

FILE COPY

RE: Case No. 25-0282

DATE: 7/18/2025

COA #: 05-24-00725-CV

TC#: DC-23-07147

STYLE: GRIGG v. LAW OFF. OF REGIS MULLEN & SHANE MULLEN,
INC., P.C.

Today the Supreme Court of Texas denied the petition
for review in the above-referenced case.

PETER GRIGG

DELIVERED VIA POSTAL MAIL

Dismiss and Opinion Filed March 13, 2025



**In The
Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

No. 05-24-00725-CV

**PETER GRIGG, Appellant
V.
LAW OFFICES OF REGIS MULLEN & SHANE MULLEN, INC., P.C.,
Appellees**

**On Appeal from the 162nd Judicial District Court
Dallas County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. DC-23-07147**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before Chief Justice Koch, Justice Goldstein, and Justice Garcia
Opinion by Chief Justice Koch

Appellant filed his brief on October 30, 2024. We then notified appellant, who is proceeding pro se, that his brief failed to comply with rule 38.1 of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 38.1. We listed numerous defects in the brief, including that the brief did not contain a table of contents with references to the pages of the brief, the table of contents did not indicate the subject matter of each issue, the brief does not contain an index of authorities, and neither the statement of the case nor the statement of facts was supported by record references. Further, the argument section of the brief does not contain any citations to authority

or to the record. We instructed appellant to file an amended brief correcting these deficiencies within ten days. In the request, we cautioned appellant that the appeal was subject to dismissal if appellant failed to file an amended brief in compliance with the rules of appellate procedure. To date, appellant has failed to do so.

The purpose of an appellant's brief is to acquaint the Court with the issues in a case and to present argument that will enable us to decide the case. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 38.9. The right to appellate review extends only to complaints made in accordance with our rules of appellate procedure, which require an appellant to concisely articulate the issues we are asked to decide, to make clear, concise, and specific arguments in support of appellant's position, to cite appropriate authorities, and to specify the pages in the record where each alleged error can be found. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 38.1; *Lee v. Abbott*, No. 05-18-01185-CV, 2019 WL 1970521, at *1 (Tex. App—Dallas May 3, 2019, no pet.) (mem. op.); *Bolling v. Farmers Branch Indep. Sch. Dist.*, 315 S.W.3d 893, 895 (Tex. App—Dallas 2010, no pet.). Even liberally construing appellant's brief, we conclude it fails to acquaint the Court with the issues in the case, does not enable us to decide the case, does not make clear, concise, specific arguments supported by legal authority, and is in flagrant violation of rule 38.

Although given the opportunity to correct the brief, appellant did not do so. Under these circumstances, we strike appellant's brief and dismiss this appeal. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 38.9(a); 42.3(b),(c).

/J.J. Koch/

J.J. KOCH
CHIEF JUSTICE



**Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

JUDGMENT

PETER GRIGG, Appellant

No. 05-24-00725-CV V.

LAW OFFICES OF REGIS
MULLEN & SHANE MULLEN,
INC., P.C., Appellees

On Appeal from the 162nd Judicial
District Court, Dallas County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. DC-23-07147.
Opinion delivered by Chief Justice
Koch. Justices Goldstein and Garcia
participating.

In accordance with this Court's opinion of this date, the appeal is
DISMISSED.

Judgment entered this 13th day of March, 2025.



**Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

MANDATE

**TO THE 162ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF DALLAS COUNTY,
GREETINGS:**

Before the Court of Appeals for the Fifth District of Texas, on the 13th day of March, 2025, the cause on appeal to revise or reverse the judgment between

PETER GRIGG, Appellant

No. 05-24-00725-CV V.

LAW OFFICES OF REGIS
MULLEN & SHANE MULLEN,
INC., P.C., Appellees

On Appeal from the 162nd Judicial
District Court, Dallas County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. DC-23-07147.
Opinion delivered by Chief Justice
Koch. Justices Goldstein and Garcia
participating.

was determined; and this Court made its order in these words:

In accordance with this Court's opinion of this date, the appeal is
DISMISSED.

WHEREFORE, WE COMMAND YOU to observe the order of the Court
of Appeals for the Fifth District of Texas, in this behalf, and have it duly obeyed
and executed.

WITNESS the HON J.J. KOCH, Chief Justice of the Court of Appeals, with the Seal thereof affixed, at the City of Dallas, this 26th day of September 2025.



/s/Ruben Morin
Ruben Morin, Clerk