court Rules of the Court Sup. Crt. R. 10(b)(c) Views of Louisiana Fifth  
Court of Appeals and the Louisiana Supreme Court of the Last resort has decided  
the applicant Federal Constitutional Rights to Self-Representation on Trial and  
on Direct Appellate reviews in Direct Conflicts with the well established Legal  
and Binding effects of this court precedents set forth in "Faretta Court"

On April 26th. 2023 The Applicant Mr. Victor File Pro-se Motion  
to invoke Six Amendment Rights to Self-Representations on Direct Appellate  
Court reviews in Connection with the Direct Appeal, 40th. Judicial District  
Court Docket no. 2010-CR-172 of which the trial court Judge Waldron Refuse  
to Adjudicate on the Merits of the Clarification/In Abundance of Caution.

On June 22nd 2023 after 2 months of delays of the trial court Judge  
Dennis J. Waldron Refusing to Adjudicate on the merits of the pro-se Motion  
to Invoke Six Amendment Rights to Self-Representation on Direct Appeal the  
Applicant Mr. Errol Victor Sr., File into the Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court  
of Appeals in Case No.23-KA-235 State of Louisiana V. Errol Victor, Sr. In  
Scope of Reviews Titled "Writ of Right and Writ of Mandamus/Stay" Request  
for the Appellate Court Jurisdiction to Order and Remand the Case Back to  
District Court Judge Dennis J. Waldron to Grant Faretta Hearing on Request  
for Self-Representation on the Direct Appellate Reviews under federal laws.

On June 30th. 2023 the Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals  
Granted the Pro-se Writ of Right and Writ of Mandamus/Stay Requesting Relief  
for the Lower District State Court Judge Dennis J. Waldron in case Number(s)  
23-KA-235 Direct Appeal Case assigned to by the Louisiana fifth circuit court  
of appeal Instructing the lower court by orders composed by Circuit Judges...

Fredericka Homberg Wicker, Jude G. Gravois  
and Robert A. Chaisson

ORDER

---

In Scope of Reviews of APPENDIX(A)i. Judgment of the Louisiana Fifth  
Circuit Court of Appeal Case No. 23-KA-235

\*\*\*\*\*

Excerpts From the Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal  
Order/Reasons in Pro-se Mandamus/Stay Requesting the  
Trial Court to conduct a hearing in accordance with  
Faretta V. California, 422 U.S. 806 (1975).

Relator, Errol Victor Sr, seeks mandamus relief from this court through  
the filling of a "Writ of right Writ of Mandamus/Stay" Relator Requests that  
this Court order the trial court Judge to Conduct a hearing in accord/ -with  
Faretta V. California, 422 U.S. 806, 835, 95 S.Ct. 2525, 2541, 45 L.Ed.2d 562  
(1975).

The official Records in this case reflects  
that on April 26th. 2023 Relator Filed a pro-se Motion for  
Invoking Six Amendment Right to Self-Representation Filing's  
on Direct Appeal Faretta Rights and Further seeking Extension  
of Time in the court pro-se briefing deadlines and stay of the  
direct appeal state court legal proceedings.

---

The applicant was relying Faretta Rights as is held by this Court.....

On July 13th. 2023 Court of Appeal Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court Of Appeal in Case No. 23-KA-235 Panel Composed of Judges; Stephen J. Windhorst Cornelius E. Regan, Pro Tempore, and Jason Verdigests, Pro Tempore Denied the The Appellate Counsel of Record "Deidre K. Peterson" Motion For Stay Appellate Proceedings and To Suspend Briefing Schedule Pending the Faretta Hearings and- The aforementioned panel of the louisiana: fifth circuit court of appeals and set forth written Orders to the Appellate Counsel of Record Deidre K. Peterson to have the Direct Appellate Brief on behalf of Mr. Errol Victor, Sr. Into Court by July 28th. 2023 at 4:00 See Court Of Appeals Orders Found at Appendix(D).i

#### DISCUSSION

\*\*\*\*\*

Relying on the Reasoning/Rational Holding set forth the Procedure For Appellate Court Appointed and Retained Counsel Guide From Legal Precedent set forth by This Court Fundamental Interpretations "Anders V. California"--] 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed. 2d 493 (1967) Applying the United State Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals Legal Precedents In "Hughes V. Booker" 203 F.3d 894 (5th.Cir. 2000) Viewing the Substantial Prejudice rights to Effective Asst of Counsel on Direct Appellate Reviews under this Court. Fundamental Opinions set forth "Evitts V. Lucey"; 469 U.S. 387, 393-95, 105 S.Ct. 830, 834, 83 L.Ed 2d 821 (1985)

The Appellate Counsel was ineffective in violations of the Federal U.S. Const VI. XIV. I. Amendments failing to file oppositions Writ of reviews to Louisiana Supreme Court in the Court of Appeals Refusing to grant the Motion for Stay and Orders all proceedings pending the resolution in faretta found at appendix(D).i

On July 28th. 2023 The Appellate Counsel of record file the Original Appellate Brief on behalf of Matter in State of Louisiana V. Errol Victor Sr. Case 23-KA-235 In the Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court See APPENDIX(D).iii. view In accord with the Court Orders Denying the Appellate Counsel Motion for Stay appellate Pending the Faretta Hearing Before the Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal Case No. 2023-KA-235, Order can be found at Appendix(D).i.

On July 31. 2023 Trial Court Held a Faretta Hearing and Determined that the Applicant Mr. Errol Victor Sr., Could Represent himself on Appellate Reviews before the bar of the Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal Case No 23-KA-235 Applying the Federal Fifth Circuit and Sistering Circuit Court View Citing; Myers V. Johnson, 76 F.3d 1330 (5th.Cir.1996), "U.S. V. Proctor", 166 F.3d 396 (1st.Cir.1999), SEE ALSO Henderson V. Franks, 155 F.3d 159 (3rd.Cir.-1988), U.S. V. Davis, 269 F.3d 514 (5th.Cir.2001).

On August 8th. 2023 The Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal had Issued an order Granting the Applicant a 30 Days Extension to file Pro-se Appellate Brief into the Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal Case No.# 23-KA-235 and Ordered the State of Louisiana/Appellee 20 Days After pro-se briefing Deadlines affixed by the Court of Appeal for October 9th. 2023 for Errol Victor Sr. to file his brief and return the Record on Direct Appeal-/ Scope of Appellate Court Orders Found At Appendix(E).i.

On August 10th. 2023 The Appellate Counsel Of Record Deidre Peterson Retained Appellate Counsel file Motion to Withdraw from the Case on the Basis of the Court Affording the Applicant Mr. Victor Motion to Proceed on Direct an Order was issued by the trial court the following day on August 11th. 2023 for Appellate Counsel Motion to Withdraw from the court record as attorney.

On August 11th. 2023 Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal Judge Honorable Marc E. Johnson Granted the Appellate Counsel Motion to Withdraw as Counsel Filed on behalf of Appellant, Mr. Errol Victor Sr. See Appendix(E).iii Counsel Motion to withdraw can be found at Appendix(E).iv.

On August 18th. 2023 Honorable Circuit Court Judge John J. Molaison Jr., issued, ordered, the Brief of the Appellee, the State of Louisiana be file into the Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals 20 Days after Pro-se Appellant file his Pro-se Brief/ see Order found at Appendix(E).v.

On August 29th. 2023 Honorable Chief Judge Susan M. Chehardy Court of Appeal of Louisiana Fifth Circuit Case No. 23-KA-235 Assigned Direct Appeal Docket "Granted" Applicant Mr. Errol Victor Sr. 30 Days or until October 9th. 2023 to file his pro-se brief and return the records to the court of appeals In Scope of Appendix(E).vi.

\*\*\*\*\*

In Scope of the Serious Substantial Issues  
On Reviews of meaningful access to the court records for filing pro-se Briefs on the Direct Appellate Reviews after being Convicted of Views in the external factors that prevented access to records

\*\*\*\*\*

Governing the Court Considerations of Appendix(E).i Order from the Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals Ordering the records to the pro-se self-represented litigant viewing of the records through legal program at david wade correction center specific point of Structural apparent Errors:

- i. The David Wade Correction Center Warden office "Rubber Stamp" The Date of receiving DIRECT APPELLATE RECORDS ON AUGUST 16th. 2023 for pro-se prisoner reviews of the appellate records/court order to the David Wade Correction Center to return the [COURT RECORDS] by September 8th. 2023.
- ii. Serious substantial issues depriving applicant meaningful Court Access outlines of the tracing the time of dates of returning... records to the court by September 8th. 2023. in light of serious issues of the warden office date of receiving appellate records 8 days after the court signed the orders leaving only 14 days... for pro-se prisoner on direct appeal to review records.

iii. In Scope of events regarding the time allotted for applicant Mr. Errol Victor Sr. To reviews the Direct Appeal record in light of the Staff appointed by the warden office to oversee inmates reviewing the borrowed records from the court was on vacation during the weeks of the date of August 16th 2023- / September 25th 2023 in considerations of the court of appeal order to return the records to the[court by Sep. 8th. 2023.] Shows a fundamental miscarriage of justice on the issues pro-se pleader Mr. Victor on the Direct Appellate phases in the state court had no records for the proper developments for... factual and legal basis in adequately researching/shepardizing MARSHALLING THE COMPLEX SERIOUS PROCEDURAL HISTORY OF DIRECT-Appellate Level including the trial constitutional structural [Apparent errors on the face of the records state court ruling]

\*\*\*\*\*

SPECIFIC POINT OF ERRORS  
SCOPE OF REVIEWS IN STATE CREATED IMPEDIMENTS  
VIEWS IN THE EXTERNAL FACTORS THAT PREVENTED ONE FULL ROUNDS  
STATE COURT DIRECT APPELLATE REVIEWS IN ACCORD WITH FEDERAL DUE PROCESS  
AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS OF STATE LAWS

\*\*\*\*\*

RELYING ON THE CONTROLLING AND BINDING PRECEDENTS APPLYING REASONING  
RATIONAL RESULTS HOLDING IN THE FOLLOWING CASES:

"Amadeo V. Zant", 486 U.S. 214, 108 S.Ct. 1771, L.Ed. 2d 249 (1988)  
"Thames V. Wilson", 179 Fed. Appx. 241 (5th.Cir.2006), "Critcheley V. Thaler"  
586 F.3d 318 (5th.Cir.2009)

Demonstrating the extraordinary circumstances the Applicant Mr. Victor Never had any access to the Direct Appellate Court Records showing :

- i. The David Wade correction center return the court of appeal Records to the court on 9/6/2023 , In accord with Louisiana Fifth Circuit court internal rules LA. 5th. Cir. Rule 6-1, LA. 5th. Cir. Rule 6-2 for Pro-se briefing and orders to the facility Warden to Return the COURT OF APPEALS 30 DAYS AFTER SIGNED COURT IN-VIEW APPENDIX(E).i.



ii. The State Created Impediments in External Factors.;

\*. Date the Appellate Records arriving at the David Wade Correction  
14 days after the order was signed to return the record from the  
date of orders shows a prejudicial structural apparent errors in  
the Rights to self-representations not subject to harmless error  
analysis in clear and convincing violations of U.S. Const. VI.XIV.  
Amendments according to the congressional intent 28 U.S.C. § 1654  
SEE: APPENDIX(E).i. Orders for the Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court

\*\*\*\*\*

---

GOVERNING THE COURT PROCEDURAL HISTORY OF THE COURT ORDERS  
IN SCOPE OF DATES OF FILING EVENTS CONTROLLING VIEWS  
SHOWING THE GROSS MISCARRIAGE OF JUSTICES DURING THE  
STATE COURT DIRECT APPELLATE REVIEWS

\*\*\*\*\*

SEE: APPENDIX(E).vi.

On Scope the orders Signed by the Honorable Chief State Court Judge  
Susan M. Chehardy of the Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals Granting the  
Pro-se Self represented on the direct appeals reviews before the state court in  
viewing the date of the extension on August 29th. 2023 SEE APPENDIX(E). vi. for  
this court considerations of the State Court Judgments of Court of Appeal signs  
by the Clerk of court Chief Clerk "Curtis B. Pursell" Orders by the court views  
in Appendix(E).i. on the Scope of "INTERVALS" in the date order August 8th.2023  
Jail official receiving the court appellate records on rubber stamp date on Aug.  
16th. 2023, of which during that time the appointed legal programs director for  
overseeing the Court Appellate Records and return the records was out-of-town..  
on vacation at David Wade Correction Center on the showing of the external fact  
that prevented the pro-se applicant from accessing the court records depriving  
Mr. ~~Errorl~~ Victor Sr. One full rounds of direct appellate reviews in accordance  
Federal due process and equal protection of Law. SEE: APPENDIX(E).i.

On November 2nd. 2023 Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal Judge Stephen J. Windhorst in Direct Appeal Case No. 23-KA-235 Assigned to the case denied "Mr. Errol Victor Sr" in good faith efforts of abundance of caution for exercising due diligence sought to obtain the Original Direct Appellate Record showing the State Court [Appellate Judge Windhorst Denied Request for copy of-] Appellate records for filing his self-represented Appellant Brief on Direct. SEE: APPENDIX(E).vii.

On November 5th. 2023 The Applicant Mr. Errol Victor Sr. . File into The Louisiana Supreme Court Case.No. 2024-KH-00021 Supervisory Writ of Reviews on the Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court on the Direct Appellate Stages Denying all Serious and mandatory relief sought for Motion for Stay Appellate Proceedings-, together with Motion for requesting a copy of the Direct Appellate Court Record for for filing pro-se Direct Appellate Briefs in views of the Pro-se taking the proper actions of Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals Denials in motion to stay and motion for copy of records in Court of Appeal Case No.(s) 23-KA-235 in Scope of reviews in APPENDIX(E).vii.

On May 29th. 2024 The Louisiana Supreme Court Justices Denied pro-se Supervisory Writ of Reviews on the Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court Case No.23-KA-235 Denying the Motion for Copy of Direct Appellate Records and the Motion For Stay orders of the Direct Appellate Proceedings can be found at appendix(F).i. Louisiana Supreme Court Judgment case no. 2024-KH-00021.

On December 14th, 2023 The Panel Composed of Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals in case No. 23-KA-235 On Direct Appellate Reviews in the State Court proceeding Granted the State Appelle Motion And Proposed Order for Court extending the time to file original appelle Brief in view of the State Motions can be found at Appendix(F).iii Submitted by the Louisiana Attorney general... Office Through assistance Attorney General Christopher N. Wills LA. Roll Bar # 34820]cross-index to the Court of Appeal orders granting the relief Found at- Appendix(F).ii

\*\*\*\*\*

---

IN SCOPE OF REVIEWS  
JURISDICTIONAL ERRORS COMMITTED BY THE COURT OF APPEAL JUDGES GRANTING  
STATE APPELLE MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  
OUTSIDE THE RULES OF COURT FOR TIMELY FILING  
BRIEFS AND OR MOTIONS CIRCUMVENTING PROPER  
JUDICIAL FUNCTIONS ON STATE COURTS DIRECT  
JUDICIAL APPELLATE REVIEWS

\*\*\*\*\*

Applying the Scope of Reviews from this Court Fundamental Decision Set Forth In Browder V. Director, Dept of Correction of Illinois, 434 U.S. 257 98 S. Ct. 556, 54 L. Ed. 2d 521 (1978) In Analysis of Jurisdictional Errors in The Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal Case No. 23-KA-235. Showing Causes for meeting the ends of justices requires this court to grant the pro-se Writ of Certiorai on appeal from the Louisiana Supreme Court Case No. 2024-K -00 Judgment.Found at Appendix(F).

On the Demonstrating of the Exceptional Circumstances Warranting this court to exercise the court discretionary powers on compelling Legal and factual basis of the applicant cannot obtain adequate relief by any other court in views of the lower federal court would apply a procedural bars as failure to exhaust state court remedies on 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

On Scope of Reviews of the Court of Appeals Granting the States Appelle: Appelle Motion for Extension found at Appendix(F).ii, The Court affixed the Date of January 22nd 2024 to file an appelle brief.

Supporting the Claims of the State Officers of the Court and staff members of the clerk of court office CIRCUMVENTED the Direct Appeals Legal Process acting in the collusion to conspire to commit fraud upon State of Louisiana Court of appeal to prevent the meaningful access to the court accord with the Federal Due Process Clauses and Equal Protection under U.S. Const. I XIV. VI. Amendments of federal constitutional relying on U.S. Const. Art. III. § 2. Appellate Jurisdiction of the United States Supreme Court Extending Power and Authority to Correct this Serious Federal Constitutional Violations.

On The Date of January 22nd 2024 Affixed by court of appeals orders From Granting the State of Louisiana/Appelle Extension of time to file Briefs Showing the Pattern and Practice of the State Officers of the courts Prevented Mr. Errol Victor Sr., [from Meaningful ACCESS] to One Full Rounds of State Court Direct Appellate Legal Process In accord with the laws of the Uniform Rules of the court as established by the Louisiana Intents In Views of Question for This Court Reviews Conspiracy Claims Against Officers of the Court who schemed to commit Fraud upon the State Court records for Judicial Impression of Direct Appellate Legal Proceess Was afford to the State Prisoner after the Trial Court Phases/sentencing Phases Raising Question of 42 U.S.C. § 1985(3).

---

SPECIFIC POINT OF FRAUD UPON THE STATE COURTS  
IN THE DIRECT APPELLATE PROCEEDINGS IN VIOLATIONS OF FEDERAL LAWS  
DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAWS

In the Issues Presented for reviews by the court raising the Legal and factual questions of State Appellate Court of Louisiana Fifth Circuit-Court of Appeal in Case No. 23-KA-235 Consideration and Granting the State's Motion for Extension of Time to file an appellee brief in specific points on the merits of the filing date of the Motion for extension was file 2½ Months after the Court of Appeals had originally order the state to file briefs 20 Days after the Pro-se applicant on State Appellate Reviews file his brief.

Specific Point Of Jurisdictional  
Apparent Error On the Face of Court Orders

---

\*\*\*\*\*

- i. Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal Judges ordered and granted the Pro-se Applicant 30 Days Extension of Time to file Brief and or until 9th. of OCTOBER 2023 to file of which the Applicant Mr. Errol Victor, Sr. Timely file his brief on Court-of Appeal on Direct Proceedings on October 7th.2023

[in Light of the Court of Appeal orders on the State of Louisiana Found at Appendix(E).v. Honorable State Court Judge "John J. Molaison" Order the Appellee to File Brief 20 Days after the pro-se brief is file. See Appendix(E).v. Facially Challenges to Jurisdiction Errors in The State Court of Appeals Orders Found at Appendix(F).ii. Granting State Motion for Extension.

DISCUSSION

---

IN THE PROCEDURAL OF EVENTS AFTER THE COURT OF APPEALS  
EXCEED IT JURISDICTION GRANTING THE STATE MOTION FOR EXTENSION MONTHS PASS  
COURT ORDERS FOR FILING APPELLE BRIEF IN VIEWS OF GRANTING RELIEF TO THE STATE  
WITHOUT ANY STATUTORY OR CONSTITUTIONAL JURISDICTIONS TO GRANT THE RELIEF

On January 22nd 2024 The Louisiana Attorney General Office Through Assistance Attorney General Mr. Christopher N. Walters La. Bar Roll Numbers # 35579 and Assistance Attorney General Grant L. Willis La. Roll Bar Numbers # 34820 Committed fraud upon the State Court Direct Appellate Legal Process In violations of the Absolute Rights to rights of Criminal Defendants to an Direct Appeal Judicial Reviews base upon the complete records for ADVERSELY JUDICIAL FUNCTIONS OF THE ROLE OF THE COURT TESTING THE ADJUDICATION LEGAL-PROCESS IN RESOLVING THE FINALITY OF STATE COURT CRIMINAL JUDGMENTS.

On January 22nd 2024 The Louisiana Attorney's with Attorney General Office Contumaciously Refused to Comply with the Court Granting the Appelle's Motion and proposed order For Extension of Time to File Original Appelle Brief Deadlines Affixed by the Court January 22nd. 2024. SEE APPENDIX(F).iii

On January 22nd 2024 The Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal Circuit Judges Composed of Judge Scott U. Schlegel, Susan M. Chehardy and-Fredericka Homberg Wicker[Committed a Gross Departure of Proper Judicial for] Legal Adjudication on the Pleading of a party whom fail to comply with Court Orders affixing filing Deadlines in accord with Louisiana Legislative Intent Set forth In Provisions Uniform Court of Appeals Rules for Untimely Appelles Briefs on the Merits Causing Undue Hardship on the Indigent State Prisoner's in the Constitutional RIGHTS of Louisiana State Prisoner Meaningful ACCESS to the Court for Direct Appellate Reviews after the Conviction/Sentences Phases. SEE: APPENDIX(F) iv 6.4.

On January 22nd 2024 The Circuit Court Judges Issues "Sua Sponte" ORDER OF SUBMISSION IN EFFORTS TO COVER-UP THE FRAUD COMMITTED BY THE STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE FRAUD UPON THE COURT CONTUMACIOUSLY REFUSING TO FILE ORIGINAL APPELLE BRIEF ON THE DIRECT APPEALS PROCEEDINGS.

On January 22nd. 2024 The Circuit Judges Issues of "Sua Sponte"  
Order of Submission Identifying a Jurisdictional Errors in the Sentence of the  
Louisiana Statutory Provisions[Second Degree Murder La. R.S. § 14: 30.1.(B)]In  
Viewing the Sentencing Guidelines of The UNAMBIGUOUS AND PLAIN LANGUAGE OF THE  
NARROW CONSTRUCTION OF THE LOUISIANA LEGISLATIVE INTENT R.S. 14:30.1(B).

Excerpts from Statutory Construction:

Whoever Commits the crime of second degree Murder Shall  
be punished by life imprisonment at hard labor without benefit of any  
parole, probation, or suspension of Sentence.....

SPECIFIC POINT OF THE CIRCUIT COURT JUDGES  
IN THE LOUISIANA FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS COMMITTED FRAUD UPON THE COURT  
TO COVER-UP FROM THE LOUISIANA ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE FAILURE TO COMPLY  
WITH COURT ORDERS TO FILE ORIGINAL APPELLE BRIEF ON DIRECT APPEALS

- i. The Court Grant the State Appelle Motion  
for Extension Found at Appendix(F).ii]...  
affixing the deadlines for filing Appelle  
Original Brief For Monday Jan. 22nd 2024
- ii. The State Appelle's for State of Louisiana  
Refused to File any Pleading on January-  
22nd. 2024 Specifically the Required order  
to File the Original Appelle Brief.
- iii. The Circuit Court Judges in connection with  
Order Found at Appendix(F).iv In Scope of -  
Reviews ORDER OF SUBMISSION.

iv. The Circuit Court Judges in Connection  
with the ORDER OF SUBMISSION FILE ON...  
The Date of Appelle Brief was due before  
Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals  
Direct Appeal Case No. 23-KA-235 Actions  
In State of Louisiana V. Errol Victor Sr.  
Committed Fraud Upon the State Courts by  
Circumventing the Rules of the Court and  
taking action against the State Appelle's  
for failure to comply with the court order  
affixing breiefing deadlines due before on  
January 22nd. 2024 SEE: APPENDIX(F).ii In-  
Governing this court considerations of the  
fraud upon the court in violation of For-  
Meaningfully Accessing the Courts.

---

DEMONSTARTING THE GROSS MISCARRIGE OF JUSTICE AND GROSS DEPARTURE FROM  
PROPER JUDICIAL FUNCTION OF THE LEGAL APPELLATE PROCEEDINGS  
BY THE CIRCUIT JUDGES EGREGIOUSLY MISREPRESENTING LEGAL  
STATE COURT APPELLATE PROCESS BY ORDERING APPELLANT  
TO BE RE-SENTENCE WITHOUT LEGAL AND OR FACTUAL BASIS

---

On February 14th. 2024 On the Court Order of Submission/Order by  
The Circuit court Judges to re-sentence Mr. Errol Victor, Sr. without any  
Factual and or Legal Basis the Re-sentencing Phases was Presided by the-  
ad hoc district ct. judge, DENNIS WALDRON SEE:[ APPENDIX(B). ii Count  
of 21 Pages of Hearing and Proceedings Transcripts. ]



IN SCOPE OF REVIEWS  
40th. JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE PARISH OF  
ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST FOR THE STATE OF LOUISIANA

STATE OF LOUISIANA

NUMBER: 2010-CR-172

V.

ERROL VICTOR SR.

DIVISION: "B"

RESENTENCING TRANSCRIPTS HEARING AND ARGUMENTS

REPRESENTING THE STATE OF LOUISIANA  
i. John Russell, ii. Taylor Gray

Attorney Representing the criminal Defendant MR. Errol Victor Sr.  
Fontella Baker

---

Transcripts can be found at Appendix(B).ii  
In Governing this court considerations under Supreme Court Rules  
10(b)(c) Reviewing the Trial Court Judge Overruling the pro-se oral  
OBJECTIONS LODGE AT THE SECOND RESENTENCING PHASES  
WHILE ON THE DIRECT APPELLATE PHASES

Pro-se Objections No. 1 Can be found on Page

Demonstrating on the face of the court transcripts Mr. Victor Logged the  
The Following OBJECTIONS ARE AS FOLLOWED:

Trial Court announcing the Orders from the Fifth Circuit Court Of Appeal Case  
No. 23-KA-235 Pending Direct Appellate Reviews See: Page No. 2-3.

Attorney Fontella Baker representing the Defendant Mr. Errol Victor Argued  
In the open Court/Judge Honorable D. WALDRON Presiding over the Matter Views  
from excerpts of the sentencing proceedings had on February 14th. 2024.

On Page No.(8) of Sentencing Transcripts :

Defense Attorney Baker Argued the Facts of Direct Appeal Pending  
Pending in the Circuit Court and the Jurisdictional Error.....

pp.# 8 Lines 8-32

Ms. Baker:

Lines 15-32

Another thing is that he is still on APPEAL with the court of appeal and he file a rehearing so that the court of appeals decision is not final yet for your honor to enter into a sentence at this time. So I believe that we are premature and I don't see any -- I don't see any harm in continuing this so that you can wait for the court of appeals rehearing decision to be made and to address the subject matter JURISDICTION because this was just given to the Attorney General today. So I'm wanting to show that they may not-/ be Prepared to respond to it.

Lines 27-32:

In addition, to the Notice of Removal that Filed with the federal Courts -- which hasn't been addressed yet, but the Court has been made aware of it because it's been filed in the record. So I'm Just Concerned that we are not moving too fast, Your Honor.

pp.# 11 Lines 13-32 Defendant ASK THE Court Could he respond:

Mr. VICTOR:

your honor if i could respond? Errol Victor Lines 13-14

The Court :

Is there anything further by the Defense, please?

Yes, You may Sir, if you like.

Mr. VICTOR Lines 28-32

but as it relates to rule 4.3 entitled assertion of right it's 14 days for a rehearing. I filed a rehearing. And in the Fairness, I Challenged the petition-- a Petition to Vacate--- the order that you received.

pp.# 12 Lines 1-16

resentencing that was before unanswered before the Fifth Cir. Court of Appeal, also I Filed based upon the uniform rules of the court in the Louisiana Supreme Court in an abundance of- Caution, I filed an Appeal according to the Statute, I Have 30 Days after that order or any judgment to challenge, and the rights of-- APPEAL SHOULD BE RESPECTED by this court. It's premature to rule on an order that still being challenged in the court on rehearing

Pro-se Objection on the Constitutional Basis of U.S. Const. VI.

Amendments Can be Found at Lines 30-32 and pp.# 13 Lines 1-8, "Arguendo" The Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal on the Direct-A Appellate Review exceed it's Jurisdiction remanding the Case for resentencing showing the record will Support there is no Factual and or Legal Basis for the Court of Appeals for Resentencing.

Pro-se Objections can be found on the Jurisdictional Errors During  
The Resentencing Phases held on February 14th. 2024 at Appendix(B).ii Scope of  
Reviews in the following Pages pp.# 17 Lines 1-32.

Mr. Victor Lines 1-5

This Hearing is premature, I'm still on Appeal and you're  
proceeding while I'm yet on APPEAL and denying me my right the time to  
appeal the Order presented by the Court before the same Court that had  
the hearing I'm OBJECTING TO--

The Court :

That is noted for the Record as well Mr. Victor.

Mr. Victor :

Okay and I'm OBJECTING to being held in custody while i did not have  
a Sentence for the last four years and the order for recusal or for the  
recusal being denied several times while i was being resentenced to an  
absconding again after serving the sentence in full,

Relying on the U.S. Supreme Court Reasoning/Rational Result's In  
"Bracy V. Gramley", 520 U.S. 899, 117 S.Ct. 1793 (1997).

Lines 9-22

Scope of Reviews In Pro-se OBJECTIONS TO BEING FINGERPRINTED FOUND AT  
Lines 16-22, In addition to the[Pro-se Objections to structural Errors ]

In the Pro-se Notice of Removal of the CASE to United States Eastern District Courthouse for Good faith Efforts in the Attempt to Estoppel the State Court officers of the Court and the Staff Members of the Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals Circumventing the Uniform Court of Appeals rule in the State of Louisiana Legislative Intent for Conducting the Legal Process in the Appellate Court Reviews see; Appendix(B). ii pp.18-20 in violation of 28 USC 1443, et. seq.

Excerpt From transcripts on resentencing:

pp.# 19 Lines 1-32

Mr. VICTOR :

one More OBJECTION, your honor i was served-- I OBJECT to-- the prosecutor, bridget divaut, taking action in this case and serving me which was done by the Recused prosecutors who filed and a Recused Judge signed to have me presented on a false pretense because the Judge... Cannot act-- Nhgana-- Judge Nhgana Lewis Recused herself from this case and continues to act-- and it's dated February 6th. 2024 after her recusal I'm OBJECTING TO THAT AS WELL Lines 5-16

Lines 17-25

Mr. VICTOR:

And my subject matter jurisdiction Motion filed before you this morning was never put onto the record--explicitly what is being now stated in that motion to CHALLENGE your Subject Matter Jurisdiction.-- you completely overlooked it and -- did not speak about it and did not refer to it on the record. You're actually being Challenged as to your subject matter jurisdiction which requires a scheduled hearing cannot be summarially denied.

\*\*\*\*\*

---

REASONING FOR GRANTING THE WRIT OF CERTIORARI  
ON LOUISIANA FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

---

\*\*\*\*\*

A.

The Unchecked Judicial Misconduct within the Louisiana Fifth Circuit Officer of the Court and staff of the Clerk of Court office of State Appellate Intermediate Court of Appeals divesting of jurisdiction Cause the Substantial-Prejudice to Meaningful Direct Appellate Reviews in violations of U.S. Const. XIV. I. Amendments Governing the State Procedural Laws for criminal defendants Rights to due process for Direct Appeals for the adversely State Court testing on the merits of the defendant counselor Brief and on the Pro-se Direct Appeal Briefs for the adjudications process against the State Appelle Briefs.

Demonstrating the gross Miscarriage of Justice during the first round Direct Appellate State Court Judicial process in Light of this Court analysis Citing; "Browder V. Director, Dept. of Corrections of Illinois", 434 U.S. 257, 98 S.Ct. 556, 54 L.Ed. 2d 521 (1978) In the Dterminations of Court of Appeal's lacked Jurisdiction to Reviews of the[orders of the "courts" after State fail] to timely submitted Brief on the merits and or Motion for Extension of time to file state brief in consideration of APPENDIX(F)i.. See also Hable V.Pairolero 915 F. 2d 394 (8th.Cir. 1990).

In scope of reviews the federal court as a longstanding in precedent governing the Claims of the Courts lacking the Standing to Reviews of Court's Order in view of The Filing Requirements for Appeals are Mandatory; Viewing State and Federal Laws are mandatory Serious Substantial Jurisdictional Issues.

In Considerations Governing Reviews on Certiorari to Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal Case No. 23-KA-235 On the Direct Appeal From the Lower Court Judgments /Resentence twice while under the Divested Jurisdiction of the State Appellate Court Jurisdiction in accord with the provision set forth and by the Louisiana Legislative Intent La. C. Cr. P. Art. 916 Divesting Trial-/Appellate Court Jurisdiction governing by the Louisiana Legislative Intent of Practice and Procedures governing the Judicial Role of State Appellate Judges Under the "UNIFORM RULES COURTS OF APPEAL".,[Under Sup. Crt. R. 10(c)]

The Applicant Mr. Victor moves the United States Supreme Court to Intervene on the showing of the compelling interest of federal due process clauses of rights to one full rounds of direct appeals after being convicted.. in state court criminal jury trial proceedings in accord with state laws for affording[ criminal defendants to appeal the "Convictions" in state Courts ] prior to filing federal habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (b)(1)(A)(B)(i-ii) Showing the Constitutional Structural defects throughout the entrie Appellate State Court Jüdicial Direct Appeal Process Reviews by this Court [Intervene & Granting the Automatic Reversal]of the State Intermediate Appellate Court of Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of appeals on the merits of the "Sham/Deceptive" Claims of the State Intermediate Appellate Court Prejudficial Ruling Exceeding the State Appellate Judges and Court Staff of Clerk of court to grant relief- to the state appelle Motion for extension outside the jurisdictional time for filing the States brief and or the sought relief for extension of time.

Preventing the Applicant Mr. Errol Victor Sr., State Prisoner one full round of Direct Appellate reviews Under the Federal Due Process and Equal Protections of Laws U.S. Const. XIV. VI. Amendment in state Courts Properly file Pro-se Appellate BRIEF Relying on Smith V. Barry, 502 U.S. 244 (1992).

This case presents the Gross Miscarriage of Justice At Every Level  
In the Post-Trial Remedies Under the State Constitutional And Statutory Laws  
on the showing made of the Trial Court Abuse the Legal Process Refusing relief  
sought for filing the Pro-se Post-Trial Motion for post-verdict Judgment for a  
Acquittal In accord with La. C.Cr. P. Art. 821.(A)(B)(C), Relying on the Right  
to Self-Representation the Applicant Mr. Errol Victor, Sr. Appeal From Trial-/  
Court Judgments denying the Self-Representations rights to file Motions for  
New Trial prior to the Court Sentencing phases of trial proceedings..

In Light of the State Constitutional Rights to Meaningful  
Access to the Court for Post-Trial Remedies La. Const. Art. I. § 2-24 Relying  
on This Court fundamental Decisions In Faretta V. California, 422 U.S. 806, 95  
S. Ct. 2525 (1975), Applying the United States Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal's  
Controlling analysis Citing Myers V. Johnson, 76 F.3d 1330 (5th.Cir.1996) See;  
also "Dorman V. Wainwright", 798 F.2d 1358 (11th.Cir. 1986), U.S. V. Cano, 519  
F.3d 512 (5th.Cir.2008).

Showing the Applicant was denied due process at every appellate  
Reviews on supervisory Writ of Reviews/Writ of Mandamus, to Louisiana Court of  
Appeals Fifth Circuit together with the pro-se action taking to the Louisiana-  
Supreme Court of the Supervisory reviews from the Judgments from the court of  
appeals in light of questions presented for United States SUPREME COURT  
reviews of The Plain Error Reviews in the State Court on Direct Appellate Court  
reviews exceed it's Jurisdiction, Circumventing the legal process for allowing..  
the Criminal Defendant one full rounds of direct appellate review. In scope of  
reviews the Applicant Properly filed into Louisiana Supreme court In according  
of Court Rules LA.Sup. Ct. R. X., X.(a) § (1)(2)(3)(4)(5)(b), LA. Sup. Ct. R.  
X. § (2)(a), RULE (5) § (a)(1), LA. Sup. Ct. R. IX. REHEARINGS.



In Scope of Reviews before this Court on the Serious Substantial  
Together with the Manifest Constitutional Procedural Due Process For Effective  
assistance of Appellate Counsel on Direct Appellate Reviews Relying on Supreme  
Court binding effect in the Precedents set forth In "Evitts V. Lucey," 469 U.S.  
387, 105 S.Ct. 830, 83 L.Ed. 2d 821 (1985), Governing this Court Considerations  
on Applicant Mr. Victor being Constructively Denied his Federal Constitutional  
Due Process to Effective Assistance of Appellate Counsel on Direct Appeal.

Presenting the Just Cause for Granting the Writ of Certiorari Review  
Showing the Applicant Mr. Victor has absolute Right to Effective assistance of  
Appellate Counsel as determined by the Reasoning/Rational Result Holding in the  
Evitts Court Decisions Inconjunctions of the United States Fifth Circuit Court  
of Appeal Binding Legal Precedents set forth in the following Jurisprudence on  
Reviews before the United States Supreme Court Justice showing the Contitution.  
Structural Issues not Subject to Harmless Analysis Reviews Citing:

\*\*\*\*\*

Hughes V. Booker, 220 F.3d 346 (5th.Cir.2000), Thomas V. Beto  
423 F.2d 642 (5th.Cir.1970), Wainwright V. Simpson, 360 F.2d 307 (5th.Cir.  
11966), See also "Byrd V. Smith," 407 F.2d 363 (5th.Cir.1969) Lombard VS.-  
Lynaugh, 868 F.2d 1475 (5th.Cir. 1989), U.S. VS. Cong Van Pham, 722 F.3d  
320 (5th.Cir. 2013), Nelson V. Peyton, 415 F.2d 1154 (4th.Cir. 1969).

\*\*\*\*\*

In The Supreme Court Considerations Questions Presented for Reviews No.(3) For  
Considerations of ther Appointed/Retained Counsel Peterson had-  
fail to file opposition Supervisory Writ of Reviews to Louisiana  
Supreme court on the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals denying Motions  
to Stay Appellate proceedings and to Suspend Briefing Schedule Upon  
Faretta Hearing File by the Pro-se Self-Represented Litigant Victor.

B.

CONSIDERATIONS GOVERNING REVIEWS ON CERTIORARI  
EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES NECESSARY TO SECURE AND MAINTAIN UNIFORMITY IN  
COURT DECISION IN  
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO SELF-REPRESENTATIONS IN STATE COURTS

This case presents a fundamental question of the interpretation of this Court's decision in "FARETTA V. CALIFORNIA", 422 U.S. 806, 819, 95 S.Ct. 2525, 2533, 45 L. Ed. 2d 562 (1975).

1. Existence of the Rights to self-representation;

The Sixth Amendment to the United States

Constitution guarantees every criminal defendant the rights of assistance for Trial counsel "Gideon V. Wainwright", 372 U.S. 335, 345, 83 S.Ct. 792, 9 L. Ed. 2d 799 (1963), In Scope of Reviews the implicit provision in the Sixth Amend.- of the federal constitutional laws is correlative with the criminal defendant absolute rights to waive the assistance of counsel and Represent himself after state court hold the mandatory of federal policy for state criminal defendant' to be afford a "FARETTA HEARING" for the state criminal defendant who Clearly- and unequivocally asserted his Constitutional Rights to Self-Representation 's of direct appellate reviews Shall be allowed to "preserve actual control" over the case he chooses to present to the appellate court. SEE: APPENDIX(A)i.

Applying the Scope of Analysis from the United States Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals reasoning/rational result holding set forth "Myers v. Johnson 76 F. 3d 1330 (5th.Cir. 1996), showing the gross miscarriage of Justice During the entrie phases of the Direct Appellate Reviews in State Court in Clear and Convincing evidence apparent of the attached appendices of Violations of U.S.- Const. XIV. VI. I. Amendments for Meaningful Access to the Court on Appellate Reviews after being wrongfully convicted twice in state court.

C.

AMPLIFYING THE EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES ON THE SHOWING  
UNCHECKED GROSS JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT IN STATE APPELLATE COURT IN VIOLATIONS  
DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS CLAUSES ON DIRECT APPELLATE REVIEWS  
UNDER FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL LAWS U.S. CONST. I. XIV. VI. AMENDMENTS

DEMONSTRATING IN APPELLATE COURT JUDGES AND STAFF MEMBERS OF CLERK OF COURT'S  
LOUISIANA FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS  
CIRCUMVENTING THE COURT RULE OF PROCEDURES

The State Officers of the Court of Appeals Louisiana Fifth Circuit  
Case No. 23-KA-235 Exceed the Inherent powers of the Jurisdictions Conferred  
upon the Louisiana fifth Circuit Court of Appeals By the Statutory provisions  
set forth in the "Dictates" pronounce by the Louisiana Legislative Intent for  
Uniform Court of Appeals Rule of Court in the State of Louisiana.

In Scope of Reviews from the Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal  
Case No. 23-KA-235 On December 14th. 2023 Granting a Jurisdictionally out-of  
Time Motion and Proposed Order For Extension of Time to File Original Appelle's  
Brief Found at APPENDIX(F)iii. In Scope of Order Granting the relief sought by  
the Louisiana Asst. Attorney General Christopher N. Walters La. Roll Bar No(s):  
35579 File on December 13th. 2023, Inconjunction views of Orders File by Court  
of Appeal Panel of Circuit Judges Scott U. Schlegel, Susan M. Chehardy, Wicker.  
Regarding the Appelle Motion for extension found at Appendix(F).ii.

Relying on the Controlling Legal Precedents of the United States  
Supreme Court and Other Federal Court Decisions in support of the serious  
Gross Miscarriage of Justice in the State Direct Appellate Legal Process Citing  
Browder V. Director, Dept. of Correction of Illinois, 434 U.S. 257, 98 S. Ct. 55  
6, 54 L.Ed. 2d 521 (1978), See Also Flowers V. Roy, 603 Fed. Appx. 510 (8th.Cir  
2015), Hable V. Pairolero, 915 F.2d 394 (8th.Cir.1990)

---

## CONCLUSION

---

The applicant Mr. Errol Victor Sr., was Deprive of his Federal Rights Under the federal due process Rights U.S. Const. XIV. VI. Amendments to first Appeal after being convicted in state court jury trial proceeding In Scope of reviews right to effective assistance of counsel on direct appeal Relying on.. this court controlling and binding effects set forth "Evitts V. Lucey", 469 U.S 387, 105 S. Ct. 830, 83 L.Ed. 2d 821 (1985).

Demonstrating to the United States Supreme Court Justice of Violation of the applicant absolute rights to direct appeal in accord with the state law affording the criminal defendant rights to appeal after being convicted in the state court and louisiana legislative intent set forth in LA. C. Cr. P. Art.91 LA. C. Cr. P. Art. 912.1.(C), LA. C. Cr. P. Art. 915(A), LA. C. Cr. P. Art. 91

Inconjuctions with the federal constitutional violations under the Constitutional Rights to Self Representations on direct to file Pro-se Motions and Pro-se Supplemental Brief to the Appellate Counsel of Record Brief for the proper full rounds of the Appellate Legal Process in the adversary Procedure's in accord with the "State Court Rules" and the "Louisiana Legislative intent fo Pro-se Self-Representation Briefs to be file on the First Direct Appeal Review Relying on the Reasoning/Rational Result Holdings in The United States Fifth - Circuit Court of Appeals Decisions Citing; "Myers V. Johnson", 76 F.3d 1330(5th Cir. 1996).

The Records Speaks for it's self of the pattern and pratice In the  
Officers of the Court of Appeals Louisiana Fifth Court of Appeals Case Number  
23-235 on the Direct Appellate Reviews of the matter of State of Louisiana VS.  
Errol Victor, Sr.[ Criminal Appeal]including the Clerk of Court Staff Members,  
acting outside the scope of thier inherent powers as determined by the State &  
Federal Laws in Violations of the APPLICANT, Mr. Victor Due Process Claues and  
Equal Protections of the State of Louisiana Created Appellate Court Integrally  
Part of the JUDICIAL State Court Systems for the Finality and Adjudicating the  
Guilt and Or Factual Innocence Cognizable Claims of MR. Errol Victor Sr.

---

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

---

The Applicant Mr. Errol Victor Sr., Prays for the relief sought in the In the Interest of Justice in State Criminal Defendant Absolute Const: Rights to Self-Representation in accord with the Fundamental interpretation in this court Decision set forth in "Faretta V. California"; 422 U.S. 806, 819, 95 S.Ct. 2525, 2533, 45 L.Ed. 2d 562 (1975).

Wherefore the Mover Mr. Victor move: the United States Supreme Court Pursuant to the Federal Policy of the Court well established Binding Precedent set Forth in Faretta Court Decision of the Federal Due Process Clauses and the Equal Protections of Federal Laws in State Court Appellate Process for Reviews for State Criminal - Defendants. SEE: APPENDIX(A).i.


Showing the Granting on this Pro-se Writ of Certiorari on the State Of Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal Case No.(s) 23-KA-235 Louisiana V. Errol Victor Sr., would be in the best interest of the National Public Interest and Full Compliance with the Federal Policy for securing and Maintaining the UNIFORMITY OF THE BINDING EFFECTS OF THIS COURT PRECEDENTS OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO SELF-REPRESENTATIONS IN[ACCORD WITH THE U.S. CONST. XIV. VI. AMEND.] Light of Reasoning/Rational Result Holding In the Faretta Court Decisions.

Further it's Prayed for the Supreme Court of The United States Justices exercised the Inherent powers of the Court Appellate Jurisdiction for Granting the Relief under Constitutional Roles of the Federal Courts Judicial Powers extending to all cases before the bar of the Supreme Court under U.S. Const. Art. III. § 2. governing the analysis of Rights to Self-Representations on Direct Appeal.

Wherefore the Mover Prays After the United States Supreme Court Reviews in [Cognizable Jurisdictional] Apparent Error in the State Appellate Direct Reviews on the Showing made the Applicant can not obtain adequately-Relief from any orther State or Federal Court In Scope of Reviews the Court for State Habeas Corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 will not hear Claims on the factual and /Legal Basis of the Procedural Bar failure to meet exhaustions requirements in all available State Court remedies prongs in Presenting... the substance of the Federal Claims in State Court Under this Court Binding and Controlling Precedents set forth in "Rose V. Lundy", 455 U.S. 509, 102 S. Ct. 1198 (1982).

In Scope of the Prayer of Relief Sought the Applicant Rights to Direct Appellate Reviews and the Applicant Rights to Self-REpresentation <sup>in</sup> on the State Criminal Justice Systems was Circumwented by the Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals Officers of the Court and Staff members of the Clerk of Court to Prevent Mr. Victor one Full Rounds of Appellate Due Process Rēviews of the "Adversely testing the merits of the Pro-se Briefs" <sup>and</sup> on Direct Appellate Reviews and properly adjudicating Defendant Briefs and the State Appelle Briefs for properly meeting the exhaustion requirements.

Respectfully Submitted  
BY:

  
/s/ Errol Victor Sr.  
Louisiana DOC#613100  
Daivd Wade Correction  
670 Bellhill Rd, Homer  
Louisiana Zip- 71040