

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Order Denying De Novo Hearing	App. 1
Notice of Appeal	App. 2
Court of Appeal opinion	App. 5
Court of Appeals Order Denying Motion for Rehearing	App. 9
Order Denying (Texas supreme court petition)	App. 10
Motion for rehearing (Texas supreme court)	App.11
Order denying rehearing (Texas Supreme court)	App. 18
Original UIFSA Petition	App. 19
Special appearance	App. 24
Affidavit of general denial of petition to establish parent-child relationship	App. 27
Lawful Affidavit of Admission of Status	App. 30
Lawful Affidavit of Specific negative averment	App. 33
Affidavit of Correction	App. 36
Affidavit of denial of Paternity and Parentage	App. 39
Lawful Affidavit of Non-Service	App. 43
Judicial Notice	App. 46

**Opinion issued April 24, 2025**



**In The  
Court of Appeals  
For The  
First District of Texas**

---

**NO. 01-24-00969-CV**

---

**OLAYIWOLA ADEBISI, Appellant  
V.  
OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL, Appellee**

---

**On Appeal from 246th District Court  
Harris County, Texas  
Trial Court Case No. 2022-72444**

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Appellant Olayiwola Adebisi, pro se, filed his notice of appeal on October 17, 2024 seeking to challenge the “Contested Order Establishing the Parent-Child Relationship” signed by the trial court on June 25, 2024. Subsequently, on November 7, 2024, Appellant filed an amended notice of appeal stating he is

“appealing the Contested Order Establishing the Parent-Child Relationship signed by the trial court on the 29th day of October, 2024.” We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction.

Any party “seek[ing] to alter the trial court’s . . . order” must timely file a notice of appeal. TEX. R. APP. P. 25.1(c). If a party fails to timely file a notice of appeal, we have no jurisdiction to address the merits of that party’s appeal and must dismiss the appeal. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 25.1(b); *In re K.L.L.*, 506 S.W.3d 558, 560 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 2016, no pet.) (holding that without timely notice of appeal, appellate court lacks jurisdiction over appeal); *Brashear v. Victoria Gardens of McKinney, L.L.C.*, 302 S.W.3d 542, 545–46 (Tex. App.—Dallas 2009, no pet.) (holding that timely notice of appeal is jurisdictional prerequisite).

A notice of appeal is generally due within thirty days after the trial court signs its judgment. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 26.1. The deadline to file a notice of appeal is extended to ninety days after the judgment is signed if, within thirty days after the judgment is signed, a party timely files a motion for new trial, motion to modify the judgment, motion to reinstate, or, under certain circumstances, a request for findings of fact and conclusions of law. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 26.1(a); *see also* TEX. R. CIV. P. 329b. The time to file a notice of appeal may also be extended if, within fifteen days after the deadline to file the notice of appeal, a party files a notice of appeal and a

motion for extension of time to file a notice of appeal that complies with Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 10.5(b). *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 10.5(b), 26.3.

Contrary to Appellant's assertion in his amended notice of appeal, the trial court's "Contested Order Establishing the Parent-Child Relationship" from which he appeals was signed on June 25, 2024, not October 29, 2024. The order signed on October 29, 2024 is an order denying as untimely Appellant's "Request for De Novo Hearing" of the trial court's "Contested Order Establishing the Parent-Child Relationship," which is not appealable.<sup>1</sup> Further, because it was untimely filed, Appellant's "Request for De Novo Hearing" did not extend the deadline to file a notice of appeal from the trial court's June 25, 2025 order. Appellant's notice of appeal was thus due within thirty days after the trial court's order was signed—on or before July 25, 2024—or by August 9, 2024 with a fifteen-day extension. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 26.1. Appellant did not file his notice of appeal of the trial court's order until October 17, 2024.

Without a timely filed notice of appeal, we lack jurisdiction over Appellant's appeal. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 25.1. On February 27, 2025, the Clerk of this Court notified Appellant that his appeal was subject to dismissal for lack of jurisdiction

---

<sup>1</sup> The trial court denied Appellant's "Request for De Novo Hearing" as untimely because it was filed on August 2, 2024, well after the trial court's plenary power expired.

unless, within ten days of the date of the notice, he filed a written response demonstrating that this Court has jurisdiction over his appeal. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3(a). Appellant filed a response arguing we have jurisdiction over his appeal because he mailed his “Request for De Novo Hearing” to the trial court on June 27, 2024, and that the court received it on July 2, 2024. Appellant further contends that he refiled his request on August 2, 2024 “because he was told by the clerk . . . that the trial court did not receive the Request for De Novo [Hearing], even though he provided proof that the trial court did in fact receive[] [it] . . . .” Assuming Appellant timely filed his “Request for De Novo Hearing,” his notice of appeal would have been due within ninety days after the trial court’s order was signed—on or before September 23, 2024. Appellant’s notice of appeal thus remains untimely.

We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3(a), 43.2(f). We dismiss any pending motions as moot.

**PER CURIAM**

Panel consists of Justices Rivas-Molloy, Morgan, and Johnson.



**COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE  
FIRST DISTRICT OF TEXAS AT HOUSTON**

**ORDER ON MOTION FOR REHEARING**

Appellate case name: Olayiwola Adebisi v. Office of Attorney General

Appellate case number: 01-24-00969-CV

Trial court case number: 2022-72444

Trial court: 246th District Court of Harris County

Date motion filed: May 15, 2025

Party filing motion: Appellant

It is ordered that the motion for rehearing filed by Appellant Olayiwola Adebisi is **denied**.

Judge's signature: /s/ Veronica Rivas-Molloy  
Acting for the Court

Panel consists of Justices Rivas-Molloy, Morgan, and Johnson.

Date: June 5, 2025

FILE COPY

RE: Case No. 25-0524  
COA #: 01-24-00969-CV

DATE: 8/22/2025  
TC#: 2022-72444

STYLE: ADEBISI v. OAG

Today the Supreme Court of Texas denied the petition  
for review in the above-referenced case.

MR. OLAYIWOLA ADEBISI  
\* DELIVERED VIA E-MAIL \*

PS10

FILE COPY

RE: Case No. 25-0524  
COA #: 01-24-00969-CV

DATE: 9/26/2025  
TC#: 2022-72444

STYLE: ADEBISI v. OAG

Today the Supreme Court of Texas denied the motion for rehearing of the above-referenced petition for review.

MR. OLAYIWOLA ADEBISI  
\* DELIVERED VIA E-MAIL \*

Pg 18



**Additional material  
from this filing is  
available in the  
Clerk's Office.**