

**APPENDIX A  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA  
MIDDLE DISTRICT**

R. P. G.,

Petitioner

v.

A.A.G.,

Respondent

: No. 362 MAL 2025


:  
:  
: Petition for Allowance of Appeal  
: from the Order of the Superior Court

**ORDER**

**PER CURIAM**

**AND NOW**, this 19th day of August, 2025, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is  
**DENIED.**

A True Copy Elizabeth E. Zisk  
As Of 08/19/2025

Attest:   
Chief Clerk  
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

**APPENDIX B  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA  
MIDDLE DISTRICT**

R. P. G.,

Petitioner

v.

A.A.G.,

Respondent

: No. 362 MAL 2025


: Request for Reconsideration

**ORDER**

**PER CURIAM**

**AND NOW**, this 10<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2025, the Request for Reconsideration is  
**DENIED.**

A True Copy Elizabeth E. Zisk  
As Of 09/10/2025

Attest:   
Chief Clerk  
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

RYAN P. GIVEY

Appellant

v.

ALICIA A. GIVEY

: IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF  
 : PENNSYLVANIA  
 :  
 : Chester County Civil Division  
 : 2015-0644-CU  
 :  
 :  
 :  
 :  
 : No. 1318 EDA 2025

**ORDER**

*Pro se* Appellant has filed this appeal from two orders. The January 3, 2025 Temporary Custody Order and May 15, 2025 Temporary Custody Order. In light of the fact that neither order appears appealable, on June 10, 2025, a show cause order was entered directing Appellant to respond as to why the appeal should not be quashed as to both Orders.

Specifically, as to the January 3, 2025 Temporary Custody Order, this Court entered a Judgement Order at appeal 153 EDA 2025. The Judgement Order held, in pertinent part, that the only issues before the Court from the January 3, 2025 Temporary Custody Order were Appellant’s claims concerning the “psychiatrist/psychologist-patient privilege” and all other issues were not final or otherwise appealable citing **G.B. v. M.M.B.**, 670 A.2d 714 (Pa. Super. 1996) (a custody order is final and appealable after the trial court has concluded its hearings on the matter and the resultant order resolves the pending custody claims between the parties). The Judgement Order granted the trial court’s request to remand.

The trial court entered a May 15, 2025 Temporary Custody Order that Amended the January 3<sup>rd</sup> Temporary Custody Order.

Thus, in light of the fact that the trial court amended the January 3<sup>rd</sup> Temporary Custody Order the issues that were appealable are now moot, and the January 3<sup>rd</sup> is not before this Court. **See In re J.A.**, 107 A.3d 799 (Pa. Super. 2015) (as a general rule, an actual case or controversy must exist at all stages of the judicial process, or a case will be dismissed as moot; an issue before a court is moot if in ruling upon the issue the court cannot enter an order that has any legal force or effect).

As to the May 15, 2025 Temporary Custody Order, the May 15, 2025 Temporary Custody Order amended the January 3, 2025 Temporary Custody Order as to Paragraphs 2 and 4. The May 15<sup>th</sup> Temporary Order is not final or

otherwise appealable. As noted by this Court in its Judgment Order at 153 EDA 2025, Paragraphs 1 and 3 of the May 15, 2025 Temporary Custody Order are not appealable since custody claims still remain pending before the trial court. **See G.B. v. M.M.B., supra.**

Moreover, Amended Paragraph 2 of the May 15, 2025 Temporary Custody Order is not appealable since it directs that Father undergo a psychological evaluation with risk assessment with Doctor Gransee, costs shared evenly. Father shall provide Doctor Gransee with copies of Exhibits M3 and M-4 from the January 3, 2025 hearing. Paragraph 2 is not final or otherwise appealable as a collateral order. **See Miller v. Steinbach**, 681 A.2d 775 (Pa. Super. 1996)(order directing father to submit to psychological evaluation in the parties' custody matter was quashed as not appealable as a final or collateral order).

Lastly, the trial court indicates that the Amended Paragraph 4 of the May 15, 2025 Temporary Custody Order was entered by the trial court pursuant to the parties' Stipulated December 22, 2023 at paragraph 13. Paragraph 4 states that Father currently engages in individual therapy, Father shall continue to engage in therapy until his therapist determines that it is no longer necessary or warranted, Father shall take all medications prescribed to him by his therapist, and Father shall provide Mother with documentation that he is attending therapy and is compliant with all recommended treatment. In light of the fact that Father stipulated to this directive in the December 22, 2023 Order this Court does not have jurisdiction over a matter in which the Appellant has agreed to. **See Miller v. Miller**, 744 A.2d 778 (Pa. Super. 1999) (appellant acquiesced to the orders, he cannot now be heard to seek remediation with respect to those orders), *citing Karkaria v. Karkaria*, 592 A.2d 64 (Pa. Super. 1991) (party who has acquiesced in order or judgment may not later be heard to challenge it).

On June 15, 2025, Appellant filed a response as to why the appeal should not be quashed as to both the January 3<sup>rd</sup> and the May 15<sup>th</sup> Temporary Custody Orders, but Appellant failed to address the issues and caselaw raised by this Court's rule to show cause as to appealability. Instead, Appellant assails that he should not have to undergo a psychological evaluation as ordered. However, such a directive is not appealable. **See Miller v. Steinbach, supra.** Appellant also complains that his custody rights have been suspended. But such a directive is not appealable. **See G.B. v. M.M.B., supra.** Appellant baldly avers that the issues on appeal are collateral as to "whether trial court complied with this Court's remand". However, it was the trial court who requested remand as to Paragraph 4 of the January 3, 2025 Temporary Custody Order and the trial court replaced the language in

Paragraph 4 of the January 3, 2025 Temporary Custody Order with the language of Paragraph 13 from the December 22, 2023 Stipulated Order. **See *Miller v. Miller, supra* and *Karkaria v. Karkaria, supra*.**

Accordingly, the appeal at 1318 EDA 2025 is **QUASHED**.

Appellant's "Application to Strike", filed June 20, 2025, is **DISMISSED** as **MOOT**.

**PER CURIAM**

APPENDIX D

McCALLIN LAW, LLC  
Cheryl A. McCallin, Esquire  
205 West Miner Street; Suite #1  
West Chester, PA 19382  
(610) 880-8400  
Attorney L.D. No. 59438

Attorney for Defendant/Petitioner

RYAN GIVEY	:	IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
PLAINTIFF	:	CHESTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA
v.	:	CIVIL ACTION - LAW
ALICIA A. GIVEY	:	IN CUSTODY
DEFENDANT	:	No. 2015-06444-CU

~~Temporary~~ ORDER

AND NOW, this 3 day of January, ~~2024~~ <sup>2025</sup>, upon consideration of Defendant's Emergency Petition for Special Relief, and after hearing thereon, it is hereby ORDERED and DECREED that the Emergency Petition for Special Relief is GRANTED. It is further ORDERED as follows:

1. Mother shall have sole legal and physical custody of the minor children.
2. Father shall undergo a psychological evaluation. *with risk assessment with Dr. Coransee, costs shared evenly.*
3. All of father's physical custodial time shall be suspended pending the results of the psychological evaluation *unless a Supervisor approved by mother can be found.*
4. Father shall provide any documentation regarding his current treatment plan, including but not limited to, compliance with prescription management and therapy attendance. *and proof that his treating psychiatrist and psychologist have been provided with copies of*
- ~~5. Any further remedies or relief this Honorable Court believes necessary.~~
5. ~~6.~~ This Order shall remain until Custody Conciliation, or further Order of Court. *M-3 and M-4 from today's hearing*

BY THE COURT:



**NON-PRECEDENTIAL DECISION - SEE SUPERIOR COURT O.P. 65.37**

RYAN P. GIVEY

Appellant

v.

ALICIA A. GIVEY

: IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF  
 : PENNSYLVANIA  
 :  
 :  
 :  
 :  
 :  
 :  
 :  
 : No. 153 EDA 2025

Appeal from the Order Entered January 9, 2025  
 In the Court of Common Pleas of Chester County  
 Civil Division No(s): 2015-0644-CU

BEFORE: STABILE, J., DUBOW, J., and SULLIVAN, J.

JUDGMENT ORDER BY SULLIVAN, J.:

**FILED APRIL 25, 2025**

After careful review, we conclude all issues Givey raises, with the exception of his claim concerning the psychiatrist/psychologist-patient privilege, must be quashed as they are interlocutory. **See G.B. v. M.M.B.**, 670 A.2d 714, 722 (Pa.Super. 1996). At the trial court’s request, we remand for that court to conduct further proceedings concerning the privilege claim.

Appellant’s application to strike is denied.

Appellant’s petition to appear remotely at oral argument is DENIED as moot. The Prothonotary is directed to strike this case from the argument list.

Case remanded. Jurisdiction relinquished.

J A12051 25

Judgment Entered.

Benjamin D. Kohler

Benjamin D. Kohler, Esq.  
Prothonotary

Date: 4/25/2025

**APPENDIX F**

s:\ctjudges\admin\royal\family\custody\orders\special relief\orders\Givey Ryan v Givey Alicia No 2015-06444-CU Amended Order Post Appeal

**RYAN GIVEY,  
Plaintiff/Father**

**: IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS**

**: CHESTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA**

**vs.**

**: NO. 2015-06444-CU**

**ALICIA A. GIVEY,  
Defendant/Mother**

**: IN CUSTODY**

*Brett J. Zeitlin, Esquire and Joseph J. McIntosh, Esquire, for the Plaintiff/Father  
Cheryl A. McCallin, Esquire, for the Defendant/Mother*

**ORDER**

AND NOW, this 15 day of May 2025, upon consideration of the Order

issued April 25, 2025 by the Pennsylvania Superior Court with instructions to the undersigned to conduct further proceedings with respect to Defendant's claim of privilege made at the January 3, 2025 hearing on Defendant/Mother's Emergency Petition for Special Relief, it is hereby **ORDERED AND DECREED** that our Temporary Order dated January 3, 2025 is **AMENDED** to reflect that paragraphs 2 and 4 thereof are **AMENDED** to read as follows:

2. Father shall undergo a psychological evaluation with risk assessment with Doctor Gransee, costs shared evenly. Father shall provide Doctor Gransee with copies of Exhibits M3 and M-4 from the January 3, 2025 hearing.

...

4. Father currently engages in individual therapy. Father shall continue to engage in therapy until his therapist determines that it is no longer necessary or warranted. Father shall take all medications prescribed to him by his therapist. Father shall

provide Mother with documentation that he is attending therapy and is compliant with all recommended treatment.<sup>1</sup>

In all other respects, the terms and conditions of our January 3, 2025 Temporary Order remain in full force and effect.

BY THE COURT.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Allison Bell Royer,

J.

<sup>1</sup> The language in amended paragraph 4 is taken directly from the parties' Stipulated Custody Order of December 22, 2023 and represents an obligation Father voluntarily undertook of his own accord. As we noted in our Rule 1925 Opinion on appeal, Father's psychotherapist-patient privilege was never breached at the hearing because Father was never compelled to provide the testimony to which he objected on the grounds of privilege. Consequently, an additional hearing to correct or redact testimony is unnecessary. Our Temporary Order as to custody remains intact and is not affected in any way by Father's refusal to breach his privilege. No tainted evidence was provided and our Order remains supported by the legitimate and admissible facts as they were presented to the Court on January 3, 2025.

## APPENDIX G

### **Title 231 Pa. Code Rule 1915.8 Physical and Mental Examination of Persons.**

(a) The court may order the child(ren) and/or any party to submit to and fully participate in an evaluation by an appropriate expert or experts. The order, which shall be substantially in the form set forth in Rule 1915.18, may be made upon the court's own motion, upon the motion of a party with reasonable notice to the person to be examined, or by agreement of the parties. The order shall specify the place, manner, conditions and scope of the examination and the person or persons by whom it shall be made and to whom distributed. In entering an order directing an evaluation pursuant to this rule, the court shall consider all appropriate factors including the following, if applicable:

(1) the allocation of the costs, including insurance coverage, if any, attendant to the undertaking of the evaluation and preparation of the resultant report and court testimony of any appointed expert;

(2) the execution of appropriate authorizations and/or consents to facilitate the examination;

(3) any deadlines imposed regarding the completion of the examination and payment of costs;

(4) the production of any report and of underlying data to counsel and/or any unrepresented party upon the completion of the examination; and

(5) any additional safeguards that are deemed appropriate as a result of the alleged presence of domestic violence and/or child abuse.

(b) Unless otherwise directed by the court, the expert shall deliver to the court, to the attorneys of record for the parties, to any unrepresented party, and to the guardian ad litem and/or counsel for the child, if any, copies of any reports arising from the evaluation setting out the findings, results of all tests made, diagnosis and conclusions. No reports shall be filed of record or considered evidence unless and until admitted by the court. Any report which is prepared at the request of a party, with or without a court order, and which a party intends to introduce at trial, must be delivered to the court and the other party at least thirty days before trial. If the report or any information from the evaluator is provided to the court, the evaluator shall be subject to cross-examination by all counsel and any unrepresented party without regard to who obtains or pays for the evaluation.

(c) If a party refuses to obey an order of court made under subdivision (a) of this rule, the court may make an order refusing to allow the disobedient party to support or oppose designated claims or defenses, prohibiting the party from introducing in evidence designated documents, things or testimony, prohibiting the party from introducing evidence of physical or mental condition, or making such other order as is just. The willful failure or refusal of a party to comply with an order entered pursuant to this rule may also give rise to a finding of contempt and the imposition of such sanctions as may be deemed appropriate by the court, including, but not limited to, an adverse inference against the non-complying party.

(d) A petition for contempt alleging failure to comply with an order entered pursuant to subdivision (a) of this rule shall be treated in an expedited manner.

## APPENDIX H

### Title 23 Pa Code § 5424. Temporary emergency jurisdiction.

**(a) General rule.**--A court of this Commonwealth has temporary emergency jurisdiction if the child is present in this Commonwealth and the child has been abandoned or it is necessary in an emergency to protect the child because the child or a sibling or parent of the child is subjected to or threatened with mistreatment or abuse.

**(b) No previous custody determination or proceeding.**--If there is no previous child custody determination that is entitled to be enforced under this chapter and a child custody proceeding has not been commenced in a court of a state having jurisdiction under sections 5421 (relating to initial child custody jurisdiction) through 5423 (relating to jurisdiction to modify determination), a child custody determination made under this section remains in effect until an order is obtained from a court of a state having jurisdiction under sections 5421 through 5423. If a child custody proceeding has not been or is not commenced in a court of a state having jurisdiction under sections 5421 through 5423, a child custody determination made under this section becomes a final determination if it so provides and this Commonwealth becomes the home state of the child.

**(c) Previous custody determination or proceeding.**--If there is a previous child custody determination that is entitled to be enforced under this chapter or a child custody proceeding has been commenced in a court of a state having jurisdiction under sections 5421 through 5423, any order issued by a court of this

Commonwealth under this section must specify in the order a period that the court considers adequate to allow the person seeking an order to obtain an order from the state having jurisdiction under sections 5421 through 5423. The order issued in this Commonwealth remains in effect until an order is obtained from the other state within the period specified or the period expires.

**(d) Mandatory communication between courts.**--A court of this Commonwealth which has been asked to make a child custody determination under this section, upon being informed that a child custody proceeding has been commenced in or a child custody determination has been made by a court of a state having jurisdiction under sections 5421 through 5423, shall immediately communicate with the other court. A court of this Commonwealth which is exercising jurisdiction pursuant to sections 5421 through 5423, upon being informed that a child custody proceeding has been commenced in or a child custody determination has been made by a court of another state under a statute similar to this section, shall immediately communicate with the court of that state to resolve the emergency, protect the safety of the parties and the child and determine a period for the duration of the temporary order.

## APPENDIX I

### Title 210 Pa Code Rule 311. Interlocutory Appeals as a Right.

(a) *General Rule.* An appeal may be taken as of right and without reference to Pa.R.A.P. 341(c) from the following types of orders:

(1) *Affecting Judgments.* An order refusing to open, vacate, or strike off a judgment. If orders opening, vacating, or striking off a judgment are sought in the alternative, no appeal may be filed until the court has disposed of each claim for relief.

(2) *Attachments, etc.* An order confirming, modifying, dissolving, or refusing to confirm, modify or dissolve an attachment, custodianship, receivership, or similar matter affecting the possession or control of property, except for orders pursuant to 23 Pa.C.S. §§ 3323(f), 3505(a).

(3) *Change of Criminal Venue or Venire.* An order changing venue or venire in a criminal proceeding.

(4) *Injunctions.* An order that grants or denies, modifies or refuses to modify, continues or refuses to continue, or dissolves or refuses to dissolve an injunction unless the order was entered:

(i) Pursuant to 23 Pa.C.S. §§ 3323(f), 3505(a); or

(ii) After a trial but before entry of the final order. Such order is immediately appealable, however, if the order enjoins conduct previously permitted or mandated or permits or mandates conduct not previously mandated or permitted, and is effective before entry of the final order.

(5) *Peremptory Judgment in Mandamus.* An order granting peremptory judgment in mandamus.

(6) *New Trials.* An order in a civil action or proceeding awarding a new trial, or an order in a criminal proceeding awarding a new trial where the defendant claims that the proper disposition of the matter would be an absolute discharge or where the Commonwealth claims that the trial court committed an error of law.

(7) *Partition.* An order directing partition.

(8) *Other Cases.* An order that is made final or appealable by statute or general rule, even though the order does not dispose of all claims and of all parties.

(b) *Order Sustaining Venue or Personal or In Rem Jurisdiction.* An appeal may be taken as of right from an order in a civil action or proceeding sustaining the venue of the matter or jurisdiction over the person or over real or personal property if:

(1) the plaintiff, petitioner, or other party benefiting from the order files of record within ten days after the entry of the order an election that the order shall be deemed final; or

(2) the court states in the order that a substantial issue of venue or jurisdiction is presented.

(c) *Changes of Venue, etc.* An appeal may be taken as of right from an order in a civil action or proceeding changing venue, transferring the matter to another court of coordinate jurisdiction, or declining to proceed in the matter on the basis of *forum non conveniens* or analogous principles.

(d) *Commonwealth Appeals in Criminal Cases.* In a criminal case, under the circumstances provided by law, the Commonwealth may take an appeal as of right from an order that does not end the entire case where the Commonwealth certifies in the notice of appeal that the order will terminate or substantially handicap the prosecution.

(e) *Orders Overruling Preliminary Objections in Eminent Domain Cases.* An appeal may be taken as of right from an order overruling preliminary objections to a declaration of taking and an order overruling preliminary objections to a petition for appointment of a board of viewers.

(f) *Administrative Remand.* An appeal may be taken as of right from:

(1) an order of a common pleas court or government unit remanding a matter to an administrative agency or hearing officer for execution of the adjudication of the reviewing tribunal in a manner that does not require the exercise of administrative discretion; or

(2) an order of a common pleas court or government unit remanding a matter to an administrative agency or hearing officer that decides an issue that would ultimately evade appellate review if an immediate appeal is not allowed.

(g) *Waiver of Objections.*

(1) Except as provided in subdivision (g)(1), failure to file an appeal of an interlocutory order does not waive any objections to the interlocutory order:

(i) [Rescinded].

(ii) Failure to file an appeal from an interlocutory order under subdivision (b)(1) or subdivision (c) of this rule shall constitute a waiver of all objections to jurisdiction over the person or over the property involved or to venue, etc., and the question of jurisdiction or venue shall not be considered on any subsequent appeal.


(iii) Failure to file an appeal from an interlocutory order under subdivision (e) of this rule shall constitute a waiver of all objections to such an order.

(iv) Failure to file an appeal from an interlocutory order refusing to compel arbitration, appealable under 42 Pa.C.S. § 7320(a)(1) and subdivision (a)(8) of this rule, shall constitute a waiver of all objections to such an order.

(2) Where no election that an interlocutory order shall be deemed final is filed under subdivision (b)(1) of this rule, the objection may be raised on any subsequent appeal.

(h) *Further Proceedings in the Trial Court.* Pa.R.A.P. 1701(a) shall not be applicable to a matter in which an interlocutory order is appealed under subdivisions (a)(2) or (a)(4) of this rule.

APPENDIX J



**AFFIDAVIT OF [Administrator]**


Name of Requester: Ryan Givey  
Records Allegedly Requested: Chromebook proposal documents  
Appeal Docket Number: OOR Dkt. AP [redacted]

I, [Administrator] for [School District 2] ("School District"), hereby declare, pursuant to 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904, that the following statements are true and correct based upon my personal knowledge, information and belief:

1. I serve as the [Redacted] for the School District. In that capacity, I am involved with the procurement process related to the School District's purchase of computer technology.
2. There was no Request for Proposals (RFP) issued specifically for Chromebooks. RFP [redacted] asked for representative models and pricing which were used as the basis of the selection of the selected vendors. Any given model or line of computer/laptop is not going to be offered for a full 5-years by any vendor – product lines will evolve and [Vendor] product line evolved to include the Chromebook laptop. The purpose of the RFP was to standardize on vendors with which the School District would partner to supply desktop/laptop/mobile equipment and related professional services.

Date: 6/1/2017

/s/ [Administrator]  
[Administrator]  
[Redacted]  
[School District 2]



**Chromebook 3 11.6" (32GB  
Storage, 4GB RAM)**

XE500C13-K03US

**\$179.99** ~~\$199.99~~  
You Save  
\$20

☆☆☆☆☆ 1Reviews

**ADD TO CART**

**WHERE TO BUY >**

**ADD TO WISHLIST**



**APPENDIX L**

**Personal Property Items Report by Personal Property Number**

3945 results were found on Aug 22, 2017 11:25 AM using the following search criteria:

Display Active Items

Usage: All

PO Dates From: 07/01/2014 To: 06/30/2015

Class: Computer - Handheld / Ipad (101300)

Technology Items Included

Food Service Items Excluded

Manufacturer Code: 104040

PP No	Class	get	r	No	Vendor	PO Number	PO Date	Amount	Description
B5504105	Computer - Handheld / Ipad (101300)	2015	[REDACTED]	6JJJJ42	[REDACTED] (0007159 1)	PG 504822	04/22/2015	328.07	[REDACTED] Chromebook 11

**Vendor**

B5504106	Computer - Handheld / Ipad (101300)	2015	[REDACTED]	7TJJJ42	[REDACTED] (0007159 1)	PG 504822	04/22/2015	328.07	[REDACTED] Chromebook 11
----------	---	------	------------	---------	---------------------------	-----------	------------	--------	-----------------------------

B5504107	Computer - Handheld / Ipad (101300)	2015	[REDACTED]	C0TS24 2	[REDACTED] (0007159 1)	PG 504822	04/22/2015	328.07	[REDACTED] Chromebook 11
----------	---	------	------------	-------------	---------------------------	-----------	------------	--------	-----------------------------

B5504108	Computer - Handheld / Ipad (101300)	2015	[REDACTED]	G20T24 2	[REDACTED] (0007159 1)	PG 504822	04/22/2015	328.07	[REDACTED] Chromebook 11
----------	---	------	------------	-------------	---------------------------	-----------	------------	--------	-----------------------------

B5504109	Computer - Handheld / Ipad (101300)	2015	[REDACTED]	FZZS24 2	[REDACTED] (0007159 1)	PG 504822	04/22/2015	328.07	[REDACTED] Chromebook 11
----------	---	------	------------	-------------	---------------------------	-----------	------------	--------	-----------------------------

