

APPENDIX

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

SEP 3 2025

In re: EDGARD VELASQUEZ.

EDGARD VELASQUEZ,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES,

Respondent,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Real Party in Interest.

No. 25-2939

D.C. No.

19-CR-00117-ODW-8

Central District of California,
Los Angeles

ORDER

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

Before: S.R. THOMAS, HURWITZ, and BENNETT, Circuit Judges.

The motions (Docket Entry Nos. 1, 13, and 15) to seal are granted. The clerk will maintain under seal Docket Entry Nos. 1, 2, 3, 13, 14, 15, and 16.

Petitioner has not demonstrated a clear and indisputable right to the extraordinary remedy of mandamus. *See In re Mersho*, 6 F.4th 891, 897 (9th Cir. 2021) (“To determine whether a writ of mandamus should be granted, we weigh the five factors outlined in *Bauman v. United States District Court.*”); *Bauman v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 557 F.2d 650 (9th Cir. 1977). The petition is denied.

DENIED.