25 - 5988

AUG 28 2025

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED

HUONG THI NGUYEN - PETITIONER (Your Name)

VS.

Catholic Community Servill RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

HUONG THI NGLIYEN (Your Name)

(Address)

(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

4 Why, Dr. Laura Kaufman stopped treatment me balkay, khile Her decided FOOR RANGE OF MOTION NECK, while my case opened? * Why Her stopped treatment, when Her got the accept from Doctor Independent, From She referred me there for examination? * Khy, she called Apple Therapy canceled three last actives with Apple The rapy note * Is that the range of motion has worsened:

and this patient has not progressed toward discharge goal!

Why, on 07/22/2014, the Department determined that the claimant was medically fixed and stable, then closed my case? That contradicts from Dr. Lauria: POOR RANG OF MOTION NECK, and contradicts with ordered From Dr. Indepentment Lauis Kretschmer 3/Why, on Saptember 2, 2016, my case was reopened by Department, but THEY kept it a secret from me? (Because I couldn't have an Attorney help take care my case, since then THEY have done wrong to my case? 4/ Khy on June L and June 9, 2021, the Employer's Attorney and Judge Dave Skan, requested me take the compensation, From \$5,000 to \$7,000? If my profile is not true, Are They had request me to take the compensation?

5/Why on October 7, 2022, Attorney William appear

of Lax and the profession of Lax and the profession

6/. Why did Judge Timothy L. Ashcraft, Let Attorney William do the complaint again, while Judge Timothy stated in the trial that, William to appear in Court with such omissions is to despise before Judge.

7/ Why did Judge Timothy L. Ashcraft denied my case with reason, I didn't respond to his order on November 3, 2022, I said, I did with the receipt, but Judge said, "He signed, If I not agree, continue suing the higher Court!

*** YOUR HONOR!

I DON'T SUE JUDGES, AND I KNOW THAT JUDGES NEVER GET SUED, BUT I MUST TELL COURT EVERYTHING THAT HAPPENED TO MY CASE FROM START TO PRESENT.

8/WHY did Judge Timothy open Court on June 9, 2022, while He ordered cancel that day, by Angeline email showed?

9/ WHY IS KAREN HOUMIEL, she is the Claims Adjuster Sedgewick Claims Management Services. She did not have a good grasp of my profile. On 11/10/2022, She made false my statements in the Court system.

10/ WHY DO Judge MAXA P. J. Of Court Appeals of the State of Washington Division II, ordered on June 18, 2024, (The CCA argued that the Superior Court lacked jurisdiction) and (on July 15, 2024, Judge MAXA ordered my submitted real evidence is invalid, Judge ruled that my Employer's Attorney won, and I must pay Attorney's fees \$1,076.50?

11/ WHY, The Supreme Court of Washington State, is the highest Court of Washington They did not follow the order of their Court gave out on September 3, 2024, with 30 days response of Employer's Attorneys respond on October 3, 2024, but They didn't be on time?

12/ WHY ON 12/04/2024 HALL & MILLER, P.S. and COURT DIVISION II, OF APPEALS IN TACOMA: THEY HAVE DISREGARDED THE ORDERED on 12/4/24, from The Supreme Court of Washington?

13/ On 10/15/2024, I made appeals about The Employer's Attorneys having lost the order from Supreme Court on October 3, 2024.

*-On 12/04/2024, Supreme Court ordered for denied my case and denied Employer's Attorneys requested me pay fee \$1,076.50.

*** WHY THEY BOTH sent me documents, requested me to pay \$1,075.50

14/ WHY THEY BOTH REQUESTED GRESS—CLARK—YOUNG & CHOEPPER, claim my debt while Supreme Court denied them request?

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

real management of the case on the cover page.
[V] All parties do not appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:
17 David K. Crossland 67 Colm P. Nelson
27 Thomas Gerard Hall 79 O'Connell, Timothy J.
37 Ryan Steven Miller 89 Cullen, Ruchael Y.
47 William Jeffrey Pratt 97 Carranza, Brie
5/ Steven Phillip Pyle. 109 Lydia C. Palmer
119 Teja M. Presley 129 Halls Miller P.S.
RELATED CASES
The Employer'S Attorneys; THEY ARE BOTH
companies of Lauger.
17. HALL & MALLER, P.S. 128 4th Ave S. SWITE 101. Edmond 14 a 425
S/COLM P. NELSON, KEBA# 36735 CTOAL OF 11-1
Street, Suite 3600 Seattle WA 98101 206-624-0900
page 115-118

TABLE OF CONTENTS muchic

OPINIONS BELOW. J. M. E.D. AMOI. AGY.
JURISDICTION สุดสังพ. กุนสุลิภ
JURISDICTION TAKEN MEN AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED
STATEMENT OF THE CASE Tangen 1577 May 1865.
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT . In . As the . asp. tent.
CONCLUSION Phần kất hán
INDEX TO APPENDICES Muc he phu he.
APPENDIX A
APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[] For	cases from federal courts :
	The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix to the petition and is
	[] reported at; or, [v] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or, [] is unpublished.
	The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix to the petition and is
	 [] reported at; or, [√] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or, [] is unpublished.
[] Foi	c cases from state courts:
	The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix 18-20 to the petition and is
	Appendix 18-20 to the petition and is [V] reported at September 3, 2024; or, [] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or, [] is unpublished.
	The opinion of the court appears at Appendix to the petition and is
	[] reported at; or, [] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or, [] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

[] Fo	or cases from federal courts:
	The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was $-08/11/2025$
	[] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.
	A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date:, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix park.
	[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including (date) on (date) in Application NoA
	The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).
[] Fc	or cases from state courts:
	The date on which the highest state court decided my case was September 3, 2024 A copy of that decision appears at Appendix page 18-20
,	[] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: 42/2/2022, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix page.
	[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including (date) on (date) in Application NoA
	The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

0/

STATEMENT OF THE CASE
I respectfuly to U.S. Supreme Courts Scott S. Harris, clerk
I declare that in making my appeal, I must state
the facts of what happened step by step, to submit to the
Levels of Cowds, to Let the Courts have the firm grasp of my
record for trial. I declare that I was never sue Judges.
With the parts I reported from page to page
and I enclose the real evidence which I have kept.
*Iam Sorry becaus my English to little, the wornged
gramma make wrong the main of the sentences. Please
forgive my muistake.
*I am thankyow for the under stand my little English.
* I am begging Judges of United States and Scott S. Harris,
Clerk, review my case, accept my case, I begging
Court U.S. supreme, help reopen my case.
Sincerely & Respectfully.
October 20, 2025
MANNID
HUONG THINGLIYEN

manage remarks them as a second of the second of the second

.

.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

	I Respectfully to United States Supreme Court.
	I tried very hard to appeal my case to courts:
	I would like tormy appeal to united states supreme
	Count. Because after many Times from July 22, 2024,
	To present my case got Vdenied, from Count levels, and the
	Suppression with the Employer's Attorneys with no evidence.
	- From injury at morkplace on Movember 18, 2013, I have
	become disabled as I am today. I have tried to present
	my true evidences to the courts levels, after many times
	my case denied from power of the Authorities levels. but
	THEY couldn't close I'my case completely, because I had
	all the True evidences to back up their wrong decisions. Iday
	my case submit to United States Supreme Court.
	- I begging Judges of U.S. Supreme Count, and Scott S. Harris
	clerk by Emily Kalker, Review my case, accept my case,
	regging court help reopen my case.
	Sincerely and Respectfully
T secure	UMUDER- SUB 2025.
	Dannon
	HIMAN THIN DINITIAL

YOUR HONOR! From page 21 To page 40, there are some pages
that goale translates not Right, I am so don't understand
clearly, I begging Judges of United State
Supreme Court, and Scott S. Harris, Clerk helf
Please excuse my ignorance with blank pages.
I am sending my Sincerrety and Respectfully.

CONCLUSION

YOUR HONOR! With all my heart, I have presented above.

I begging Indges of US-Supremo Court help me reopen my case
The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

and help me the vaive fees
of Caurt.

Respectfully submitted,

Scott S. Harris, Clerk

Date: October 200, 2025