

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

APR 25 2025

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

FRANK IGLESIAS,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 24-4886

D.C. No.

2:05-cr-00232-DMG-1

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Central District of California
Dolly M. Gee, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted April 22, 2025**

Before: GRABER, H.A. THOMAS, and JOHNSTONE, Circuit Judges.

Frank Iglesias appeals pro se from the district court's order denying his motion for early termination of supervised release under 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(1).

We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

Contrary to Iglesias's assertion, the district court applied the correct legal

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

standard and did not abuse its discretion in concluding that termination of supervised release was not in the “interest of justice” under the relevant 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(1); *United States v. Emmett*, 749 F.3d 817, 819 (9th Cir. 2014). Moreover, the court sufficiently explained its decision. *See Emmett*, 749 F.3d at 820-21.

AFFIRMED.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

AUG 29 2025

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

FRANK IGLESIAS,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 24-4886

D.C. No.

2:05-cr-00232-DMG-1

Central District of California,
Los Angeles

ORDER

Before: GRABER, H.A. THOMAS, and JOHNSTONE, Circuit Judges.

The panel has voted to deny the petition for panel rehearing.

The full court has been advised of the petition for rehearing en banc and no judge has requested a vote on whether to rehear the matter en banc. *See Fed. R. App. P. 40.*

The petition for panel rehearing and petition for rehearing en banc (Docket Entry No. 26) are denied.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.